Innate Lymphoid Cells: The Missing Part Of A Puzzle In Food Allergy

Umit Sahiner¹, Janice Layhadi², Kornel Golebski³, Zsolt Komlosi⁴, Yaqi Peng⁵, Bulent Sekerel⁶, Stephen Durham⁷, Helen Brough⁸, Hideaki Morita⁹, Mübeccel Akdis⁹, Paul Turner², Kari Nadeau¹⁰, Hergen Spits¹¹, Cezmi Akdis⁹, and Mohamed Shamji^{2,2}

¹Hacettepe University, School of Medicine
²Imperial College London
³Amsterdam UMC Locatie AMC
⁴SIAF
⁵Swiss Institute of Allergy and Asthma Research
⁶Hacettepe university
⁷NHLI, Imperial College
⁸King's College London
⁹University of Zurich
¹⁰Stanford University
¹¹Amsterdam University Medical Centres

September 21, 2020

Abstract

Food allergy is an increasingly common disease worldwide, and is thought to be driven by an uncontrolled type 2 immune response. Current knowledge about the underlying mechanisms that initiate and promote an inappropriate immune response to dietary allergens is limited. Sensitization through the skin in early life is considered to be a key event. Food allergy results from a dysregulated type 2 response to food allergens, characterized by enhanced levels of IgE, IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 with infiltration of mast cells, eosinophils and basophils during acute reactions. Recent data implies a possible role of innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) in driving food allergy. ILCs represent a group of lymphocytes that lack specific, recombined antigen receptors. They contribute to immune responses not only through the release of cytokines and other mediators, but also by responding to cytokines produced by activated cells in their local microenvironment. Due to their localization at barrier surfaces of the airways, gut and skin, ILCs form a link between the innate and adaptive immunity. This review summarizes recent evidences on how skin and gastrointestinal mucosal immune system contribute to both homeostasis and the development of food allergy, as well as the involvement of ILCs towards inflammatory processes and regulatory mechanisms.

Abbreviations:

AD: Atopic dermatitis
AREG: amphiregulin
COPD: Chronic pulmonary obstructive disease
DCs: Dendritic cells
EoE: Eosinophilic eosophagitis

FLG: Filaggrin

FPIES: Food protein induced enterocolitis syndrome

GM-CSF: Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

GUT: Gastrointestinal system

ILCs: Innate lymphoid cells

iTregs: Inducible T regulatory cells

KLRG1: Killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G member 1

LTi cell: Lymphoid tissue inducer cells

NK cell: Natural killer cell

OIT: Oral immunotherapy

PGD2: Prostaglandin D2

RORyt: Retinoic acid related orphan receptor gamma t

TGF- β : Transforming growth factor- β

TSLP: Thymic stromal lymphopoietin

TNF- α : Tumor necrosis factor- α

ZO-3: Zonula occludens-3

Introduction

Food allergy is defined as an adverse reaction to food which is reproducible and arises from a specific immune response.¹ Food allergies affect up to 7-8% of the population and have been increasing in prevalence, although the incidence has probably stabilised in Western countries. Prevalence is higher in children compared to adults, with the most common food triggers being peanut, tree nuts and seeds, egg, milk, wheat, soy, fish and shellfish.¹⁻³ The common underlying mechanism is the breakdown of immunological and clinical tolerance to an ingested food, causing either IgE-mediated reactions (including anaphylaxis) or non-IgEmediated disorders such as eosinophilic eosophagitis (EoE) and food protein induced enterocolitis syndrome (FPIES).⁴Sensitization to food allergens usually occurs through the skin and/or gastrointestinal tract (and less commonly through the respiratory tract), due to impaired barrier function.^{5, 6}Following sensitization, many – but not all – individuals go on to develop clinical reactivity. The interaction between microbiota, the immune system, epithelial barrier function and genetic factors results in a dysregulated type 2 response to food allergens. Recent studies have demonstrated the possible involvement of a novel cell type, known as innate lymphoid cells (ILCs), in driving food allergy.⁷ While their role is not currently well-defined, the elevated levels of Interleukin (IL)-25, IL-33 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) observed in allergic patients imply a possible role because these cytokines are strong stimuli of a subset of these cells, the ILC2s.⁷⁻⁹ For instance, recently, it was shown that IL-33 promotes food allergy through the expansion and activation of ILC2s, resulting in the large production of IL-4 that suppresses regulatory T cells function in the skin, lung and small intestine.¹⁰ ILC2s have been shown to be potent producers of IL-9, which was highly expressed in a study of children with peanut allergy.¹¹ In addition, the expression of IL-33 was increased in esophageal biopsies of pediatric EoE patients¹² and ILC2s density was increased in esophageal biopsies from patients with active EoE.¹³

Classification and Plasticity of ILCs

ILCs are novel subsets of lymphoid cells that lack antigen-specific receptors, making them distinct from T and B cells. They respond to a number of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-18, IL-33, IL-23, and IL-25 (IL-1 and IL-12 family cytokines) and lipid mediators.¹⁴ Helper ILCs can be classified into ILC1, ILC2, ILC3

and lymphoid tissue inducer (LTi) subtypes based on their cytokine production and transcriptional profiles (Figure 1): in this regard, ILC1s, ILC2s and ILC3s resemble CD4⁺ T helper (Th)1, Th2 and Th17/22 cells, respectively.^{15, 16} LTi cells are indispensable for the initiation of secondary lymphoid organ development during embryonic life.¹⁷ Adult LTi cells are Neuropilin-1+ (NRP1+) ILC3-like cells that are key inducers of ectopic lymphoid aggregate (ELA) formation.¹⁸ In addition to this, natural killer (NK) cells represent the "cytotoxic" ILCs corresponding to CD8+ cytotoxic T cells.^{15,19}

ILC1s are defined by the expression of T-bet (T-box expressed in T cells) transcription factor and can be activated by macrophage- and dendritic cell (DC)-derived IL-12 and IL-18. The activation of ILC1s lead to the production of interferon (IFN)- γ . Upon exposure to intracellular pathogens, an increase in ILC1 number is observed in order to protect against viruses and bacteria.²⁰ They have been implicated in conditions like Crohn's disease²¹, Celiac disease²² and chronic pulmonary obstructive disease (COPD).²³

ILC2s are under the control of transcription factor GATA-3, and once activated by epithelium-derived IL-25, IL-33 and TSLP, they produce the type 2 cytokines IL-5 and IL-13.^{15, 23} The putative role of ILC2s have been defined in several diseases including allergic asthma^{24, 25}, allergic rhinitis²⁶, atopic dermatitis (AD)²⁷ and eosinophilic esophagitis.¹³

ILC3s are divided into 2 different subtypes, which can be distinguished by the expression of the natural cytotoxicity receptor NKp44.²⁸ Retinoic acid-related orphan receptor (ROR γ t) is the transcription factor for all ILC3 subtypes. ILC3s secrete IL-17, IL-22, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and/or tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α in response to myeloid-derived IL-1 β and IL-23.²⁹ They can both promote or supress the immune response depending on the microenvironment and presence of cytokines within the tissues.³⁰ Retinoic acid and active form of vitamin D (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3) have antagonistic effects on the expression of effector cytokines and gut-homing integrin by human ILCs. The balance between these vitamins may be an important factor in the functioning of ILCs in allergic disease, including food allergy.³¹

Activated CD40L+ ILC3s reside on the border of T cell–B cell areas in tonsils and are in close contact with B cells in vivo. CD40L+ ILC3s and B cells are a symbiotic relationship: ILC3s induce IL-15 production in B cells via BAFF-receptor, while IL-15, a potent growth factor for ILC3s, upregulate CD40L expression on ILC3s. IL-15-activated CD40L+ ILC3s help the differentiation of IL-10 secreting, functional immature transitional regulatory B cells in a CD40L- and BAFF-receptor-dependent manner. This contributes to the maintenance of immune tolerance to innocuous antigens and is thought to become insufficient in allergic diseases.³² Tonsils are a crucial site for the generation of functional allergen-specific Treg cells and are therefore important mucosal sites for the development of immune toleranc. ILC3s and Breg cells co-localize in the interfollicular regions of palatine tonsils, together with Treg cells. These data suggest that there are regulatory niches in tonsils.³³

More extensive information on ILC surface markers and cytokine production can be found in recent reviews.^{28, 30,19} ILC3s have been associated with the pathology of inflammatory bowel diseases³⁴, COPD³⁵ and psoriasis.³⁶

Beyond this classification, ILCs display plasticity and heterogeneity across tissues.³⁷⁻³⁹ ILCs are able to change their phenotype and function in response to changes in the local microenvironment, a characteristic which confounds attends to delineate and classify their role. In the presence of IL-12, ILC3s and ILC2s lose their ROR γ t and GATA-3 expression respectively, and can trans-differentiate into ILC1s expressing T-bet and producing IFN- γ .^{22, 40, 41} ILC1s can be reconverted into ILC3s by stimulation with IL-1 β , IL-23 and retinoic acid.⁴²Similarly, IL-1 β and IL-4 can reconvert ILC1s into ILC2s.²³

Immune system of the skin and ILCs

The skin acts as an interface between host and environment, and serves as a mechanical and biological barrier against chemical and physical effects and pathogenic microorganisms. Skin-associated immune cells are important for this protection: macrophages, DCs, mast cells, T cells, B cells, neutrophils and recently

described ILCs orchestrate the defense while taking part in homeostatic functions.^{43, 44}Studies in humans revealed that during homeostatic skin conditions, ILCs are mainly localized in the dermis, with the exception of ILC3s which are found within the epidermis.^{45, 46} A recent study reported that ILCs are compartmentalized within sebaceuous glands, and a subset of $ROR\gamma t^+$ ILCs found within hair follicles can modulate these sebaceous glands.⁴⁷ ILC2s are the most abundant subset of ILCs found in the healthy skin, constituting nearly one third of the skin-resident lymphocytes in mice.⁴⁵ILC3s in healthy human skin are also present in high numbers.⁴⁸ Skin ILCs migrate to the tissue from the circulation. This idea is supported by the expression of CCR10 and cutaneous leukocyte antigens in some of the circulating ILCs.^{36, 49}

In the steady state, ILC2s are involved in homeostasis and wound healing.⁴⁴ Multiphoton microscopy study reveals the perivascular distribution of ILC2s and their close proximity to skin resident mast cells, suggesting that these cells affect each other during homeostasis and inflammation.⁴⁵ ILC2s are the main source of IL-13 in the skin resulting in the inhibition of the mast cell functions.⁴⁵ ILC2s express amphiregulin (AREG) which is an epidermal growth factor related molecule and plays an important role in tissue repair in the skin and airways after acute epithelial damage.^{50,51} Recently, it was shown that acute cutaneous injury promoted ILC2 response which prevented epithelialization and effective wound closure.⁵² ILC3s have also been shown to take part in skin repair. Both mouse and human studies showing accumulation of IL-17F-producing ILC3s in the wound site. In an ILC3-deficient mouse model, a delay in epidermal proliferation, macrophage accumulation and wound healing was observed, demonstrating ILC3 contribution in the repair of skin tissue.⁵³

In addition to homeostasis and wound repair, ILCs have an important role in disease processes of the skin. Exposure of the skin to allergens and exogenous molecules frequently trigger type 2 cytokine production associated with elevated TSLP, IL-33 and IL-25.^{45, 51, 54-56} This is characterized by the secretion of IL-4, IL-5 and IL-13 by ILC2s, increased eosinophil numbers and mast cell activation.^{45, 51, 56} ILC2s can also disrupt keratinocyte tight junction barrier integrity by their IL-13 production.⁵⁷ ILC2 receptors for IL-25, IL-33 and TSLP is upregulated in AD skin lesions ^{36, 51, 58, 59} and an increase in the proportion of ILC2s is also observed, suggesting an important role of ILC2s in skin inflammation.⁴⁵ Additionally, a novel mechanism for the ILC2 activation in AD is the tumor-associated surface molecule B7-H7 which increases in AD skin and activates NKp30 expression on ILC2s in human.⁶⁰Experimental models have demonstrated that ILC2s and their stimulator epithelial cytokines TSLP, IL-13 and IL-25 play an important role in the pathogenesis of food allergy.^{7, 61} Recently, a study involving murine model reported that ILC2 is an essential mediator of skin to gut crosstalk following mechanical skin injury. ILC2 was driven by IL-25 and IL-33 and resulted in the expansion of intestinal mast cells, thereby promoting IgE-mediated food anaphylaxis.⁶² Therefore, ILC2s may be an important and potent driver of the skin immune system in type 2 inflammation like food allergy and AD (Figure 2).

Mucosal immune system of the GUT and ILCs

The gastrointestinal system (GIT) is the largest lymphoid tissue in the body which interfaces with the external environment. Interaction of three distinct cellular compartment shapes the biological behaviour of this system. The first cell compartment is the epithelial mesenchymal cell monolayer composed of diverse cell types and presents a physical and biological barrier against nonself components of the lumen, including pathogens and allergic molecules. The second cell compartment comprises the innate and adaptive immune system cells including mast cells, dendritic cells, ILCs, T and B cell types and humoral components like sIgA, sIgE and sIgG. The third compartment of this ecosystem is the commensal microbiota. Communication between these three different cell compartments results in either immune tolerance to antigens and commensal bacteria, or a milieu in which an inflammatory mucosal immune response can develop. Moreover, immune reactions against harmful microorganisms are also shaped by the interaction of these three cell compartments.^{63, 64}

Luminal antigens are taken up and processed by DCs and presented to T cells leading to the development of mucosal antigen-specific immune responses such as Th1, Th2, T regulatory (Tregs), Th17 cell type or IgA-producing B cells. During homeostasis, the predominating ILC type is the ILC3s which is found mostly in the intestinal lamina propria but also in the lymphoid structures.^{22, 65} ILC3s are also involved in limitation

of pathologic adaptive immune responses against commensal bacteria in the gut^{66} , with a recent study demonstrating them as important producers of IL-2 in the gut, which plays a role in driving Treg cells.⁶⁷

LTi cells are necessary for the development of lymphoid tissues in the fetal GIT and they can also produce cytokine IL-17.^{68, 69} IL-22, a cytokine produced by ILC3, can induce epithelial tight junction proteins thereby promoting epithelial barrier function⁷⁰. ILC3-derived IL-22 also induces the production of antimicrobial peptides in the gut.⁷¹Moreover, experimental data have shown that in mice, ILC2s regulate intestinal eosinophil homeostasis⁷² and are involved in restoring the epithelial barrier function by the production of AREG (Figure 3).⁷³ Whether human ILC2s exert similar functions is still unclear.

The link between skin barrier disruption and response to food allergens in the GIT

Food allergy frequently develops in infancy,⁷⁴ so establishing oral tolerance though exposure to food allergens early in life may be protective against food allergy. The LEAP study showed that the introduction of peanut into the infant diet before 12 months of age decreased the risk of peanut allergy by 81% at age 5 years in children at high risk of developing peanut allergy due to egg allergy or severe eczema.⁷⁵ Although the GIT mucosa is continuously exposed to allergens and commensal microorganisms, the immune system usually mounts a tolerant response to these antigens. Induced regulatory T cells (iTregs) and Tr1 lymphocytes play an important role in this immune tolerance. Tolerogenic $CD103^+$ dendritic cells present the luminal antigens and induce FOXP3⁺ Tregs in a Transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) and retinoic acid dependent pathway.^{76, 77} In children who "outgrow" and become tolerant to cows milk protein, the level of Tregs are higher than in those with persisting allergy to cow's milk.⁷⁸ Genetic and environmental factors shape the immune responses in the skin when an exposure to food allergens occurs. In two epidemiologic studies, AD and the filaggrin (FLG) gene mutation have been identified as potential risk factors for the development of food allergy.^{79, 80} In animal models, epicutaneous exposure to food allergens resulted in intestinal food allergy development in a Th2 dependent manner.^{54, 81} However, the mechanism by which allergic sensitization in the skin is able to disrupt oral tolerance and lead to the development of food allergy remains unclear. It has been proposed that the triggering effect of epicutaneous food allergen exposure stimulates TSLP, IL-33 and IL-25 production in skin keratinocytes; these alarmins induce the activation of ILC2s and dendritic DCs.^{45, 51, 54-56, 76, 77} The migration of DCs to the lymph nodes triggers the proliferation of Th2 effector and memory cells.⁸² Ingestion of the food in the context of pre-existing sensitization may cause migration of these Th2 cells into the GIT where they communicate with ILC2s, which, in turn produce IL-13. Intestinal epithelial cells also produce IL-33 and IL-25, further stimulating ILC2s. These processes then induce the development of food allergy.^{83, 84}

ILCs in food allergy and oral tolerance development

IgE-mediated food allergy is the most common type of food allergy, and affecting up to 10% of infants in western populations.^{85, 86} It is characterized by specific IgE (sIgE) production and IgE interaction with different immune cells (Th2 cells, mast cells and basophils).^{86, 87} The intestinal mucosa is capable of secreting alarmins which can activate ILC2s.⁸⁸ In an experimental food allergy model, it was found that deficiency of IL33 receptor (ST2) prevented the development of food allergy.¹⁰ In another study, TSLP and basophils were both found to be important in allergic cutaneous sensitization while IL-33 was found to be necessary for the induction of IgE-dependent anaphylaxis.⁸⁹ The release of IL-33 following skin injury has been shown to induce IgE-mediated mast cell degranulation and anaphylaxis; blocking ST2 prior to oral challenge reduced the severity of reaction without affecting the Th2 response to the allergen.⁶ Another important alarmin, IL-25, has also been shown to increase following food challenges.⁷ The authors also demonstrated that mice deficient in IL-25 were more resistant to the symptoms of food allergy. Following allergic sensitization, IL-25 and IL-2 derived from CD4⁺ Th2 cells stimulate ILC2s resulting in the production of large amounts of IL-13 and amplifying the IgE-mediated response in this experimental model. In addition, ILC2s with defective IL-13 production had a reduced ability to develop allergic inflammation, resulting in resistance to food allergy.⁷ In a murine model of food allergy, IgE-stimulated mast cells induced IL-13 production from ILC2s in an IL-4Ra-dependent manner; in addition, ILC2s amplified systemic anaphylaxis by increasing target tissue sensitivity to mast cell mediators.⁹⁰ These findings support a key role of ILC2s in food allergy in an IL-13-dependent manner.

Tregs regulate the functions of ILC2s and suppress their type 2 cytokine production. Reciprocally, ILC2s secrete IL-4 which downregulates Treg functions and increases mast cell activation.¹⁰ In the steady state, these networks function to facilitate tolerance; however, genetic and environmental factors, such as microbiota dysregulation, may stimulate alarmin production from the intestinal epithelial cells resulting in ILC2 activation.⁸⁸ Of note, medium-chain triglycerides in peanut have been shown to increase alarmin production, thus promoting allergic sensitization and anaphylaxis.⁹¹ Inducible T regulatory cells (iTregs) are inhibited by the effect of IL-4,¹⁰ and after re-exposure to food allergens, activated mast cells can stimulate IL-33 production and ILC2 activation. This forms a positive feedback loop on mast cell activation and a negative feedback loop on iTregs, promoting the persistence of food allergy.^{92, 93}

Commensal bacteria also have indirect effects on host immune responses towards food antigens. Some *Clostridia* strains induce the accumulation of Tregs in the colon.^{94, 95} *Clostridia* also trigger ILC3s to produce IL-22, strengthening the epithelial barrier. In mice, *Clostridia* containing microbiota suppressed food allergic responses.⁹⁶ In response to the microbial signals, macrophages secrete IL-1 β which mediates GM-CSF release from ILC3s. GM-CSF induces IL-10 and retinoic acid production by DCs and macrophages and subsequently promotes the induction of Tregs. Any interference with this crosstalk can result in loss of oral tolerance to food allergens.⁹⁷

Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is a chronic disease characterized by gastroesophageal reflux disease, and dysphagia leading to food impactions and strictures. Pathologically, esophageal remodeling consists of epithelial hyperplasia with barrier dysfunction, subepithelial fibrosis, and smooth muscle dysmotility.⁹⁸ Although the role of IgE in the pathophysiology of EoE is unclear, affected individuals usually have elevated levels total IgE and IgE sensitization to foods and aeroallergens.⁹⁹ In children with EoE, sensitization to both food and environmental allergens may be observed in 75% of patients.¹⁰⁰ Elimination of food allergens, or elemental diets can reduce disease symptoms in 70–99% of patients, however relapse is very common after re-introduction of food allergens.¹⁰¹ This suggests that chronic food antigen hypersensitivity is an essential feature of EoE. In addition, many patients with EoE have a history of IgE-mediated food allergy,^{100, 102} and there may be progression from IgE-mediated food allergy to the later development of EoE towards the same food.¹⁰³EoE has been reported as an adverse event in up to 10% of patients undergoing oral immunotherapy (OIT) for IgE-mediated food allergy, although fortunately in this context symptoms tend to resolve after discontinuation of the triggering allergen (presumably on the basis that the development of symptoms in the context of OIT is less chronic in natüre).^{104, 105}Furthermore, OIT is known to stimulate the production of IgG_4 , which may indicate its in the pathogenesis of EoE.^{106, 107} Historically, EoE was proposed to ocur through an IgE-mediated mechanism, however targeted dietary elimination and the use of IgE-blocking strategies have failed to support this notion. Measures of IgE sensitisation are not helpful in identifying the responsible food allergen in clinical practice.¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹¹This, while EoE is associated with IgE sensitization, it is not considered to be an IgE-mediated disease.

There is increasing evidence for epithelial barrier dysfunction in EoE followed by eosinophilic inflammation like AD. The expression of FLG, zonula occludens (ZO)-3 and claudin-1 is decreased in EoE patients, correlating with spongiosis,¹¹² and mutations in FLG are higher in patients with EoE.¹¹³ EoE pathogenesis is determined by TSLP produced by epithelial cells and IL-5 and IL-13 produced by inflammatory cells, including ILC2s. IL-5 production leads to esophageal eosinophilia and IL-13 induces EoE-specific epithelial gene expression and remodeling like angiogenesis.¹¹⁴Eosinophil granulocyte-derived extracellular traps stimulate alarmin (IL-33, TSLP) production in airway epithelial cells that enhances ILC2 activation and cytokine production.¹¹⁵ It remains unknown as to whether eosinophil cells contribute to ILC2 activation in patients with EoE. IL-33 gene expression has been implicated in pediatric EoE development.¹² Inhibition of the esophageal Treg function by IL-33 may induce loss of antigen specific tolerance and provide a mechanistic rationale for EoE development.¹² IL-33 and TSLP induce human ILC2s to produce large amounts of Th2 cytokines. Recently it was shown that ILC2s were significantly increased in tissues from patients with active EoE versus inactive EoE and were also higher than ILC2s in controls. Importantly, levels of ILC2s in biopsy specimens correlated with numbers of eosinophils in esophagus tissue. This supports a role for ILC2s in EoE pathogenesis.¹³ Mast cells and IL-9 have also been implicated. ILC2s produce IL-9 and are located close to mast cells in human lung, suggesting a crosstalk between these two cell types.^{116, 117} Both IL-33 and TSLP activate ILC2s from esophageal lymphoid tissue which provides a proof of concept for ILC2s induction in EoE (Figure 4).¹³ Indeed, TSLP is highly expressed in EoE tissue.^{98, 118}

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a relapsing chronic inflammatory skin disease characterized by epithelial barrier dysfunction and type 2 inflammation.¹¹⁹ During the development of AD, environmental allergens and genetic factors affect the skin barrier function and trigger type 2 inflammation. This may lead to the failure of the mechanisms of oral tolerance, resulting in the development of food allergy. Infants with AD at 4 months of age are at increased risk for allergy to hen's egg.⁷⁹ An important risk factor for AD is the FLG gene mutation. FLG mutations cause skin barrier disruption and decrease the level of E-cadherin which is an important inhibitor of ILC2s through its receptor (Killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G member 1 (KLRG1).⁵¹ In an experimental model of AD with FLG mutations, skin inflammation was associated with the expansion of IL-5-producing ILC2s. Additionally, mice with FLG mutations showed increased frequency of ILC2s in the skin in comparison to control subjects.²⁷

Interaction of ILC2s with other cell types may also be important. Although basophils in healthy human skin are rare, they are enriched in the dermis of AD lesions and located in close proximity to ILC2. ILC2s express IL-4 receptor α (IL-4R α) and proliferate in an IL-4-dependent manner. IL-4 is supplied by basophils during AD inflammation, which suggests a relationship between these two cell types in AD pathogenesis.¹²⁰ Dermal ILC2s locate perivascularly and are in close proximity to the skin resident mast cells. ILC2s produce IL-9 and IL-13 and these cytokines may activate mast cells. On the other hand, mast cells produce IL-25, Prostaglandin D2 (PGD2), and Leukotriene C4 (LTC4) which can activate the ILC2s.¹²¹Both mast cells and ILC2s can be activated by TSLP which may potentiate the function of both of these cell types.

Therapeutic applications and future perspectives

Pathological processes in food allergy are related to type 2 inflammation, in both IgE mediated and non-IgE mediated diseases. ILC2s have been shown to be key drivers of the pathophysiology. Glucocorticosteroids are often used as therapy and their effect on suppressing ILC2s has been previously demonstrated.^{122,123} TSLP is related to steroid resistance and blockage with anti-TSLP antibody (AMG157) decreases eosinophilic inflammation in target tissues.^{124,125}IL-25 and IL-33 are also attractive targets for treating allergic diseases. PGI2 analog cicaprost inhibits IL-33-induced ILC2 proliferation in experimental mouse models and decreases IL-5 and IL-13 secretion in humans.¹²⁶ Blocking of IL-25, IL-33, or TSLP by antibodies like tezepelumab have been reported to be beneficial for treating allergic patients.¹²⁷ Cytokines released by ILC2s may be targeted to suppress type 2 inflammation. Humanized monoclonal Ab against IL-5 (mepolizumab) and a human Ab against IL-4R α (dupilumab) were found to be effective against several allergic diseases in humans.^{128, 129} Dupilumab targets the IL-4R, thereby inhibiting both IL-4 and IL-13 and improves uncontrolled moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis as well as persistent asthma.^{130, 131}

Many other molecules and pathways relevant to ILC2 activation are also being explored. CRTH2 (CD294), a receptor for PGD2 expressed by ILC2s, promotes ILC2 migration and IL-13 production.¹³²Currently, selective CRTH2 antagonists like fevipiprant and timapiprant are being tested for the treatment of allergic diseases.^{133, 134} Furthermore, leukotriene receptor antagonists, such as montelukast and zafirlukast can prevent ILC2 activation by inhibiting the cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 (CysLT1R).¹³⁵ Lipoxin A4 (LXA4) functions as an inhibitor of ILC2s¹³⁶ and severe asthma patients have a LXA4 deficiency¹³⁷, making LXA4 a treatment option in these patients. Considering that upregulation of c-Myc expression is essential for activation and in vivo pathogenic effects of ILC2s, its inhibition might prove to be a promising novel strategy to combat allergic diseases.¹³⁸

Regulatory immune cells have also emerged as potential targets, for example Treg cells which can suppress ILC2-driven inflammation. Several recent studies have shown the existence of a novel regulatory ILC counterpart, with the capacity to produce IL-10. These IL-10-producing ILCs are distinct from Treg cells in

*in vitro*studies¹³⁹ and can be induced following stimulation with retinoic acid in the periphery. Moreover, these IL-10-producing ILCs are functional and can suppress Th2 cell responses^{140, 141}. These ILCs with regulatory capacity have also been demonstrated to be induced following subcutanous (SCIT) and sublingual grass pollen immunotherapy (SLIT).¹⁴¹These preliminary, yet promising, observations highlight the potential utility of regulatory ILCs as a target for the treatment of food allergy. Finally, possible interaction of ILCs with a novel subset of T cells known as T follicular helper 13 (T_{FH}13) cells which have been implicated in food allergy remains to be identified.¹⁴³

Conclusion

Food allergy is an increasing problem throughout the world. There remain significant unanswered questions about its pathogenesis. ILCs provide a bridge between native and adaptive immunity thereby having a crucial role in immunity. There is a growing awareness about the plasticity of ILCs in different inflammatory conditions. It is likely that future studies will provide more insights to understanding how the skin and gastrointestinal mucosal immune systems interact, both in homeostasis and in the progression to food allergy. In particular, it will be important to identify physiologically relevant stimuli that directly or indirectly activate ILC2s in skin, which may lead to epicutaneous sensitization. A strong association of AD and food allergy is found stronger in infancy than childhood, despite epicutaneous sensitization being more evident in later life.¹⁴² Mechanisms of epicutaneous sensitization during infancy and the role of ILCs have yet be identified. Understanding the contribution of ILCs to inflammatory processes and regulatory mechanisms in food allergy may help to develop novel therapeutics that target ILCs to treat food allergy and to induce immune tolerance.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Classification and plasticity of ILCs.

Figure 2. Pathophysiology of the atopic dermatitis (AD) and the role of ILC2s in AD.

Figure 3. Development of IgE-mediated food allergy in mucosal immune system of the gut.

Figure 4. Role of ILC2 in eosinophilic eosophagitis (EoE).

REFERENCES

1. Boyce JA, Assa'ad A, Burks AW, Jones SM, Sampson HA, Wood RA, et al. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Food Allergy in the United States: Summary of the NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel Report. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010; 126:1105-18.

2. Gupta RS, Springston EE, Warrier MR, Smith B, Kumar R, Pongracic J, et al. The prevalence, severity, and distribution of childhood food allergy in the United States. Pediatrics 2011; 128:e9-17.

3. Chafen JJ, Newberry SJ, Riedl MA, Bravata DM, Maglione M, Suttorp MJ, et al. Diagnosing and managing common food allergies: a systematic review. JAMA 2010; 303:1848-56.

4. Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. Food allergy: Epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014; 133:291-307; quiz 8.

5. Brough HA, Liu AH, Sicherer S, Makinson K, Douiri A, Brown SJ, et al. Atopic dermatitis increases the effect of exposure to peanut antigen in dust on peanut sensitization and likely peanut allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015; 135:164-70.

6. Galand C, Leyva-Castillo JM, Yoon J, Han A, Lee MS, McKenzie ANJ, et al. IL-33 promotes food anaphylaxis in epicutaneously sensitized mice by targeting mast cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016; 138:1356-66.

7. Lee JB, Chen CY, Liu B, Mugge L, Angkasekwinai P, Facchinetti V, et al. IL-25 and CD4(+) TH2 cells enhance type 2 innate lymphoid cell-derived IL-13 production, which promotes IgE-mediated experimental food allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016; 137:1216-25 e5.

8. Herberth G, Daegelmann C, Roder S, Behrendt H, Kramer U, Borte M, et al. IL-17E but not IL-17A is associated with allergic sensitization: results from the LISA study. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2010; 21:1086-90.

9. Wang YH, Liu YJ. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin, OX40-ligand, and interleukin-25 in allergic responses. Clin Exp Allergy 2009; 39:798-806.

10. Noval Rivas M, Burton OT, Oettgen HC, Chatila T. IL-4 production by group 2 innate lymphoid cells promotes food allergy by blocking regulatory T-cell function. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016; 138:801-11 e9.

11. Brough HA, Cousins DJ, Munteanu A, Wong YF, Sudra A, Makinson K, et al. IL-9 is a key component of memory TH cell peanut-specific responses from children with peanut allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014; 134:1329-38.e10.

12. Judd LM, Heine RG, Menheniott TR, Buzzelli J, O'Brien-Simpson N, Pavlic D, et al. Elevated IL-33 expression is associated with pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis, and exogenous IL-33 promotes eosinophilic esophagitis development in mice. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2016; 310:G13-25.

13. Doherty TA, Baum R, Newbury RO, Yang T, Dohil R, Aquino M, et al. Group 2 innate lymphocytes (ILC2) are enriched in active eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015; 136:792-4 e3.

14. Artis D, Spits H. The biology of innate lymphoid cells. Nature 2015; 517:293-301.

15. Spits H, Artis D, Colonna M, Diefenbach A, Di Santo JP, Eberl G, et al. Innate lymphoid cells–a proposal for uniform nomenclature. Nat Rev Immunol 2013; 13:145-9.

16. Shih HY, Sciume G, Poholek AC, Vahedi G, Hirahara K, Villarino AV, et al. Transcriptional and epigenetic networks of helper T and innate lymphoid cells. Immunol Rev 2014; 261:23-49.

17. van de Pavert SA, Olivier BJ, Goverse G, Vondenhoff MF, Greuter M, Beke P, et al. Chemokine CXCL13 is essential for lymph node initiation and is induced by retinoic acid and neuronal stimulation. Nat Immunol 2009; 10:1193-9.

18. Shikhagaie MM, Bjorklund AK, Mjosberg J, Erjefalt JS, Cornelissen AS, Ros XR, et al. Neuropilin-1 Is Expressed on Lymphoid Tissue Residing LTi-like Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cells and Associated with Ectopic Lymphoid Aggregates. Cell Rep 2017; 18:1761-73.

19. Vivier E, Artis D, Colonna M, Diefenbach A, Di Santo JP, Eberl G, et al. Innate Lymphoid Cells: 10 Years On. Cell 2018; 174:1054-66.

20. Kortekaas Krohn I, Shikhagaie MM, Golebski K, Bernink JH, Breynaert C, Creyns B, et al. Emerging roles of innate lymphoid cells in inflammatory diseases: Clinical implications. Allergy 2017.

21. Fuchs A, Vermi W, Lee JS, Lonardi S, Gilfillan S, Newberry RD, et al. Intraepithelial type 1 innate lymphoid cells are a unique subset of IL-12- and IL-15-responsive IFN-gamma-producing cells. Immunity 2013; 38:769-81.

22. Bernink JH, Peters CP, Munneke M, te Velde AA, Meijer SL, Weijer K, et al. Human type 1 innate lymphoid cells accumulate in inflamed mucosal tissues. Nat Immunol 2013; 14:221-9.

23. Bal SM, Bernink JH, Nagasawa M, Groot J, Shikhagaie MM, Golebski K, et al. IL-1beta, IL-4 and IL-12 control the fate of group 2 innate lymphoid cells in human airway inflammation in the lungs. Nat Immunol 2016; 17:636-45.

24. Jia Y, Fang X, Zhu X, Bai C, Zhu L, Jin M, et al. IL-13(+) Type 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells Correlate with Asthma Control Status and Treatment Response. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2016; 55:675-83.

25. Chen R, Smith SG, Salter B, El-Gammal A, Oliveria JP, Obminski C, et al. Allergen-induced Increases in Sputum Levels of Group 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells in Subjects with Asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017; 196:700-12.

26. Lao-Araya M, Steveling E, Scadding GW, Durham SR, Shamji MH. Seasonal increases in peripheral innate lymphoid type 2 cells are inhibited by subcutaneous grass pollen immunotherapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014; 134:1193-5 e4.

27. Saunders SP, Moran T, Floudas A, Wurlod F, Kaszlikowska A, Salimi M, et al. Spontaneous atopic dermatitis is mediated by innate immunity, with the secondary lung inflammation of the atopic march requiring adaptive immunity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016; 137:482-91.

28. Mjösberg J, Spits H. Human innate lymphoid cells. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 2016; 138:1265-76.

29. Buonocore S, Ahern PP, Uhlig HH, Ivanov, II, Littman DR, Maloy KJ, et al. Innate lymphoid cells drive interleukin-23-dependent innate intestinal pathology. Nature 2010; 464:1371-5.

30. Klose CS, Artis D. Innate lymphoid cells as regulators of immunity, inflammation and tissue homeostasis. Nat Immunol 2016; 17:765-74.

31. Ruiter B, Patil SU, Shreffler WG. Vitamins A and D have antagonistic effects on expression of effector cytokines and gut-homing integrin in human innate lymphoid cells. Clin Exp Allergy 2015; 45:1214-25.

32. Komlosi ZI, Kovacs N, van de Veen W, Kirsch AI, Fahrner HB, Wawrzyniak M, et al. Human CD40 ligand-expressing type 3 innate lymphoid cells induce IL-10-producing immature transitional regulatory B cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2018; 142:178-94 e11.

33. Palomares O, Ruckert B, Jartti T, Kucuksezer UC, Puhakka T, Gomez E, et al. Induction and maintenance of allergen-specific FOXP3+ Treg cells in human tonsils as potential first-line organs of oral tolerance. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012; 129:510-20, 20 e1-9.

34. Li J, Doty AL, Tang Y, Berrie D, Iqbal A, Tan SA, et al. Enrichment of IL-17A(+) IFN-gamma(+) and IL-22(+) IFN-gamma(+) T cell subsets is associated with reduction of NKp44(+) ILC3s in the terminal ileum of Crohn's disease patients. Clin Exp Immunol 2017; 190:143-53.

35. De Grove KC, Provoost S, Verhamme FM, Bracke KR, Joos GF, Maes T, et al. Characterization and Quantification of Innate Lymphoid Cell Subsets in Human Lung. PLoS One 2016; 11:e0145961.

36. Teunissen MBM, Munneke JM, Bernink JH, Spuls PI, Res PCM, Te Velde A, et al. Composition of innate lymphoid cell subsets in the human skin: enrichment of NCR(+) ILC3 in lesional skin and blood of psoriasis patients. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134:2351-60.

37. Gury-BenAri M, Thaiss CA, Serafini N, Winter DR, Giladi A, Lara-Astiaso D, et al. The Spectrum and Regulatory Landscape of Intestinal Innate Lymphoid Cells Are Shaped by the Microbiome. Cell 2016; 166:1231-46 e13.

38. Simoni Y, Fehlings M, Kloverpris HN, McGovern N, Koo SL, Loh CY, et al. Human Innate Lymphoid Cell Subsets Possess Tissue-Type Based Heterogeneity in Phenotype and Frequency. Immunity 2017; 46:148-61.

39. Bal SM, Golebski K, Spits H. Plasticity of innate lymphoid cell subsets. Nat Rev Immunol 2020; 20:552-65.

40. Klose CS, Kiss EA, Schwierzeck V, Ebert K, Hoyler T, d'Hargues Y, et al. A T-bet gradient controls the fate and function of CCR6-RORgammat+ innate lymphoid cells. Nature 2013; 494:261-5.

41. Klose CSN, Flach M, Mohle L, Rogell L, Hoyler T, Ebert K, et al. Differentiation of type 1 ILCs from a common progenitor to all helper-like innate lymphoid cell lineages. Cell 2014; 157:340-56.

42. Bernink JH, Krabbendam L, Germar K, de Jong E, Gronke K, Kofoed-Nielsen M, et al. Interleukin-12 and -23 Control Plasticity of CD127(+) Group 1 and Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cells in the Intestinal Lamina Propria. Immunity 2015; 43:146-60.

43. Abdallah F, Mijouin L, Pichon C. Skin Immune Landscape: Inside and Outside the Organism. Mediators Inflamm 2017; 2017:5095293.

44. Mjosberg J, Spits H. Human innate lymphoid cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016; 138:1265-76.

45. Roediger B, Kyle R, Yip KH, Sumaria N, Guy TV, Kim BS, et al. Cutaneous immunosurveillance and regulation of inflammation by group 2 innate lymphoid cells. Nat Immunol 2013; 14:564-73.

46. Villanova F, Flutter B, Tosi I, Grys K, Sreeneebus H, Perera GK, et al. Characterization of innate lymphoid cells in human skin and blood demonstrates increase of NKp44+ ILC3 in psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134:984-91.

47. Kobayashi T, Voisin B, Kim DY, Kennedy EA, Jo JH, Shih HY, et al. Homeostatic Control of Sebaceous Glands by Innate Lymphoid Cells Regulates Commensal Bacteria Equilibrium. Cell 2019; 176:982-97 e16.

48. Dyring-Andersen B, Geisler C, Agerbeck C, Lauritsen JP, Gudjonsdottir SD, Skov L, et al. Increased number and frequency of group 3 innate lymphoid cells in nonlesional psoriatic skin. Br J Dermatol 2014; 170:609-16.

49. Munneke JM, Bjorklund AT, Mjosberg JM, Garming-Legert K, Bernink JH, Blom B, et al. Activated innate lymphoid cells are associated with a reduced susceptibility to graft-versus-host disease. Blood 2014; 124:812-21.

50. Monticelli LA, Sonnenberg GF, Abt MC, Alenghat T, Ziegler CG, Doering TA, et al. Innate lymphoid cells promote lung-tissue homeostasis after infection with influenza virus. Nat Immunol 2011; 12:1045-54.

51. Salimi M, Barlow JL, Saunders SP, Xue L, Gutowska-Owsiak D, Wang X, et al. A role for IL-25 and IL-33-driven type-2 innate lymphoid cells in atopic dermatitis. J Exp Med 2013; 210:2939-50.

52. Rak GD, Osborne LC, Siracusa MC, Kim BS, Wang K, Bayat A, et al. IL-33-Dependent Group 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells Promote Cutaneous Wound Healing. J Invest Dermatol 2016; 136:487-96.

53. Li Z, Hodgkinson T, Gothard EJ, Boroumand S, Lamb R, Cummins I, et al. Epidermal Notch1 recruits RORgamma(+) group 3 innate lymphoid cells to orchestrate normal skin repair. Nat Commun 2016; 7:11394.

54. Tordesillas L, Goswami R, Benede S, Grishina G, Dunkin D, Jarvinen KM, et al. Skin exposure promotes a Th2-dependent sensitization to peanut allergens. J Clin Invest 2014; 124:4965-75.

55. Reese TA, Liang HE, Tager AM, Luster AD, Van Rooijen N, Voehringer D, et al. Chitin induces accumulation in tissue of innate immune cells associated with allergy. Nature 2007; 447:92-6.

56. Kim BS, Siracusa MC, Saenz SA, Noti M, Monticelli LA, Sonnenberg GF, et al. TSLP elicits IL-33independent innate lymphoid cell responses to promote skin inflammation. Sci Transl Med 2013; 5:170ra16.

57. Sugita K, Altunbulakli C, Morita H, Sugita A, Kubo T, Kimura R, et al. Human type 2 innate lymphoid cells disrupt skin keratinocyte tight junction barrier by IL-13. Allergy 2019; 74:2534-7.

58. Imai Y, Yasuda K, Sakaguchi Y, Haneda T, Mizutani H, Yoshimoto T, et al. Skin-specific expression of IL-33 activates group 2 innate lymphoid cells and elicits atopic dermatitis-like inflammation in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013; 110:13921-6.

59. Li M, Messaddeq N, Teletin M, Pasquali JL, Metzger D, Chambon P. Retinoid X receptor ablation in adult mouse keratinocytes generates an atopic dermatitis triggered by thymic stromal lymphopoietin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102:14795-800.

60. Salimi M, Xue L, Jolin H, Hardman C, Cousins DJ, McKenzie AN, et al. Group 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells Express Functional NKp30 Receptor Inducing Type 2 Cytokine Production. J Immunol 2016; 196:45-54.

61. Chu DK, Llop-Guevara A, Walker TD, Flader K, Goncharova S, Boudreau JE, et al. IL-33, but not thymic stromal lymphopoietin or IL-25, is central to mite and peanut allergic sensitization. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013; 131:187-200 e1-8.

62. Leyva-Castillo JM, Galand C, Kam C, Burton O, Gurish M, Musser MA, et al. Mechanical Skin Injury Promotes Food Anaphylaxis by Driving Intestinal Mast Cell Expansion. Immunity 2019; 50:1262-75 e4.

63. Kurashima Y, Kiyono H. Mucosal Ecological Network of Epithelium and Immune Cells for Gut Homeostasis and Tissue Healing. Annu Rev Immunol 2017; 35:119-47.

64. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, et al. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 2005; 308:1635-8.

65. Fuchs A, Colonna M. Innate lymphoid cells in homeostasis, infection, chronic inflammation and tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2013; 29:581-7.

66. Hepworth MR, Fung TC, Masur SH, Kelsen JR, McConnell FM, Dubrot J, et al. Immune tolerance. Group 3 innate lymphoid cells mediate intestinal selection of commensal bacteria-specific CD4(+) T cells. Science 2015; 348:1031-5.

67. Zhou L, Chu C, Teng F, Bessman NJ, Goc J, Santosa EK, et al. Innate lymphoid cells support regulatory T cells in the intestine through interleukin-2. Nature 2019; 568:405-9.

68. Melo-Gonzalez F, Hepworth MR. Functional and phenotypic heterogeneity of group 3 innate lymphoid cells. Immunology 2017; 150:265-75.

69. Elson CO, Cong Y, Weaver CT, Schoeb TR, McClanahan TK, Fick RB, et al. Monoclonal anti-interleukin 23 reverses active colitis in a T cell-mediated model in mice. Gastroenterology 2007; 132:2359-70.

70. Rankin LC, Girard-Madoux MJ, Seillet C, Mielke LA, Kerdiles Y, Fenis A, et al. Complementarity and redundancy of IL-22-producing innate lymphoid cells. Nat Immunol 2016; 17:179-86.

71. Buela KA, Omenetti S, Pizarro TT. Cross-talk between type 3 innate lymphoid cells and the gut microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2015; 31:449-55.

72. Nussbaum JC, Van Dyken SJ, von Moltke J, Cheng LE, Mohapatra A, Molofsky AB, et al. Type 2 innate lymphoid cells control eosinophil homeostasis. Nature 2013; 502:245-8.

73. Monticelli LA, Osborne LC, Noti M, Tran SV, Zaiss DM, Artis D. IL-33 promotes an innate immune pathway of intestinal tissue protection dependent on amphiregulin-EGFR interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015; 112:10762-7.

74. Sampson HA. Food allergy. Part 1: immunopathogenesis and clinical disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999; 103:717-28.

75. Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, Bahnson HT, Radulovic S, Santos AF, et al. Randomized trial of peanut consumption in infants at risk for peanut allergy. N Engl J Med 2015; 372:803-13.

76. Schulz O, Jaensson E, Persson EK, Liu X, Worbs T, Agace WW, et al. Intestinal CD103+, but not CX3CR1+, antigen sampling cells migrate in lymph and serve classical dendritic cell functions. J Exp Med 2009; 206:3101-14.

77. Coombes JL, Siddiqui KR, Arancibia-Carcamo CV, Hall J, Sun CM, Belkaid Y, et al. A functionally specialized population of mucosal CD103+ DCs induces Foxp3+ regulatory T cells via a TGF-beta and retinoic acid-dependent mechanism. J Exp Med 2007; 204:1757-64.

78. Karlsson MR, Rugtveit J, Brandtzaeg P. Allergen-responsive CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in children who have outgrown cow's milk allergy. J Exp Med 2004; 199:1679-88.

79. Hill DJ, Hosking CS, de Benedictis FM, Oranje AP, Diepgen TL, Bauchau V, et al. Confirmation of the association between high levels of immunoglobulin E food sensitization and eczema in infancy: an international study. Clin Exp Allergy 2008; 38:161-8.

80. Lack G, Fox D, Northstone K, Golding J, Avon Longitudinal Study of P, Children Study T. Factors associated with the development of peanut allergy in childhood. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:977-85.

81. Noti M, Kim BS, Siracusa MC, Rak GD, Kubo M, Moghaddam AE, et al. Exposure to food allergens through inflamed skin promotes intestinal food allergy through the thymic stromal lymphopoietin-basophil axis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014; 133:1390-9, 9 e1-6.

82. Wang YH, Ito T, Wang YH, Homey B, Watanabe N, Martin R, et al. Maintenance and polarization of human TH2 central memory T cells by thymic stromal lymphopoietin-activated dendritic cells. Immunity 2006; 24:827-38.

83. Shik D, Tomar S, Lee JB, Chen CY, Smith A, Wang YH. IL-9-producing cells in the development of IgE-mediated food allergy. Semin Immunopathol 2017; 39:69-77.

84. Lee JB. Regulation of IgE-Mediated Food Allergy by IL-9 Producing Mucosal Mast Cells and Type 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells. Immune Netw 2016; 16:211-8.

85. Loh W, Tang MLK. The Epidemiology of Food Allergy in the Global Context. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018; 15.

86. Osborne NJ, Koplin JJ, Martin PE, Gurrin LC, Lowe AJ, Matheson MC, et al. Prevalence of challengeproven IgE-mediated food allergy using population-based sampling and predetermined challenge criteria in infants. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011; 127:668-76 e1-2.

87. Xepapadaki P, Fiocchi A, Grabenhenrich L, Roberts G, Grimshaw KE, Fiandor A, et al. Incidence and natural history of hen's egg allergy in the first 2 years of life-the EuroPrevall birth cohort study. Allergy 2016; 71:350-7.

88. Palmer G, Gabay C. Interleukin-33 biology with potential insights into human diseases. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2011; 7:321-9.

89. Muto T, Fukuoka A, Kabashima K, Ziegler SF, Nakanishi K, Matsushita K, et al. The role of basophils and proallergic cytokines, TSLP and IL-33, in cutaneously sensitized food allergy. Int Immunol 2014; 26:539-49.

90. Burton OT, Medina Tamayo J, Stranks AJ, Miller S, Koleoglou KJ, Weinberg EO, et al. IgE promotes type 2 innate lymphoid cells in murine food allergy. Clin Exp Allergy 2018; 48:288-96.

91. Li J, Wang Y, Tang L, de Villiers WJ, Cohen D, Woodward J, et al. Dietary medium-chain triglycerides promote oral allergic sensitization and orally induced anaphylaxis to peanut protein in mice. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013; 131:442-50.

92. Lefrancais E, Duval A, Mirey E, Roga S, Espinosa E, Cayrol C, et al. Central domain of IL-33 is cleaved by mast cell proteases for potent activation of group-2 innate lymphoid cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111:15502-7.

93. Hsu CL, Neilsen CV, Bryce PJ. IL-33 is produced by mast cells and regulates IgE-dependent inflammation. PLoS One 2010; 5:e11944.

94. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Shima T, Imaoka A, Kuwahara T, Momose Y, et al. Induction of colonic regulatory T cells by indigenous Clostridium species. Science 2011; 331:337-41.

95. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Oshima K, Suda W, Nagano Y, Nishikawa H, et al. Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of Clostridia strains from the human microbiota. Nature 2013; 500:232-6.

96. Stefka AT, Feehley T, Tripathi P, Qiu J, McCoy K, Mazmanian SK, et al. Commensal bacteria protect against food allergen sensitization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111:13145-50.

97. Mortha A, Chudnovskiy A, Hashimoto D, Bogunovic M, Spencer SP, Belkaid Y, et al. Microbiotadependent crosstalk between macrophages and ILC3 promotes intestinal homeostasis. Science 2014; 343:1249288.

98. Aceves SS. Eosinophilic esophagitis. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am 2015; 35:145-59.

99. Straumann A, Aceves SS, Blanchard C, Collins MH, Furuta GT, Hirano I, et al. Pediatric and adult eosinophilic esophagitis: similarities and differences. Allergy 2012; 67:477-90.

100. Assa'ad AH, Putnam PE, Collins MH, Akers RM, Jameson SC, Kirby CL, et al. Pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis: an 8-year follow-up. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007; 119:731-8.

101. Davis BP, Rothenberg ME. Emerging concepts of dietary therapy for pediatric and adult eosinophilic esophagitis. Expert Rev Clin Immunol 2013; 9:285-7.

102. Spergel JM, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Beausoleil JL, Franciosi J, Shuker M, Verma R, et al. 14 years of eosinophilic esophagitis: clinical features and prognosis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2009; 48:30-6.

103. Maggadottir SM, Hill DA, Ruymann K, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Cianferoni A, Shuker M, et al. Resolution of acute IgE-mediated allergy with development of eosinophilic esophagitis triggered by the same food. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014; 133:1487-9, 9 e1.

104. Lucendo AJ, Arias A, Tenias JM. Relation between eosinophilic esophagitis and oral immunotherapy for food allergy: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2014; 113:624-9.

105. Ridolo E, De Angelis GL, Dall'aglio P. Eosinophilic esophagitis after specific oral tolerance induction for egg protein. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2011; 106:73-4.

106. Clayton F, Fang JC, Gleich GJ, Lucendo AJ, Olalla JM, Vinson LA, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in adults is associated with IgG4 and not mediated by IgE. Gastroenterology 2014; 147:602-9.

107. Wright BL, Kulis M, Guo R, Orgel KA, Wolf WA, Burks AW, et al. Food-specific IgG4 is associated with eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016; 138:1190-2 e3.

108. Spergel JM, Beausoleil JL, Mascarenhas M, Liacouras CA. The use of skin prick tests and patch tests to identify causative foods in eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002; 109:363-8.

109. Spergel JM, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Cianferoni A, Shuker M, Wang ML, Verma R, et al. Identification of causative foods in children with eosinophilic esophagitis treated with an elimination diet. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012; 130:461-7 e5.

110. Henderson CJ, Abonia JP, King EC, Putnam PE, Collins MH, Franciosi JP, et al. Comparative dietary therapy effectiveness in remission of pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012; 129:1570-8.

111. Loizou D, Enav B, Komlodi-Pasztor E, Hider P, Kim-Chang J, Noonan L, et al. A pilot study of omalizumab in eosinophilic esophagitis. PLoS One 2015; 10:e0113483.

112. Katzka DA, Tadi R, Smyrk TC, Katarya E, Sharma A, Geno DM, et al. Effects of topical steroids on tight junction proteins and spongiosis in esophageal epithelia of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 12:1824-9 e1.

113. Blanchard C, Stucke EM, Burwinkel K, Caldwell JM, Collins MH, Ahrens A, et al. Coordinate interaction between IL-13 and epithelial differentiation cluster genes in eosinophilic esophagitis. J Immunol 2010; 184:4033-41.

114. Blanchard C, Mingler MK, Vicario M, Abonia JP, Wu YY, Lu TX, et al. IL-13 involvement in eosinophilic esophagitis: transcriptome analysis and reversibility with glucocorticoids. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007; 120:1292-300.

115. Choi Y, Kim YM, Lee HR, Mun J, Sim S, Lee DH, et al. Eosinophil extracellular traps activate type 2 innate lymphoid cells through stimulating airway epithelium in severe asthma. Allergy 2020; 75:95-103.

116. Wilhelm C, Hirota K, Stieglitz B, Van Snick J, Tolaini M, Lahl K, et al. An IL-9 fate reporter demonstrates the induction of an innate IL-9 response in lung inflammation. Nat Immunol 2011; 12:1071-7.

117. Otani IM, Anilkumar AA, Newbury RO, Bhagat M, Beppu LY, Dohil R, et al. Anti-IL-5 therapy reduces mast cell and IL-9 cell numbers in pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013; 131:1576-82.

118. Mjosberg J, Bernink J, Golebski K, Karrich JJ, Peters CP, Blom B, et al. The transcription factor GATA3 is essential for the function of human type 2 innate lymphoid cells. Immunity 2012; 37:649-59.

119. Weidinger S, Novak N. Atopic dermatitis. Lancet 2016; 387:1109-22.

120. Kim BS, Wang K, Siracusa MC, Saenz SA, Brestoff JR, Monticelli LA, et al. Basophils promote innate lymphoid cell responses in inflamed skin. J Immunol 2014; 193:3717-25.

121. Tojima I, Matsumoto K, Kikuoka H, Hara S, Yamamoto S, Shimizu S, et al. Evidence for the induction of Th2 inflammation by group 2 innate lymphoid cells in response to prostaglandin D2 and cysteinyl leukotrienes in allergic rhinitis. Allergy 2019; 74:2417-26.

122. Walford HH, Lund SJ, Baum RE, White AA, Bergeron CM, Husseman J, et al. Increased ILC2s in the eosinophilic nasal polyp endotype are associated with corticosteroid responsiveness. Clin Immunol 2014; 155:126-35.

123. Yu QN, Guo YB, Li X, Li CL, Tan WP, Fan XL, et al. ILC2 frequency and activity are inhibited by glucocorticoid treatment via STAT pathway in patients with asthma. Allergy 2018; 73:1860-70.

124. Gauvreau GM, O'Byrne PM, Boulet LP, Wang Y, Cockcroft D, Bigler J, et al. Effects of an anti-TSLP antibody on allergen-induced asthmatic responses. N Engl J Med 2014; 370:2102-10.

125. Kabata H, Moro K, Fukunaga K, Suzuki Y, Miyata J, Masaki K, et al. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin induces corticosteroid resistance in natural helper cells during airway inflammation. Nat Commun 2013; 4:2675.

126. Zhou W, Toki S, Zhang J, Goleniewksa K, Newcomb DC, Cephus JY, et al. Prostaglandin I2 Signaling and Inhibition of Group 2 Innate Lymphoid Cell Responses. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016; 193:31-42.

127. Lau EMT, Roche NA, Reddel HK. Therapeutic approaches to asthma-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap. Expert Rev Clin Immunol 2017; 13:449-55.

128. Beck LA, Thaci D, Hamilton JD, Graham NM, Bieber T, Rocklin R, et al. Dupilumab treatment in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. N Engl J Med 2014; 371:130-9.

129. Ortega HG, Liu MC, Pavord ID, Brusselle GG, FitzGerald JM, Chetta A, et al. Mepolizumab treatment in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. N Engl J Med 2014; 371:1198-207.

130. Thaci D, Simpson EL, Beck LA, Bieber T, Blauvelt A, Papp K, et al. Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis inadequately controlled by topical treatments: a randomised, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging phase 2b trial. Lancet 2016; 387:40-52.

131. Wenzel S, Castro M, Corren J, Maspero J, Wang L, Zhang B, et al. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in adults with uncontrolled persistent asthma despite use of medium-to-high-dose inhaled corticosteroids plus a long-acting beta2 agonist: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled pivotal phase 2b dose-ranging trial. Lancet 2016; 388:31-44.

132. Wojno ED, Monticelli LA, Tran SV, Alenghat T, Osborne LC, Thome JJ, et al. The prostaglandin D(2) receptor CRTH2 regulates accumulation of group 2 innate lymphoid cells in the inflamed lung. Mucosal Immunol 2015; 8:1313-23.

133. Schuligoi R, Sturm E, Luschnig P, Konya V, Philipose S, Sedej M, et al. CRTH2 and D-type prostanoid receptor antagonists as novel therapeutic agents for inflammatory diseases. Pharmacology 2010; 85:372-82.

134. Townley RG, Agrawal S. CRTH2 antagonists in the treatment of allergic responses involving TH2 cells, basophils, and eosinophils. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2012; 109:365-74.

135. Doherty TA, Khorram N, Lund S, Mehta AK, Croft M, Broide DH. Lung type 2 innate lymphoid cells express cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1, which regulates TH2 cytokine production. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013; 132:205-13.

136. Barnig C, Cernadas M, Dutile S, Liu X, Perrella MA, Kazani S, et al. Lipoxin A4 regulates natural killer cell and type 2 innate lymphoid cell activation in asthma. Sci Transl Med 2013; 5:174ra26.

137. Planaguma A, Kazani S, Marigowda G, Haworth O, Mariani TJ, Israel E, et al. Airway lipoxin A4 generation and lipoxin A4 receptor expression are decreased in severe asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008; 178:574-82.

138. Ye L, Pan J, Liang M, Pasha MA, Shen X, D'Souza SS, et al. A critical role for c-Myc in group 2 innate lymphoid cell activation. Allergy 2020; 75:841-52.

139. Wang S, Xia P, Chen Y, Qu Y, Xiong Z, Ye B, et al. Regulatory Innate Lymphoid Cells Control Innate Intestinal Inflammation. Cell 2017; 171:201-16 e18.

140. Morita H, Kubo T, Ruckert B, Ravindran A, Soyka MB, Rinaldi AO, et al. Induction of human regulatory innate lymphoid cells from group 2 innate lymphoid cells by retinoic acid. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2019; 143:2190-201 e9.

141. Golebski K, Layhadi JA, Sahiner U, Steveling E, Lenormand MM, Li RCY, et al. Immunotherapy for upper airway disease generates IL-10-producing KLRG1+ ILC2s that are associated with clinical benefit. Immunity 2020.

142. Tamari M, Orimo K, Motomura K, Arae K, Matsuda A, Nakae S, et al. The optimal age for epicutaneous sensitization following tape-stripping in BALB/c mice. Allergol Int 2018; 67:380-7.

143. Gowthaman U, Chen JS, Zhang B, Flynn WF, Lu Y, Song W, et al. Identification of a T follicular helper cell subset that drives anaphylactic IgE. Science 2019; 365.

Hosted file

Figure 1 -Classification and Plasticity of ILCs.pptx available at https://authorea.com/ users/341711/articles/482272-innate-lymphoid-cells-the-missing-part-of-a-puzzle-in-foodallergy

Hosted file

Figure 2 -ILC2 in AD.pptx available at https://authorea.com/users/341711/articles/482272innate-lymphoid-cells-the-missing-part-of-a-puzzle-in-food-allergy

Hosted file

Figure 3 -Devt of IgE-mediated FA in GUT.pptx available at https://authorea.com/users/341711/ articles/482272-innate-lymphoid-cells-the-missing-part-of-a-puzzle-in-food-allergy

Hosted file

Figure 4 -ILC2 in eosinophilic esophagitis.pptx available at https://authorea.com/users/ 341711/articles/482272-innate-lymphoid-cells-the-missing-part-of-a-puzzle-in-foodallergy