Dietary nucleotides can prevent glucocorticoid-induced telomere attrition in a fast-growing wild vertebrate

Stefania Casagrande¹, Jasmine Loveland², Marlene Oefele¹, Winnie Boner³, Sara Lupi⁴, Antoine Stier⁵, and Michaela Hau¹

¹Max Planck Institute for Biological Intelligence ²University of Vienna ³University of Glasgow ⁴Western University Faculty of Science ⁵Université de Strasbourg

May 12, 2023

Abstract

Telomeres are chromosome protectors that shorten during cell replication and in stressful conditions. Developing individuals are susceptible to telomere erosion when their growth is fast and resources limited. This is critical because the rate of telomere attrition in early life is linked to health and life span of adults. The metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis (MeTA) suggests that telomere dynamics can respond to biochemical signals conveying information about the organism's energetic state. Among these signals are glucocorticoids (hormones that promote catabolic processes, potentially impairing costly telomere maintenance) and nucleotides, which activate anabolic pathways though the cellular enzyme target of rapamycin (TOR) preventing telomere attrition. During the energetically demanding growth phase, the regulation of telomeres in response to two contrasting signals—one promoting telomere maintenance and the other inducing attrition—provides an ideal experimental setting to test MeTa. We studied nestlings of a rapidly developing free-living passerine, the great tit (Parus major), that either received glucocorticoids (Cort-chicks), nucleotides (Nuc-chicks), or a combination of both (NucCort-chicks) all compared with controls (Cnt-chicks). Contrary to Cort-chicks, which showed telomere attrition, NucCort-chicks, did not. NucCort-chicks was the only group showing increased gene expression of telo2 (proxy for TOR activation), of mitochondrial enzymes linked to ATP production (atp5f1a-atp5f1b-cox6a1-cox4) and a higher efficiency in aerobically producing ATP. NucCort-chicks had also a higher expression of telomere maintenance enosis and an anabolic procession of enzymatic antioxidant genes (gpx4-sod1). The findings show that nucleotides availability is crucial for preventing telomere erosion during fast growth in stressful environments.

1	Dieta	ry nucleotides can prevent glucocorticoid-induced telomere attrition in a fast-growing wild
2		vertebrate
3	Stefani	a Casagrande ^{1*} , Jasmine L. Loveland ² , Marlene Oefele ¹ , Winnie Boner ³ , Sara Lupi ⁴ , Antoine
4	Stier ^{5,6} ,	and Michaela Hau ^{1,7}
5		
6	1.	Max Planck Institute for Biological Intelligence, Evolutionary Physiology Group, 82319
7		Seewiesen, Germany.
8	2.	Department of Cognitive and Behavioral Biology, University of Vienna, 1030 Vienna, Austria
9	3.	Institute of Biodiversity Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow,
10		Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK.
11	4.	Konrad Lorenz Institute of Ethology, Savoyenstrasse 1a, 1160 Vienna, Austria
12	5.	Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, UMR7178, 67000
13		Strasbourg, France
14	6.	Department of Biology, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turku, Finland
15	7.	Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, D-78464 Konstanz, Germany.
16	*Autho	r for correspondence (stefania.casagrande@bi.mpg.de)

17 Abstract

18 Telomeres are chromosome protectors that shorten during cell replication and in stressful 19 conditions. Developing individuals are susceptible to telomere erosion when their growth is fast and 20 resources are limited. This is critical because the rate of telomere attrition in early life is linked to 21 health and life span of adults. The metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis (MeTA) suggests that 22 telomere dynamics can respond to biochemical signals conveying information about the organism's 23 energetic state. Among these signals are glucocorticoids – hormones that promote catabolic 24 processes, potentially impairing costly telomere maintenance – and nucleotides, which activate 25 anabolic pathways through the cellular enzyme target of rapamycin (TOR), thus preventing telomere 26 attrition. During the energetically demanding growth phase, the regulation of telomeres in response 27 to two contrasting signals—one promoting telomere maintenance and the other attrition—provides 28 an ideal experimental setting to test the MeTa. We studied nestlings of a rapidly developing free-29 living passerine, the great tit (*Parus major*), that either received glucocorticoids (Cort-chicks), 30 nucleotides (Nuc-chicks), or a combination of both (NucCort-chicks), comparing these with controls 31 (Cnt-chicks). As expected, Cort-chicks showed telomere attrition, while NucCort-chicks did not. 32 NucCort-chicks was the only group showing increased gene expression of telo2 (a proxy for TOR 33 activation), of mitochondrial enzymes linked to ATP production (atp5f1a, atp5f1b, cox6a1, cox4) and 34 a higher efficiency in aerobically producing ATP. NucCort-chicks had also a higher expression of 35 telomere maintenance genes (terf2) and of enzymatic antioxidant genes (gpx4-sod1). The findings 36 show that nucleotide availability is crucial for preventing telomere erosion during fast growth in 37 stressful environments.

38 Introduction

39 Growth is a delicate life-history stage, where new cells and tissues are produced at a very high rate. 40 It involves an increase in body mass that requires a constant supply of external resources to support 41 the energy demands of producing more cells (Delfarah et al., 2019; Glazier, 2015; Marchionni et al., 42 2020; Stamps, 2007). Long-term energy shortages during growth can have a lasting impact on cellular 43 processes, potentially impairing the organism's functioning over time. One of those biomarkers is the 44 shortening of telomeres (Marasco et al., 2022; Monaghan & Ozanne, 2018; Salmón et al., 2021; 45 Sugimoto, 2014), complexes of DNA repeats and proteins that protect the coding part of the 46 chromosome from incomplete DNA replication (Blackburn et al., 2015). Telomere attrition can be 47 substantial during early growth because of the high rate of DNA replication (Monaghan & Ozanne, 48 2018; Salmón et al., 2021). Additionally, stressful conditions experienced during the early phases of 49 life can exacerbate telomere attrition (Blackburn & Epel, 2012; Entringer et al., 2011; Epel, 2020). 50 Importantly, early telomere attrition is related to health and life expectancy in animals and humans (Heidinger et al., 2012; Muñoz-Lorente et al., 2019). 51 52 The mechanisms underlying telomere erosion in individuals growing under adverse 53 conditions are not fully understood, but a plausible candidate is the activation of the hypothalamus-54 pituitary-adrenal - 'stress' - axis, which culminates in the secretion of glucocorticoid hormones 55 (Casagrande & Hau, 2019; Giraudeau et al., 2019). When secreted at high concentrations, 56 glucocorticoids bind to the glucocorticoid (GR) receptor. The activation of GR triggers major 57 metabolic changes, including a heightened reliance on internal resources to transform the necessary 58 energy required for the organism to endure the challenge (Chrousos & Kino, 2005; Hau et al., 2016; 59 Yudt & Cidlowski, 2002). Why this process should lead to telomere attrition is a matter of debate. 60 One hypothesis is that glucocorticoids cause oxidative stress (Angelier et al., 2018; Costantini et al., 61 2011; Picard et al., 2018), where pro-oxidants are produced in excess or cannot be buffered

62	sufficiently and, consequently, damage vital molecules like DNA and telomeres (Armstrong $\&$
63	Boonekamp, 2023; Reichert & Stier, 2017). However, evidence that physiological concentrations of
64	glucocorticoids cause oxidative stress has not been generally established, as studies on free-ranging
65	birds show (Casagrande & Hau, 2018; Vitousek, Taff, Ardia, et al., 2018). An alternative hypothesis
66	suggests that glucocorticoids shorten telomeres because these hormones can change energy
67	metabolism in a major way ("metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis", Casagrande & Hau, 2019).
68	One cornerstone of the metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis is that telomere length is costly to
69	maintain, and when glucocorticoids signal the need to re-direct limited resources to processes that
70	support immediate survival, telomeres can shorten as a result of this energetic trade-off.
71	The metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis proposes that glucocorticoids act through
72	specific metabolic pathways, which involve two key components: the mitochondria, where energy is
73	transformed, and the enzyme target of rapamycin (TOR), a sensor of cellular energy supplies that
74	controls growth and metabolism. Growth depends on the availability of resources like energy and
75	specific nutrients (Martin & Hall, 2005; Valvezan & Manning, 2019; Wullschleger et al., 2006). TOR is
76	able to sense the quantities of nutrients and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) available in the cell. If
77	enough resources are present, TOR activates the anabolic pathways needed to grow (Avruch et al.,
78	2009; Betz & Hall, 2013; Limson & Sweder, 2009; N. Zhang et al., 2019) and to maintain long
79	telomeres as observed in yeast, mice and humans (Ferrara-Romeo et al., 2020; Kupiec & Weisman,
80	2012; Schonbrun et al., 2009; Ungar et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2003). TOR also receives and integrates
81	different endocrine signals related to energy homeostasis, in order to synchronize energy-consuming
82	processes with energy availability (Kupiec & Weisman, 2012; Martin & Hall, 2005; Schonbrun et al.,
83	2009; Valvezan et al., 2017; Wang & Proud, 2009; Zhang et al., 2019). Specifically, TOR is activated by
84	a positive energetic state, i.e. high levels of nitrogen-rich nutrients, nucleotides, high concentrations
85	of ATP, and by anabolic endocrine signals, for example insulin-like factors, growth hormones and sex
86	steroids (Valvezan & Manning, 2019). When TOR is activated it inhibits catabolic and promotes

87	anabolic pathways, through specific down-stream signals that lead to the biosynthesis of proteins,
88	lipids and nucleotides (Schieke et al., 2006). Interestingly, an inability to deactivate TOR and thus to
89	curb anabolic processes when nutrients are scarce leads to fatal outcomes, as observed in fasting
90	mice carrying a genetic knockout for the main TOR inhibition pathway (Xu et al., 2014). Inhibition of
91	TOR by nutrient deprivation or hormonal signals activates autophagy to recycle and replenish cellular
92	supplies of vital amino acids and nucleotides (Sudarsanam & Johnson, 2010; Van Leene et al., 2019).
93	Although TOR is a master regulator of cellular metabolism, its main role is the regulation of cell
94	growth when contrasting or rapidly fluctuating signals are present (Ben-sahra et al., 2018; Bonawitz
95	et al., 2007; Dibble & Manning, 2013; Schieke et al., 2006; Valvezan & Manning, 2019). From a more
96	ecological perspective TOR can been seen as a regulator of trade-offs. Glucocorticoids are often
97	viewed to regulate the trade-off between current and future survival (Crespi et al., 2013; Ouyang et
98	al., 2016; Vitousek, Taff, Hallinger, et al., 2018), and the metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis
99	proposes that they could do this by affecting the state of TOR. Exploring this idea may shed some
100	light into the context-dependency of glucocorticoid mediated trade-offs (Breuner et al., 2008).
101	Nucleotides can influence telomere dynamics also independently from TOR (Figure 1). For
102	instance, the activity of telomerase is influenced by nucleotide levels (e.g. Chen et al., 2018). Recent
103	findings using CRISPR-Cas9 to genetically disrupt nucleotide metabolism pathways in human cultured
104	cells have identified multiple telomere length control points (Mannherz & Agarwal, 2023).
105	Specifically, reducing the salvage or <i>de novo</i> production of nucleotides resulted in shortened
106	telomeres, whereas inhibiting nucleotide breakdown enzymes or supplementing with
107	monophosphate nucleotides alone led to significant telomere elongation (Mannherz & Agarwal,
108	2023). These observations provide strong support for the critical role of nucleotides in telomere
109	maintenance (Casagrande and Hau 2019).

110 Here we experimentally tested whether the catabolic action of high glucocorticoid 111 concentrations can be counteracted by the effects of high nucleotide availability on telomere 112 dynamics during growth using a wild avian model (Parus major, great tit) with known-effects of 113 glucocorticoids on telomere length during a phase of rapid growth (Casagrande et al. 2020). The 114 great tit, is a common passerine found throughout Europe and Asia that has become a popular 115 model species for ecological studies in a variety of research areas (Hau et al., 2022; Laine et al., 2016; 116 Jenny Ouyang et al., 2012; Regan & Sheldon, 2023; Verhagen et al., 2020), including telomere length 117 (Atema et al., 2021; Casagrande et al., 2020; Stier et al., 2016, 2021), mitochondrial (Casagrande et 118 al., 2020; Nord et al., 2021) and gene expression studies (Lindner et al., 2021). Great tits have been 119 found to exhibit substantial variation in telomere length (Atema et al., 2013), which has been linked 120 to various life history traits and environmental factors (Casagrande et al., 2020; Stier et al., 2016, 121 2021). Here we investigated the combined effects of administration of corticosterone and 122 nucleotides on early-life telomere length. We provided additional nucleotides to free-living great tit 123 nestlings because these can activate TOR (Valvezan & Manning, 2019) and are essential for telomere 124 maintenance in different taxa (Chen et al., 2018; Hoxhaj et al., 2017; Sanford et al., 2021; Valvezan et 125 al., 2017). Moreover, nucleotide shortage impairs cellular division and triggers the replicative stress 126 response. During energy shortages, cells may promote nucleotide salvage pathways rather than, or in 127 addition to, energetically costly new biosynthesis, by allocating recycled nucleotides to the encoding 128 genome (Austin et al., 2012; Casagrande & Hau, 2019). In line with the metabolic telomere attrition 129 hypothesis, we expected that the effect of glucocorticoids on telomere length depends on the energy 130 status of the cells, an information delivered by nucleotides. We therefore predicted that 1) chicks 131 receiving both corticosterone and nucleotides are able to maintain telomere length, as a result of the 132 contrasting effects of nucleotides on the telomere attrition that high concentrations of 133 glucocorticoids cause (Figure 1) (Casagrande & Hau, 2019). We predicted that 2) Nuc-chicks would 134 exhibit longer telomeres than the control group, as nucleotides are expected to be a scarce resource

135 in this phase of life (Casagrande & Hau, 2019). Specifically, because the positive effects of nucleotides 136 availability on telomere length are directly linked to telomere maintenance processes (Mannherz & 137 Agarwal, 2023) and are not necessarily dependent of the TOR pathway, we expect Nuc-chicks to have 138 longer telomeres than Cnt-chicks (Figure 1). We also expected that longer telomeres are associated 139 with 3) the enhanced expression of telomere maintenance genes (tert, terf2 and rap1) (De Lange, 140 2005; Epel et al., 2004); 4) a higher expression of the upstream mitochondrial regulator PGC1 (pprc1) 141 (Casagrande & Hau, 2019; Sahin & DePinho, 2012); 5) a higher efficiency of mitochondria in coupling 142 aerobic metabolism with ATP production (Casagrande & Hau, 2019; Sahin & DePinho, 2012); 6) a 143 higher expression of mitochondrial complexes involved in the production of ATP (atp5f1a, atp5f1b, 144 cox6a1, cox4) (Casagrande & Hau, 2019; Sahin & DePinho, 2012); 7) a lower expression of the kinase 145 AMPK (prkaa1, prkaq3), which is more abundant when the energetic state of the cell is low 146 (Casagrande & Hau, 2019); 8) higher expression of antioxidant enzymes (sod1, qpx4) and total 147 antioxidants (OXY) that protect telomeres from oxidative insults (Armstrong & Boonekamp, 2023; 148 Reichert & Stier, 2017); 9) a lower abundance of oxidative damage (organic peroxides quantified as 149 reactive oxygen metabolites – ROMs) (Armstrong & Boonekamp, 2023; Reichert & Stier, 2017). 150 To assess the energetic level of the cells we measured the expression of the following genes 151 in the blood: 1. telo2, an upstream activator of TOR (Brown & Gromeier, 2017; Fernández-Sáiz et al., 152 2013; Glatter et al., 2011; Pal et al., 2021) that physically binds to TOR (Glatter et al., 2011). 2. Genes 153 related to telomere length regulation: 2a) Telomerase reverse transcriptase (tert), the enzyme that 154 adds nucleotides to telomeres to buffer telomere shortening when cells are dividing (Blackburn, 155 2001); 2b) rap1, a subunit of the shelterin protein complex that is indispensable for any changes in 156 telomere length, both shortening and elongation (Zhang et al., 2019); 2c) terf2 subunits of the 157 shelterin protein complex that has a pivotal function in maintaining telomeres in their capped state 158 and preventing their shortening (Ruis et al., 2021). 3) prkaa1 and prkag3 (also known as ampka1 and 159 ampkg3) that are adenosine monophosphate kinase (AMPK) subunits. AMPK is activated when ATP

160	levels are low (Rabinovitch et al., 2017) and deactivates TOR (Martin & Hall, 2005; Seebacher & Little,
161	2017). 4) pprc1, a gene encoding a protein called 'PPARG related coactivator 1', functionally similar
162	to 'PPARG Coactivator 1 Alpha' (also known as PGC-1) according to the GeneCards database (Stelzer
163	et al., 2016). PGC-1 is a master regulator of mitochondrial functioning (Lin et al., 2005; Zhu et al.,
164	2019) able to activate TOR (Cunningham et al., 2007), with potential positive effects on telomere
165	dynamics (Xiong et al., 2015). We also measured 5) mitochondrial traits, specifically 5a) the
166	expression of mitochondrial enzyme subunits of the electron transport chain responsible for the final
167	step of ATP synthesis (cytochrome c oxidase, cx6a1, cox4) and subunits of the enzyme that
168	synthesize of ATP (ATP-synthase, atp5f1a, atp5f1b); 5b) mitochondrial bioenergetics, specifically cell
169	metabolic rate (CMR) and the proportion of aerobic metabolism allocated to ATP production
170	(OXPHOS), the proportion associated with heat production (LEAK) and calculated indexes of
171	mitochondrial inefficiency (see method section for a definition and description of traits); and 6)
172	oxidative stress status by measuring the expression of 6a) the enzymatic antioxidant genes
173	superoxide dismutase 1 (sod1) and glutathione peroxidase 4 (gpx4) in red blood cells (RBCs)
174	including in the mitochondria as they encode proteins that convert ROS into hydrogen peroxide
175	(SOD1) and water (GPX4); 6b) extra-cellular biomarkers of oxidative damage (reactive oxygen species
176	metabolites – ROMs) and 6c) oxidative defenses like total non-enzymatic antioxidants (OXY).

177

178 Methods

The study was carried out in spring 2017 in a mixed forest located in southern Germany (47°99'N, 11°39'E). One-hundred and fifty nest boxes were checked weekly starting in late March to record the start of incubation, and from day 10 of incubation onwards every other day to record the date of hatching (day 0). After hatching, we randomly allocated nests to two major groups: experimental nests visited every day (n=23) and control nests visited two times (n=10). Experimental and control nests did

184 not differ in mean (± s.e.m.) clutch size, number of hatchlings or number of fledglings (data published 185 in Casagrande et al. 2020). On day 5 after hatching, we identified the three heaviest nestlings of each 186 brood by weighing them with a digital scale to the nearest 0.1 g. Nestlings from the four groups (Cort-187 , Nucort-, Nuc- and Cnt-chicks, see below for details) did not differ in body size before the treatment 188 (body mass $F_{(3,47,34)}=0.81$, P=0.49; tarsus length $F_{(3,47,91}=2.21$, P=0.11). Focal chicks were marked with 189 1-2 yellow or white dots on the skin or feathers of the head with permanent non-toxic markers to 190 allow for quick individual identification. In each experimental nest, the three focal birds were each 191 assigned a different treatment: from day 5 to day 14 Cort-nestlings received daily an oral dose of 192 crystalline corticosterone dissolved in organic peanut oil; NucCort-nestlings received the same oral 193 dose of corticosterone in addition to an oral dose of nucleotides (a mixture of AMP, GMP, CMP and 194 UMP, Chemoforma AG., CH) dissolved in water; Nuc-nestlings received the same dose of nucleotides of NucCort chicks. To maintain the concentration of oral corticosterone at 0.85 μ g g⁻¹ of body mass 195 196 and of oral nucleotides at 70 μ g g⁻¹ of body mass throughout the nestling period, we adjusted the 197 volume of the oral dose to each nestling's body mass measured on days 5, 8 and 12 (range of volumes: 198 2.3–6.6 μ l). The three experimental nestlings in the same nest were therefore exposed to the same 199 levels of disturbance, but differed in their exposure to exogenous corticosterone and nucleotides. 200 Nestlings of control nests (control-nestlings, 3-4 per nest) were handled only 2 times during the 201 nestling period (on days 5 and 15 and a brief visit on day 10 to refresh color markings) and did not 202 receive any treatments. We selected chicks from these nests as controls because handling them daily 203 could trigger the secretion of corticosterone in nestlings (Herborn et al., 2014). This was something we 204 needed to avoid to properly investigate the questions of our study. Therefore, we did not include a 205 group to control for the potential effects of peanut oil. However, our previous study demonstrated 206 that the vector did not play a role in telomere dynamics, mitochondrial bioenergetics, or growth 207 (Casagrande et al., 2020). Some nestlings disappeared from their nest between one visit and the next 208 (control, n=3, Nuc, n=5, NucCort, n=4 Cort, n=3,) while 6 nestlings in control nests lost their colour 209 marks and were not sampled on day 15. To calculate growth rate, body mass (to the nearest 0.1 g) and 210 tarsus length (to the nearest 1 mm) were recorded on days 5 and 15 in experimental and control nests. 211 All physiological markers considered in the study (see below) were measured for every chick in 80 μ l 212 of blood collected with a capillary tube on day 15 by puncturing the ulnar vein, within 3 min of opening 213 the nest box. To minimize any variability due to daily fluctuations of the physiological parameters, 214 nestlings were sampled between 08:00 h and 13:00 h. Blood was immediately stored on ice and within 215 4 h centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min; plasma was stored at -80°C and analyzed within 3 months. Red 216 blood cells (RBCs) were washed immediately after sample centrifugation to measure mitochondrial 217 activity as described below (see also Casagrande et al. 2020). An aliquot of RBCs from each individual 218 was stored in newborn calf serum (NBCS) buffer at -80°C until analysis of telomere length. Another 219 aliquot was stored at -80°C until RNA extraction in 2019.

220

221 Mitochondrial metabolism analyses

222 The oxygen consumed by aerobic metabolism during mitochondrial respiration was measured in intact 223 red blood cells (RBCs), which are metabolically active in birds (Engelhardt, 1932; Stier et al., 2013, 224 2017) following validated protocols (Stier et al., 2017; Casagrande et al. 2020). Briefly, 30 μl RBCs were 225 transferred into 1 ml of cold buffer Mir05 (for details see Casagrande et al. 2020), washed by spinning 226 at 500 x q for 5 min and then resuspended in 1 ml of MiR05 buffer already equilibrated at 40°C in a 227 Clark electrode high resolution respirometer (Oxygraph-2k, Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria). 228 Mitochondrial respiration was quantified as the O_2 consumed in the following stages: (1) cellular 229 metabolic rate (CMR) – basal respiration of the cells in their natural state; (2) oxidative phosphorylation 230 (OXPHOS) – the process through which ATP is produced - after inhibiting ATP synthase by addition 1 231 μ g ml⁻¹ of oligomycin. (3) proton leak (LEAK) -remaining basal respiration that is not affected by 232 oligomycin provided in step 2 and that is uncoupled from ATP production because energy is dissipated 233 in the form of heat. We also measured (4) the working capacity of the electron transport system (ETS)

- by adding the mitochondrial uncoupler carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazine (CCCP Mitochondria: titration of 1µmol l⁻¹ aliquots). The uncoupler causes the flow of electrons through the electron transport system to be independent from the transformation of ADP into ATP. All these traits were corrected for non-mitochondrial O₂ consumption by adding antimycin A (5 µmol l⁻¹), a potent suppressor of mitochondrial metabolism. From these measures we calculated mitochondrial inefficiency to produce ATP in relation to CMR (i.e. proportion of LEAK on CMR: LEAK/CMR). All measures were normalized by the volume of RBCs and expressed as pmol O₂*min⁻¹*mL⁻¹ of RBCs.

241

242 Corticosterone assay

243 Plasma corticosterone concentrations were determined using an enzyme immunoassay kit (Cat. No. 244 K014-H1; Corticosterone ELISA Kit, Arbor Assays) following a double diethyl ether extraction of a 15 µL 245 plasma sample (for a detailed protocol see (Casagrande et al., 2020)). Samples were re-dissolved in 246 assay buffer and allowed to reconstitute over-night. A buffer blank and two stripped chicken plasma 247 controls (with corticosterone added at concentrations of 10 and 5 ng mL⁻¹, respectively) were taken 248 through the entire procedure. On the next day, 50 μ L of each sample (in duplicate) were used. The 249 inter-plate coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated as the average concentration of the four controls 250 (for both high and low concentrations) of the two plates and was 2.40±0.51 %. The intra-plate CV was 251 calculated as the average CV of the concentrations of all the unknown samples run on six plates and 252 was 3.72±0.55 %.

253

254 Gene expression analysis

255 We quantified the expression of 14 genes of interest (telo2, rap1, terf2, tert, gpx4, sod1, cx6a1, cox4,

256 *atp5f1a, atp5f1b, nr3c1, prkaa1, prkag3, pprc1*) relative to a single reference gene (*ube2d2*) (Table)

following Casagrande et al. 2020. Briefly, we extracted RNA from RBC samples by mixing 2.5–5 μ l

258 RBCs with 230 μ l of TRI Reagent BD (Sigma- Aldrich) and 5 μ l of 2.5 M glacial acetic acid and then 60 259 µl of chloroform. We then centrifuged samples (12,000 g) for 15 min at 4°C, transferred the 260 supernatant to a new tube and added an equal volume of 70% ethanol. This mixture was then 261 applied to a RNeasy column (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen), and followed the standard manufacturer's 262 protocol with a final elution step in 30 µl of EB buffer. We measured RNA concentration and the A260/A280 ratio using a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000) and only samples with an 263 264 A260/A280 ratio within the range 1.8–2.14 were used. For each sample, we used 400 ng of RNA as a 265 template for cDNA synthesis using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad) in a 20 µl reaction 266 according to the manufacturer's instructions. We diluted cDNA 1:10 before use as template in final 267 qRT-PCR assays.

268 We designed primers for all 14 genes with NCBI Primer-BLAST (Ye et al., 2012). We ensured 269 that each amplicon spanned at least one exon–exon boundary. We ran standard curves to determine 270 the efficiency of primer pairs. We ran standard curves with serially diluted cDNA from a single sample 271 to calculate the amplification efficiency of each primer pair. The serial dilutions we tested were: 272 three dilutions between 1:10 and 1:1000, and if there was no amplification in the 1:1000 dilution a 273 second standard curve was run with 4 four dilutions between 1:10 to 1:100). Efficiency was 274 calculated with the Absolute Quantification tool and 2nd Derivative Maximum method which uses the formula Efficiency=10^{-1/slope} based on the quantification cycle [Cq, termed crossing point (Cp) in 275 276 the software] and log concentration of template in each well. The theoretical efficiency of perfect 277 amplification (i.e. exact doubling with each cycle) is 2. The efficiency of primer pairs ranged from 278 1.909 to 2.07; a detailed summary of standard curve results is listed in Table 3.

We performed qRT- PCR assays across 11 plates with a balanced combination of treatments in each plate. Plates were run in two separate batches: the first batch had four plates and the second batch had seven plates. The first batch contained target genes *nr3c1*, *prkaa1*, *prkag3* and *pprc1* and

282 the second batch contained the remaining target genes listed in Table 3 and Table S3 in the 283 supplementary material. Data for nr3c1 was previously reported for Cnt and Cort chicks in 284 Casagrande et al., 2020. We ran assays on a LightCycler 480 II (Roche) machine using the 285 SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Super mix (Bio-Rad) in 384-well plates (Roche) and each reaction 286 was run in duplicate. Each well consisted of a 10 μ l reaction containing 1× SsoAdvanced Universal 287 SYBR Green Super mix, 340 nmol of each primer and 3 ng of cDNA template (i.e. 1.5μ l of the 1:10 288 cDNA dilution; the estimate of template amount assumes a one-to-one correspondence between 289 input RNA and synthesized cDNA). The cycling conditions were: pre-incubation step at 95°C for 30 s, 290 45 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, annealing and extension at 60°C for 30 s, with acquisition at the end of 291 each cycle, followed by a melt curve (95°C for 5 s with 5 acquisitions per °C from 65 to 97°C with a 292 0.11°C ramp rate). We performed calculations from the raw amplification data using LightCycler 480 293 software (version 1.5.1.62) and used GraphPad Prism (version 7.05) for additional quality control 294 analyses such as for testing for group differences on reference gene levels and calculating standard 295 curve correlation coefficients. On every plate, we confirmed that each primer pair produced a single 296 melt curve peak in the presence of cDNA template and showed no amplification when water was 297 used as template. In case of primer dimer present in water controls, the melting temperature was 298 clearly distinct from that of the target amplicon and primer dimer was not present in wells with cDNA 299 template. We confirmed that the Cq values for ube2d2, pooled from all eleven plates, did not vary 300 among the four treatment groups (Kruskal-Wallis H(3) = 0.378, p = 0.945; Cq mean±s.e.m.: 301 21.82±0.25). Expression of target genes was calculated relative to the reference gene ube2d2 in the 302 software with the Advanced Relative Quantification analysis using the actual primer efficiencies from 303 the standard curve instead of the preassigned value of 2.

304

305 Telomere length measure

306 Absolute length of telomeres was measured in RBCs using a non-denaturing terminal restriction 307 fragment (TRF) analysis following (Haussmann & Vleck, 2002). Full details of the protocol used are 308 reported in Casagrande et al. (2020). Briefly, we measured Class II telomere lengths in 5–10 µl RBCs, 309 after DNA extraction with Gentra Puregene Kit (Qiagen). RNA was removed with 2.5 µl RNase. 310 Samples were restriction digested overnight prior to running them on an agarose gel with 0.5× TBE 311 buffer. All samples were run using five gels and analysis was performed singularly because of DNA 312 quantity limitation and because this protocol showed low CV (Stier et al., 2020). Telomere oligos and 313 1kb+ ladder were radio-labelled with 32P. Each reaction was added to Sephadex spin columns and 314 labelled products was stored at 4°C. We used a 0.8% agarose gel for pulsed field electrophoresis. To 315 pre-hybridize the gel, we incubated it at 37°C for 60 min with 50 ml hybridization solution. We then 316 added 50 ml hybridization/ oligo solution to the gel and incubated it overnight, with the same 317 conditions as described in the previous step. The following day, the gel was washed, dried and 318 wrapped in cling film and placed in a phosphor screen cassette for 4 days, then visualized using a 319 Typhoon Variable Mode Imager (Amersham Biosciences). Average telomere length was quantified by 320 densitometry in the program ImageJ (version 2.0) within the limits of our molecular size markers (2– 321 40 kb).

322

323 Oxidative stress

Levels of hydroperoxides produced by the oxidation of lipids, proteins and nucleic acids, i.e. reactive oxygen metabolites (ROMs), were quantified with the d-ROM test (Diacron International, Grosseto, ltaly; for details see Casagrande et al. 2019 and Casagrande et al. 2020) using a microplate reader (Multiskan Go, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland). Measurements are expressed as mmol l⁻¹ of H₂O₂ equivalents. All samples, the calibrator and controls for high and low concentrations were run in duplicate. The inter-plate CV was calculated as the average concentration of the four controls

on each of the six plates and was 7.83±3.2%. The intra-plate CV was calculated as the average CV of
 the concentrations of control samples run on six plates and was 3.18±0.74%.

332 Plasma non-enzymatic antioxidants were quantified using the OXY-Adsorbent test (Diacron 333 International) Casagrande et al. 2019, 2020) using reference standards and controls for high and low 334 concentrations (all diluted 2:50 with distilled water) or blank (i.e. only water) using a microplate 335 reader (Multiskan Go, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The antioxidant capacity is expressed in 336 µmolHOClml-1. All samples, standards and blank were run in duplicate. The inter-plate CV was 337 calculated as the average concentration of the four controls on each of the six plates and was 338 15.86±2.26%. The intra-plate CV was calculated as the average CV of the concentrations of control 339 samples run on six plates and was 6.25±1.34%.

340

341 Statistical analysis

342 We obtained data from 69 chicks, but sample sizes differ across variables as some lab assays failed to 343 produce reliable data (see below for further explanations; samples size reported in figures). To assess 344 the effect of the treatments on the variables of interest we ran a model for each response variable 345 with "treatment" as a predictor (4 levels, Cnt, NucCort, Cort and Nuc) and "nest" as a random factor. 346 The assay number, which at first was included as a random factor, was omitted from the models to 347 minimize overfitting and because this information did not change the results (not shown). The effect 348 of the treatments was assessed by model estimates that took as reference group the unmanipulated 349 group (Cnt) in order to assess differences of Cnt chicks to NucCort and Cort chicks, respectively (see 350 above detailed explanations about the use of this group as a valuable control for the questions of the 351 present study). We also provided full pairwise post-hoc comparisons (Tukey's Bayesian marginal 352 means and 95% CI showed in figures when meaningful; full comparisons reported in Table S2 of the 353 supplementary material).

354 Some variables were highly correlated with each other and were therefore first subjected to a 355 principal component analysis to avoid redundancy. Specifically, we found a strong correlation between 356 mass growth (expressed as the difference in body mass between day 15 and day 5) and tarsus growth 357 (expressed as the difference in tarsus length between day 15 and day 5) (r=0.61, n=69, p<0.0001). The 358 principle component analysis indicated that PC1 explained 80.4% of the variation in growth of both 359 traits and was therefore used in subsequent analyses. The model for growth included initial tarsus 360 length to account for any starting imbalances (initial body mass was not included because of 361 collinearity; see correlation analysis above). For the gene expression analysis, we replaced highly 362 correlated genes with the first principal component factor calculated from a principal component 363 analysis for the following traits: two genes encoding subunits of ATP synthase F1 (atp5f1a, atp5f1b, 364 see Table 3 for more specifications) and two genes encoding subunits 4 and 6A1, respectively, of 365 cytochrome c oxidase (cox4 and cox6a1, Table 3; r=0.58, p<0.0001; PC1 ETC (Electron transport chain) 366 represented 79.20% of the combined traits); sod1 and gpx4 (Table 3) (r=0.48, p<0.0001; PC1 367 represented 73.94% of the combined traits).

368 In order to understand the physiological mechanisms that allowed NucCort birds to maintain 369 relative long telomeres despite the high levels of circulating corticosterone (see results) we ran a 370 linear mixed model to assess which of the traits that responded to the treatment (i.e. terf2, tert, 371 PC1ETC, PC1GPX_SODand PC1Growth) predicted telomere length (response variable of the model) 372 with nest as random factor (Table 2). We also included "LEAK" among the predictor because it was 373 enhanced in Cort-chicks but not in NucCort, leading to think that the higher CMR observed in Cort-374 and NucCort-chicks had two different meanings (i.e. driven by LEAK in Cort and by an enhancement 375 of mitochondrial complexes in NucCort-chicks, see results). We did not include "treatment" to avoid 376 a post-treatment bias as these factors were also the ones that the responded to the treatment 377 (McElreath, 2020). We did not include *telo2*, because it is considered up-stream to TOR activation 378 and, indeed, it was highly correlated with most of the covariates included in the explanatory model

for telomere length (*terf2*, 0.66[0.47,0.85]; *tert*,, 0.38[0.16,0.60]; PC1 ETS, 0.62[0.43,0.81]; PC1
GPX_SOD, 0.52[0.30,0.73]; PC1 growth, -0.20[-0.4,-0.01]; not correlated with leak (0.12[-0.17,0.35]).
To run these explanatory models, the missing values caused by random events (i.e. assay failure or
insufficient sample volume) were imputed as the mean value of the respective treatment group (see
McElreath 2020) (see table SEM1 for statistical comparison between data set with and without
missing data computation).

385 When used as covariates, variables were z-score normalized. We checked whether variables 386 met the assumptions of homogeneity of variance and normal distribution by visually analyzing the 387 graphical distributions of fitted values versus their residuals. Then, all factors were log transformed 388 except for telomere length, non-enzymatic antioxidants OXY and bioenergetics traits (among the 389 latter only ETS was log transformed). All statistical models were fitted using a Bayesian framework 390 implemented in the statistical software R (v. 4.2.2, R Core Team 2022) using the R-package 391 "rstanarm". For all models, we used parameter-flat priors (Korner-Nievergelt et al., 2015). The 392 number of iterations chosen to ensure that the minimum Markov chain Monte Carlo entailed an 393 effective sample size was of 4000 iterations and 4 chains. All models showed absolute 394 autocorrelation values lower than 0.1, satisfied convergence criteria based on the Heidelberger and 395 Welch convergence diagnostics, had an effective sample size ("neff") close to expected iterations, 396 while none had an "rhat" value above 1.0. We drew inferences from the posterior distribution and 397 95% credible interval (CI), considering fixed effects to be meaningful if the range 2.5-97.5 % CI did not 398 include zero.

399 Results

- 400 Effects of treatment on corticosterone, GR receptor, body size, and telomere length
- 401 The main goal of the study was to assess whether additional nutrients could counteract the effects of
- 402 daily increases in corticosterone concentrations as is typical when stressful conditions occur. Both
- 403 groups receiving corticosterone, i.e. Cort- and NucCort-chicks, had higher corticosterone
- 404 concentrations and a higher expression of GR receptor mRNA compared to Cnt-chicks (Table 1;
- 405 Figure SEM1a,b) and to Nuc (post-hoc difference in corticosterone marginal means: Cort-Nuc:
- 406 0.42[0.10,0.75]; NucCort-Nuc: 0.28[-0.01,0.63. Post-hoc difference in GR marginal means Cort-Nuc:
- 407 0.61[0.21,1.06]; NucCort-Nuc: 0.38[0.01,0.78]. This result indicates that the treatment was effective
- 408 in mimicking stressful early life conditions.
- 409 Telomere length was shorter only in Cort-chicks (Table 1; Figure 2a), whereas NucCort-birds
- 410 were able to maintain telomeres at similar length as Nuc- and Cnt-chicks (Post-hoc in Figure 2a).
- 411 NucCort-chicks and Cort-chicks were smaller at fledging in comparison to controls (95% CI slightly
- 412 overlapping 0) but were not different from Nuc-chicks, which did not differ from controls (Table 1;
- 413 Figure 2b).

414

415 Effects of the treatments on TOR state and down-stream variables

416 NucCort-birds had higher gene expression levels of telo2, shelterin protein terf2 (Table 1, Figure 3b) 417 in comparison to all the other groups (Table 1, Figure 3a,b). NucCort had also higher expression of 418 tert, but only in relation to Cnt, (Table 1, Figure 3c). NucCort had also a higher expression of genes 419 encoding ATP synthase and cytochrome c oxidase (Table 1, Figure 4a) and antioxidant enzymes GPX 420 and SOD compared to all the other groups (Table 1, Figure 4b). None of the treatments significantly 421 differed from controls in non-enzymatic antioxidants (Table 1, Figure SEM1c) or oxidative damage 422 (Table 1, Figure SEM1d). The treated chicks also did not differ from control chicks for RAP1, AMPK 423 and PGC1 (Table 1, Figure SEM1e-g).

424

425 Effects of treatments on mitochondrial bioenergetics

- 426 Cort- and NucCort chicks had higher CMR (Table 1, Figure 5a) and a marginally higher ETS (Table 1)
- 427 while OXPHOS was not different from controls (Table 1, Figure SEM1h,i). Cort had higher levels of
- 428 CMR also in relation to Nuc, while NucCort CMR did not differ from Nuc (Figure 5a). Only Cort-chicks
- 429 had a higher LEAK (Table 1, Figure 5b) and consequently a higher mitochondrial inefficiency
- 430 (calculated as the ratio LEAK/CMR, Table 1, Figure 5c) in relation to controls and NucCort-chicks (see
- 431 Figure 5b,c for further pair comparisons).
- 432

433 Explanatory model for telomere length

The only parameters that significantly explained telomere length were the gene expression of the

435 respiratory system producing ATP (ATP synthase and COX oxidase expressed by PC1 ETC), which was

436 positively associated with telomere length (Table 2), and LEAK, which was negatively related to

- 437 telomere length (Table 2). The effects were confirmed when all non-significant predictors were
- 438 dropped from the model (Table 2).

439

440 Discussion

441 We experimentally tested a core idea of the metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis: that telomere 442 length dynamics are linked to the state of the energy metabolism of an individual (Casagrande & 443 Hau, 2019). Within this framework, we assessed whether the magnified telomere shortage that is 444 often observed when offspring grow up under stressful circumstances (which requires responses that 445 are energetically costly), was counteracted by the availability of sufficient nucleotides. By providing 446 daily oral corticosterone doses to free-living great tit nestlings during their rapid growth phase, we 447 mimicked a protracted exposure to stressful conditions, which did affect their telomere lengths 448 (Casagrande et al. 2020). Specifically, chicks treated with corticosterone alone had the shortest

telomeres while siblings that received a combination of corticosterone and nucleotides were able to
maintain their telomere lengths similar to that of the control group. We showed that NucCort chicks
were the only group that had a higher gene expression of *telo2*, a proxy for the activation of the
enzyme TOR.

453 Cellular metabolism is inherently a self-regulated process that can proceed independently of 454 TOR. However, when the cell receives contrasting signals, such as increased corticosterone and 455 nucleotide levels, a cellular line of communication within the cell is triggered by TOR to ensure that 456 energy produced in the mitochondria matches energetic needs (Valvezan & Manning, 2019). The 457 need for such a coordination is particularly pressing during the intense cell proliferation that occurs 458 during energetically demanding rapid growth (Wullschleger et al., 2006). Indeed, when only one 459 signal is present, like in Nuc-birds that received additional nucleotides but had low levels of 460 corticosterone, telo2 expression was not increased (and by extension TOR was not activated). Chicks 461 treated with corticosterone (NucCort- and Cort-chicks) could not maintain growth at the same rate as 462 individuals that were not treated with corticosterone (in Cort-chicks the 95% CI slightly overlapped 463 0), indicating that the catabolic effects of corticosterone on growth were acting in both groups. In 464 Cort-chicks, where the effect on growth was marginal, it could be speculated that Cort mediated the 465 expected trade-offs between immediate survival (growth) at the expense of long-term benefits 466 represented by telomere lengths. By contrast, NucCort-chick prioritized long-term benefits 467 (telomeres) over short-time benefits (growth), which is puzzling and needs some considerations. 468 Firstly, the enhanced performance of mitochondria observed in NucCort chicks (see explanations 469 about the role of mitochondria below) was not used to boost growth but instead to maintain 470 telomeres, highlighting the importance of limiting telomere loss during this stage of life. Indeed, 471 several studies provide evidence that early telomere attrition constrains future survival (Heidinger et 472 al., 2012; Wood & Young, 2019), likely being the reason why telomere maintenance was prioritized 473 over growth. Secondly, our findings show that growth and telomere downstream pathways are

474 independent processes in this species. TOR is a complex kinase, comprised of several components 475 that can also act independently from each other. For example, Rapamycin is effective in inhibiting 476 the TOR-Complex-1, but not TOR-Complex-2, and the two units have different roles in controlling 477 growth (Cybulski & Hall, 2009). It would be important in the future to investigate the specific 478 pathways that were activated to maintain telomeres in NucCort-birds. This approach would allow to biochemically "visualize" the mechanisms underlining the trade-off between growth and telomere 479 480 length that is often observed in vertebrates (Geiger et al., 2012; Monaghan & Ozanne, 2018; 481 Spießberger et al., 2022).

482 Telo2 gene expression, and the related possible activation of TOR, in birds administered with 483 both corticosterone and nucleotides was accompanied by an enhanced functionality of the 484 mitochondria that we observed at several levels. NucCort birds enhanced gene expression of the 485 electron transport system, in particular for genes encoding ATP synthase F1 subunits alpha and beta 486 and cytochrome C oxidase subunits 4 and 6A1, which were positively associated with telomere 487 length. This finding suggests that mitochondria are key elements for telomere dynamics as suggested 488 by the metabolic telomere attrition hypothesis (Casagrande & Hau, 2019). Oxidative phosphorylation 489 is the process through which the mitochondria produce the chemical energy in the form of ATP to 490 fuel anabolic processes in the cell. Indeed, in NucCort-chicks, mitochondrial metabolic rate was 491 enhanced without a concomitant increase in LEAK; indeed, nestlings in this group were more 492 efficient than Cort-chicks in coupling aerobic metabolism with ATP. Since TOR activation depends on 493 sufficient ATP concentrations, this could have further ensured the activated state of TOR. It is also 494 important to consider that mitochondria are not only crucial for ATP production but also for 495 biosynthetic processes. Specifically, they are the core elements in which the biosynthesis of 496 nucleotides takes place. The synthesis of nucleotides in the mitochondria relies on the Krebs cycle 497 (Harrison & Lane, 2018). The rate at which the Krebs cycle runs, in turn, is directly associated with 498 the respiratory function of mitochondria (Lane & Fan, 2015), which could explain why the expression

499 of genes for respiratory enzymes was the variable that best explained telomere length. In other 500 words, we suggest that a higher mitochondrial efficiency in producing ATP was not only associated 501 with the energy availability of cells but also related to nucleotide synthesis in the mitochondria. 502 Nucleotides are a limiting resource for both telomere maintenance and body growth (Delfarah et al., 503 2019; Robitaille et al., 2013; Valvezan et al., 2017). They are needed for DNA replication during cell 504 division, as well as the for the RNA required for protein synthesis. Supply of nucleotides is usually 505 guaranteed by salvage pathways that recycle them, but in certain phases like growth, this is not 506 sufficient and their *de novo* synthesis becomes crucial (reviewed in Casagrande and Hau 2019). 507 Contrary to expectations, we did not find longer telomeres in Nuc-birds, indicating that 508 nucleotides are not a limited resource for these nestlings. Another explanation is that for nucleotides 509 to elongate telomeres independently of TOR, another set of nucleotides should be used, i.e. 510 including thymidine (TMP), which was missing from the mix provided (see methods for further 511 details). A recent study investigating the role of nucleotide metabolism on telomere length in 512 cultured human cells found that thymidine nucleotides are essential for inducing telomere 513 elongation (Mannherz & Agarwal, 2023). In the absence of thymidine, telomeres cannot be 514 elongated even when other nucleotides are fully provided (Mannherz & Agarwal, 2023). This study 515 was published after we conducted our experiment. When we designed our study, we did not actively 516 exclude thymidine from our mix as we had no reason to do so, but unfortunately, it was not 517 commercially available as food additive. Given the recent findings on the importance of thymidine 518 nucleotide for inducing telomere elongation, it is advisable for future studies to pay attention to 519 include thymidine and other key nucleotides when investigating telomere dynamics in similar 520 contexts. 521 In NucCort-birds, the increase in mitochondrial metabolic rate was paralleled by an 522 upregulation of key antioxidant enzymes that may have prevented oxidative insults caused by a 523 greater production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) due to the increase in mitochondrial metabolic

524 rate (e.g. increase in CMR and ETS observed in NucCort chicks). However, higher mitochondrial 525 metabolic rate is not necessarily associated with higher production of ROS (Koch et al., 2021; Salin et 526 al., 2015; Speakman et al., 2004). Indeed, we also did not find evidence that Cort- and NucCort-birds 527 had higher oxidative damage despite having a high CMR. It is therefore currently unclear why Cort-528 chicks did not incur oxidative damage as measured by our assays since they did not upregulate 529 enzymatic antioxidants. One possibility is that the inefficiency of mitochondria in linking respiration 530 to oxidative phosphorylation, due to the increase in proton leak, limits the production of reactive 531 oxygen species (uncouple to survival hypothesis; Brand, 2000; Brand et al., 2016; Speakman et al., 532 2004). Indeed, there is evidence to suggest that corticosterone can be negatively correlated with 533 mitochondrial reactive oxygen species emission (Voituron et al., 2017). It is also relevant to note that 534 antioxidant enzymes were not down-regulated in Cort-chicks, thus we did not find any evidence that 535 corticosterone impaired antioxidant enzymes, as hypothesized to be one reason for why 536 corticosterone can exert pro-oxidant functions (see Angelier et al., 2018; Costantini et al., 2011). 537 We can exclude that Cort treatment acted via AMPK, because gene expression for two 538 subunits (prkaa1, prkq3) of this kinase, which is upregulated when ATP is low, did not differ across 539 groups. We can therefore conclude that even though the mitochondria of Cort-chicks were less 540 efficient in producing ATP (because their LEAK also increased), the higher cell metabolic rate induced 541 by corticosterone was likely sufficient in offsetting the inefficiency in producing enough ATP (Picard 542 et al., 2014, 2017, 2018). 543 Gene expression for mRNAs encoding the enzyme that elongates telomeres (TERT) and a

544 protein from the shelterin protein complex (TERF2) were higher in NucCort birds compared to all 545 other groups. The capping state of the telomeres is the critical element that determines cell 546 senescence and thus impaired tissue renewal. Only when telomeres are uncapped because they have 547 become too short, or because shelterin proteins are not adequately produced, they exert their 548 signalling function to promote cellular senescence (Chang et al., 2016; Maï et al., 2020; Pańczyszyn et 549 senescence and the produced senescence (Chang et al., 2016; Maï et al., 2020; Pańczyszyn et

549 al., 2020; Ruis & Boulton, 2021). We know very little about the factors that determine the capping 550 state of telomeres, regardless of their length (Timashev & De Lange, 2020), but it is worthwhile to 551 consider that DNA integrity is checked in multiple phases of the cells, not only during cell replication 552 (Chao et al., 2017). This would explain why the expression of shelterin proteins is important for non-553 replicating red blood cells, the tissue used in our study to measure genes encoding shelterin proteins 554 and other target parameters. Recently, the most comprehensive study on blood-tissue correlations 555 of telomere length in samples from humans of different ages and sex shows that blood telomere 556 length is a proxy for telomere length in 18 tissues out of 23 (associations were not significant for 557 ovary, breast, thyroid, esophagus and coronary tissue) (Demanelis et al., 2020).

558

559 Conclusions

560 We simulated a stressful environment during development by providing daily doses of corticosterone 561 to chicks of a fast-growing bird, showing that the shortening effects of this hormone on telomeres 562 are attenuated when chicks also receive additional nucleotides. This finding suggests that the 563 energetic state of the organism is a crucial factor in the context-dependent actions of glucocorticoids 564 (Jaatinen et al., 2014; Schoenle et al., 2021). We therefore encourage future studies on the effects of 565 glucocorticoids to also evaluate the energetic or nutritional state of individuals. This would be in line 566 with theoretical models formulated to explain the physiological and behavioural outcomes of stress 567 mediators like glucocorticoids - the allostasis model (McEwen & Wingfield, 2003) and the reactive 568 scope model (Romero et al., 2009) - for which the effects of glucocorticoids are not invariant but 569 differ in relation to internal resources and the energy obtainable from the environment. Investigating 570 the complex interactions among different physiological systems as proposed by the metabolic 571 telomere attrition hypothesis – cellular energy availability, mitochondria functioning and metabolic 572 hormones like glucocorticoids – helps us to illuminate some of the pathways connected to telomere 573 maintenance. This is relevant considering that premature cellular aging, caused by early-life telomere

574	shortening in individuals raised in stressful conditions, can also be observed in human newborns.
575	(Ridout et al., 2018; Send et al., 2017). It is slowly starting to emerge that telomeres are not passive

576 accumulators of damage; rather, they are targeted by several regulatory systems and tightly linked

577 with mitochondrial function (Casagrande & Hau, 2019; Lin & Epel, 2022; Metcalfe & Olsson, 2021). By

- 578 further investigating the mechanisms that regulate telomere dynamics and their interactions with
- other cellular systems, we may gain a deeper understanding of their biology.

580

581 Ethical statement

- 582 All experimental procedures were conducted according to the legal requirements of Germany and
- 583 were approved by the governmental authorities of Oberbayern, Germany.
- 584 All experimental procedures followed the strict ethical and animal welfare guidelines of animal
- 585 experimentation laws of the European Union (Directive 2010/63/EU), the German Animal Welfare
- 586 Act and were conducted under the approval of Regierungspräsidium von Oberbayern.

587

588 Acknowledgements

- 589 We are particularly grateful to Dr. Klaus Hoffmann of Chemoforma AG for providing the pure
- 590 nucleotides and sharing his knowledge on nucleotide physiology and administration; Prof. Pat
- 591 Monaghan for sharing her knowledge and facilities for telomere length analysis; Julia Slezacek and
- 592 Rachele Trevisi for helping in the field and in the lab; and the Evolutionary Physiology group,
- 593 especially Caro Deimel, for supporting the study.
- 594 The present study was funded by the Max Planck Society (to M.H.) and the German Science
- 595 Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG grant CA 1789/1- 1-2017 to S.C.).

596

597 **Competing interests**

598 The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

599

600 Data Accessibility

601 The data will be publicly accessible upon manuscript acceptance

602

603 Author contributions

- 604 Conceptualization: S.C., M.H.; Lab analysis: S.C., A.S., M.O., J.L. Formal analysis: S.C.; Data curation:
- 605 S.C.; Writing original draft: S.C.; Revision: S.C., M.H. and all co-authors; Project administration: S.C.,
- 606 M.H.; Funding acquisition: S.C., M.H.

607

609 References 610 Angelier, F., Costantini, D., Blévin, P., & Chastel, O. (2018). Do glucocorticoids mediate the link 611 between environmental conditions and telomere dynamics in wild vertebrates? A review. 612 General and Comparative Endocrinology, 256, 99–111. 613 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2017.07.007 Armstrong, E., & Boonekamp, J. (2023). Does oxidative stress shorten telomeres in vivo? A meta-614 615 analysis. Ageing Research Reviews, 85(January), 101854. 616 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2023.101854 617 Atema, E., Mulder, E., van Noordkijk, A. ., & Verhulst, S. (2013). Ultra-long telomeres mask attrition 618 of short telomeres in great tits Manuscript. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 0–34. 619 Atema, Els, van Noordwijk, A. J., & Verhulst, S. (2021). Telomere dynamics in relation to 620 experimentally increased locomotion costs and fitness in great tits. Molecular Ecology, August, 621 0-2. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16162 622 Austin, W. R., Armijo, A. L., Campbell, D. O., Singh, A. S., Hsieh, T., Nathanson, D., Herschman, H. R., Phelps, M. E., Witte, O. N., Czernin, J., & Radu, C. G. (2012). Nucleoside salvage pathway 623 624 kinases regulate hematopoiesis by linking nucleotide metabolism with replication stress. 625 Journal of Experimental Medicine, 209(12), 2215–2228. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20121061 626 Avruch, J., Long, X., Ortiz-Vega, S., Rapley, J., Papageorgiou, A., & Dai, N. (2009). Amino acid 627 regulation of TOR complex 1. American Journal of Physiology - Endocrinology and Metabolism, 628 296(4), 592-602. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90645.2008 629 Ben-sahra, I., Manning, B. D., & Diseases, C. (2018). mTORC1 signaling and the metabolic control of 630 cell growth. Curr Opin Cell Biol, 45, 72-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2017.02.012.mTORC1 631 Betz, C., & Hall, M. N. (2013). Where is mTOR and what is it doing there? Journal of Cell Biology, 632 203(4), 563–574. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201306041 633 Blackburn, E. H. (2001). Switching and signaling at the telomere. *Cell*, 106(6), 661–673. 634 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00492-5 635 Blackburn, E. H., & Epel, E. S. (2012). Telomeres and adversity: Too toxic to ignore. Nature, 636 490(7419), 169-171. https://doi.org/10.1038/490169a 637 Blackburn, E. H., Epel, E. S., & Lin, J. (2015). Human telomere biology: A contributory and interactive 638 factor in aging, disease risks, and protection. Science, 350(6265), 1193–1198. 639 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab3389 640 Bonawitz, N. D., Chatenay-Lapointe, M., Pan, Y., & Shadel, G. S. (2007). Reduced TOR Signaling 641 Extends Chronological Life Span via Increased Respiration and Upregulation of Mitochondrial 642 Gene Expression. Cell Metabolism, 5(4), 265–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.02.009 643 Brand, M. D. (2000). Uncoupling to survive? The role of mitochondrial inefficiency in ageing. 644 Experimental Gerontology, 35(6-7), 811-820. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0531-5565(00)00135-2 645 Brand, M. D., Goncalves, R. L. S., Orr, A. L., Vargas, L., Gerencser, A. A., Borch Jensen, M., Wang, Y. T., 646 Melov, S., Turk, C. N., Matzen, J. T., Dardov, V. J., Petrassi, H. M., Meeusen, S. L., 647 Perevoshchikova, I. V., Jasper, H., Brookes, P. S., & Ainscow, E. K. (2016). Suppressors of 648 Superoxide-H2O2 Production at Site IQ of Mitochondrial Complex I Protect against Stem Cell 649 Hyperplasia and Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. Cell Metabolism, 24(4), 582–592. 650 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.012 651 Breuner, C. W., Patterson, S. H., & Hahn, T. P. (2008). In search of relationships between the acute 652 adrenocortical response and fitness. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 157(3), 288–295. 653 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.05.017 654 Brown, M. C., & Gromeier, M. (2017). MNK inversely regulates TELO2 vs. DEPTOR to control mTORC1 655 signaling. Molecular and Cellular Oncology, 4(3), 1–2. 656 https://doi.org/10.1080/23723556.2017.1306010 657 Casagrande, S., & Hau, M. (2018). Enzymatic antioxidants but not baseline glucocorticoids mediate 658 the reproduction-survival trade-off in a wild bird. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological 659 Sciences, 285(1892). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2141

660 Casagrande, S., & Hau, M. (2019). Telomere attrition: Metabolic regulation and signalling function? 661 Biology Letters, 15(3), 20180885. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0885 662 Casagrande, S., Stier, A., Monaghan, P., Loveland, J. L., Boner, W., Lupi, S., Trevisi, R., & Hau, M. 663 (2020). Increased glucocorticoid concentrations in early life cause mitochondrial inefficiency 664 and short telomeres. The Journal of Experimental Biology, 223(June), 222513. 665 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.222513 666 Chang, A. C. Y., Ong, S. G., LaGory, E. L., Kraft, P. E., Giaccia, A. J., Wu, J. C., & Blau, H. M. (2016). 667 Telomere shortening and metabolic compromise underlie dystrophic cardiomyopathy. 668 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113(46), 669 13120–13125. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1615340113 670 Chao, H. X., Poovey, C. E., Privette, A. A., Grant, G. D., Chao, H. Y., Cook, J. G., & Purvis, J. E. (2017). 671 Orchestration of DNA Damage Checkpoint Dynamics across the Human Cell Cycle. Cell Systems, 672 5(5), 445-459.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2017.09.015 673 Chen, Y., Podlevsky, J. D., Logeswaran, D., & Chen, J. J. (2018). A single nucleotide incorporation step limits human telomerase repeat addition activity. The EMBO Journal, 37(6), 1–17. 674 675 https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201797953 676 Chrousos, G. P., & Kino, T. (2005). Intracellular glucocorticoid signaling: a formerly simple system 677 turns stochastic. Science's STKE : Signal Transduction Knowledge Environment, 2005(304), 1–7. 678 https://doi.org/10.1126/stke.3042005pe48 679 Costantini, D., Marasco, V., & Møller, A. P. (2011). A meta-analysis of glucocorticoids as modulators 680 of oxidative stress in vertebrates. Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, 681 and Environmental Physiology, 181(4), 447–456. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-011-0566-2 682 Crespi, E. J., Williams, T. D., Jessop, T. S., & Delehanty, B. (2013). Life history and the ecology of 683 stress: How do glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? Functional 684 Ecology, 27(1), 93-106. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12009 685 Cunningham, J. T., Rodgers, J. T., Arlow, D. H., Vazquez, F., Mootha, V. K., & Puigserver, P. (2007). mTOR controls mitochondrial oxidative function through a YY1-PGC-1α transcriptional complex. 686 687 Nature, 450(7170), 736–740. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06322 688 Cybulski, N., & Hall, M. N. (2009). TOR complex 2: a signaling pathway of its own. Trends in 689 Biochemical Sciences, 34(12), 620–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2009.09.004 690 De Lange, T. (2005). Shelterin: The protein complex that shapes and safeguards human telomeres. 691 Genes and Development, 19(18), 2100–2110. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1346005 692 Delfarah, A., Parrish, S., Junge, J. A., Yang, J., Seo, F., Li, S., Mac, J., Wang, P., Fraser, S. E., & Graham, 693 N. A. (2019). Inhibition of nucleotide synthesis promotes replicative senescence of human 694 mammary epithelial cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 294(27), 10564–10578. 695 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.005806 696 Demanelis, K., Jasmine, F., Chen, L. S., Chernoff, M., Tong, L., Delgado, D., Zhang, C., Shinkle, J., 697 Sabarinathan, M., Lin, H., Ramirez, E., Oliva, M., Kim-Hellmuth, S., Stranger, B. E., Lai, T. P., Aviv, 698 A., Ardlie, K. G., Aguet, F., Ahsan, H., ... Pierce, B. L. (2020). Determinants of telomere length 699 across human tissues. Science, 369(6509). https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAZ6876 700 Dibble, C. C., & Manning, B. D. (2013). Signal integration by mTORC1 coordinates nutrient input with 701 biosynthetic output. Nature Cell Biology, 15(6), 555–564. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2763 702 Engelhardt, V. (1932). Die beziehungen zwischen atmung und pyrophosphatumsatz in 703 vogelerythrocyten. Biochemische Zeitschrift, 251, 343-368. 704 Entringer, S., Epel, E. S., Kumsta, R., Lin, J., Hellhammer, D. H., Blackburn, E. H., Wüst, S., & Wadhwa, 705 P. D. (2011). Stress exposure in intrauterine life is associated with shorter telomere length in 706 young adulthood. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of 707 America, 108(33). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107759108 708 Epel, E. S. (2020). Can childhood adversity affect telomeres of the next generation? Possible 709 mechanisms, implications, and next-generation research. American Journal of Psychiatry, 710 177(1), 7–9. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19111161

711	Epel, E. S., Blackburn, E. H., Lin, J., Dhabhar, F. S., Adler, N. E., Morrow, J. D., & Cawthon, R. M.
712	(2004). Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. Proceedings of the National
713	Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(49), 17312–17315.
714	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407162101
715	Fernández-Sáiz, V., Targosz, B. S., Lemeer, S., Eichner, R., Langer, C., Bullinger, L., Reiter, C., Slotta-
716	Huspenina, J., Schroeder, S., Knorn, A. M., Kurutz, J., Peschel, C., Pagano, M., Kuster, B., &
717	Bassermann, F. (2013). SCFFbxo9 and CK2 direct the cellular response to growth factor
718	withdrawal via Tel2/Tti1 degradation and promote survival in multiple myeloma. Nature Cell
719	Biology, 15(1), 72–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2651
720	Ferrara-Romeo, I., Martinez, P., Saraswati, S., Whittemore, K., Graña-Castro, O., Thelma Poluha, L.,
721	Serrano, R., Hernandez-Encinas, E., Blanco-Aparicio, C., Maria Flores, J., & Blasco, M. A. (2020).
722	The mTOR pathway is necessary for survival of mice with short telomeres. <i>Nature</i>
723	<i>Communications</i> , 11(1), 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14962-1
724	Geiger, S., Le Vaillant, M., Lebard, T., Reichert, S., Stier, A., Le Maho, Y., & Criscuolo, F. (2012).
725	Catching-up but telomere loss: Half-opening the black box of growth and ageing trade-off in
726	wild king penguin chicks. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 21(6), 1500–1510, https://doi.org/10.1111/i.1365-
727	294X 2011 05331 x
728	Giraudeau M. Angelier F. & Senn T. (2019) Do Telomeres Influence Pace-of-Life-Strategies in
729	Response to Environmental Conditions Over a Lifetime and Between Generations? <i>BioEssays</i> .
730	41(3) 1–6 https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201800162
731	Glatter T Schittenhelm R B Rinner O Roguska K Wenf A lüger M A Köhler K levtov l
732	Choi H Schmidt A Nesvizhskii A I Stocker H Hafen F Aebersold R & Gstaiger M
733	(2011) Modularity and hormone sensitivity of the Drosophila melanogaster insulin
734	recentor/target of ranamycin interaction proteome. <i>Molecular Systems Biology</i> , 7(547)
735	https://doi.org/10.1038/msh.2011.79
736	Glazier, D. S. (2015). Is metabolic rate a universal "pacemaker" for biological processes? <i>Biological</i>
737	<i>Reviews</i> , 90(2), 377–407, https://doi.org/10.1111/bry.12115
738	Harrison, S. A., & Lane, N. (2018). Life as a guide to prebiotic nucleotide synthesis. <i>Nature</i>
739	<i>Communications</i> , 9(1), https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07220-y
740	Hau, M., Casagrande, S., Ouvang, J. Q., & Baugh, A. T. (2016). Glucocorticoid-Mediated Phenotypes
741	in Vertebrates: Multilevel Variation and Evolution. Advances in the Study of Behavior. 48. 41–
742	115. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.asb.2016.01.002
743	Hau, M., Deimel, C., & Moiron, M. (2022). Great tits differ in glucocorticoid plasticity in response to
744	spring temperature. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 289(1986), 14–16.
745	https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2022.1235
746	Haussmann, M. F., & Vleck, C. M. (2002). Telomere length provides a new technique for aging
747	animals. October 2001, 325–328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-001-0827-y
748	Heidinger, B. J., Blount, J. D., Boner, W., Griffiths, K., Metcalfe, N. B., & Monaghan, P. (2012).
749	Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
750	of the United States of America, 109(5), 1743–1748. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113306109
751	Herborn, K. A., Heidinger, B. J., Boner, W., Noguera, J. C., Adam, A., Daunt, F., & Monaghan, P.
752	(2014). Stress exposure in early post-natal life reduces telomere length: An experimental
753	demonstration in a long-lived seabird. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
754	281(1782). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.3151
755	Hoxhaj, G., Hughes-Hallett, J., Timson, R. C., Ilagan, E., Yuan, M., Asara, J. M., Ben-Sahra, I., &
756	Manning, B. D. (2017). The mTORC1 Signaling Network Senses Changes in Cellular Purine
757	Nucleotide Levels. Cell Reports, 21(5), 1331–1346.
758	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.029
759	Jaatinen, K., Seltmann, M. W., & Öst, M. (2014). Context-dependent stress responses and their
760	connections to fitness in a landscape of fear. <i>Journal of Zoology</i> , 294(3), 147–153.
761	https://doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12169

762 763 764 765 766	 Koch, R. E., Buchanan, K. L., Casagrande, S., Crino, O., Dowling, D. K., Hill, G. E., Hood, W. R., McKenzie, M., Mariette, M. M., Noble, D. W. A., Pavlova, A., Seebacher, F., Sunnucks, P., Udino, E., White, C. R., Salin, K., & Stier, A. (2021). Integrating Mitochondrial Aerobic Metabolism into Ecology and Evolution. <i>Trends in Ecology and Evolution</i>, <i>36</i>(4), 321–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.12.006
767 768 769 770	Korner-Nievergelt, F., Roth, T., von Felten, S., Guélat, J., Almasi, B., & Korner-Nievergelt, P. (2015). The Bayesian and the Frequentist Ways of Analyzing Data. <i>Bayesian Data Analysis in Ecology</i> <i>Using Linear Models with R, BUGS, and STAN</i> , 19–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12- 801370-0 00003-4
771	Kupiec, M., & Weisman, R. (2012). TOR links starvation responses to telomere length maintenance.
772	Cell Cycle, 11(12), 2268–2271. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.20401
773	Laine, V. N., Gossmann, T. I., Schachtschneider, K. M., Garroway, C. J., Madsen, O., Verhoeven, K. J.
774	F., De Jager, V., Megens, H. J., Warren, W. C., Minx, P., Crooijmans, R. P. M. A., Corcoran, P.,
775	Adriaensen, F., Belda, E., Bushuev, A., Cichon, M., Charmantier, A., Dingemanse, N., Doligez, B.,
//6	Groenen, M. A. M. (2016). Evolutionary signals of selection on cognition from the great tit
777	genome and methylome. Nature Communications, 7, 1–9.
778	https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms104/4
779	Lane, A. N., & Fan, T. W. M. (2015). Regulation of mammalian nucleolide metabolism and biocumbosic. Nucleic Acids Research, 42(4), 2466, 2485, https://doi.org/10.1002/par/sku047
700	Limson M V & Swodor K S (2000) Panamycin inhibits yaast nucloatida aycision ranair
782	independently of Tor kinases. Toxicological Sciences, 112(1), 77–84
782	https://doi.org/10.1002/toxsci/kfn232
784	Lin Liandie Handschin C & Spiegelman B M (2005) Metabolic control through the PGC-1 family
785	of transcription coactivators <i>Cell Metabolism</i> 1(6) 361–370
786	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.cmet 2005.05.004
787	Lin. Jue. & Epel. E. (2022). Stress and telomere shortening: Insights from cellular mechanisms. Ageing
788	<i>Research Reviews</i> , 73, 101507. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.arr.2021.101507
789	Lindner, M., Verhagen, I., Viitaniemi, H. M., Laine, V. N., Visser, M. E., Husby, A., & Oers, K. van.
790	(2021). Exploring temporal changes in DNA methylation and RNA expression in a small song
791	bird: correlations within and between tissues. BMC Genomics, 1–16.
792	Maï, M. El, Marzullo, M., de Castro, I. P., & Ferreira, M. G. (2020). Opposing p53 and mTOR/AKT
793	promote an in vivo switch from apoptosis to senescence upon telomere shortening in
794	zebrafish. <i>ELife, 9</i> , 1–26. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54935
795 796	Mannherz, W., & Agarwal, S. (2023). Thymidine nucleotide metabolism controls human telomere length. <i>Nature Genetics</i> . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-023-01339-5
797	Marasco, V., Smith, S., & Angelier, F. (2022). How does early-life adversity shape telomere dynamics
798	during adulthood? Problems and paradigms. <i>BioEssays</i> , 44(4), 1–11.
799	https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.202100184
800	Marchionni, S., Sell, C., & Lorenzini, A. (2020). Development and Longevity: Cellular and Molecular
801	Determinants-A Mini-Review. Gerontology, 66(3), 223–230.
802	https://doi.org/10.1159/000505327
803	Martin, D. E., & Hall, M. N. (2005). The expanding TOR signaling network. Current Opinion in Cell
804	<i>Biology</i> , 17(2), 158–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2005.02.008
805	McElreath, R. (2020). Statistical Rethinking in R and STAN. 612.
806	McEwen, B. S., & Wingfield, J. C. (2003). The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine.
807	Hormones and Behavior, 43(1), 2–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0018-506X(02)00024-7
808	Metcalfe, N. B., & Olsson, M. (2021). How telomere dynamics are influenced by the balance between
809	mitochondrial efficiency, reactive oxygen species production and DNA damage. In <i>Molecular</i>
810	<i>Ecology</i> . https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16150
811	Monagnan, P., & Ozanne, S. E. (2018). Somatic growth and telomere dynamics in vertebrates :
817	relationships, mechanisms and consequences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society

813 B: Biological Sciences, 273, 20160446. 814 Muñoz-Lorente, M. A., Cano-Martin, A. C., & Blasco, M. A. (2019). Mice with hyper-long telomeres 815 show less metabolic aging and longer lifespans. Nature Communications, 10(1), 1–14. 816 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12664-x 817 Nord, A., Metcalfe, N. B., Page, J. L., Huxtable, A., McCafferty, D. J., & Dawson, N. J. (2021). Avian red 818 blood cell mitochondria produce more heat in winter than in autumn. FASEB Journal, 35(5), 1– 819 12. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202100107R 820 Ouyang, J. Q., Lendvai, Z., Moore, I. T., Bonier, F., & Haussmann, M. F. (2016). Do Hormones, 821 Telomere Lengths, and Oxidative Stress form an Integrated Phenotype? A Case Study in Free-822 Living Tree Swallows. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 56(2), 138–145. 823 https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icw044 824 Ouyang, Jenny Q., Quetting, M., & Hau, M. (2012). Corticosterone and brood abandonment in a 825 passerine bird. Animal Behaviour, 84(1), 261–268. 826 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.05.006 827 Pal, M., Muñoz-Hernandez, H., Bjorklund, D., Zhou, L., Degliesposti, G., Skehel, J. M., Hesketh, E. L., 828 Thompson, R. F., Pearl, L. H., Llorca, O., & Prodromou, C. (2021). Structure of the TELO2-TTI1-829 TTI2 complex and its function in TOR recruitment to the R2TP chaperone. Cell Reports, 36(1). 830 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109317 831 Pańczyszyn, A., Boniewska-Bernacka, E., & Goc, A. (2020). The role of telomeres and telomerase in 832 the senescence of postmitotic cells. In DNA Repair (Vol. 95). 833 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2020.102956 834 Picard, M., Juster, R. P., & McEwen, B. S. (2014). Mitochondrial allostatic load puts the "gluc" back in 835 glucocorticoids. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 10(5), 303–310. 836 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2014.22 837 Picard, M., Juster, R. P., Sloan, R. P., & McEwen, B. S. (2017). Mitochondrial Nexus to Allostatic Load 838 Biomarkers. Psychosomatic Medicine, 79(1), 114–117. 839 https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.000000000000414 840 Picard, M., McEwen, B. S., Epel, E. S., & Sandi, C. (2018). An energetic view of stress: Focus on 841 mitochondria. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology, 49(January), 72-85. 842 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2018.01.001 843 Rabinovitch, R. C., Samborska, B., Faubert, B., Ma, E. H., Gravel, S. P., Andrzejewski, S., Raissi, T. C., 844 Pause, A., St.-Pierre, J., & Jones, R. G. (2017). AMPK Maintains Cellular Metabolic Homeostasis 845 through Regulation of Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species. Cell Reports, 21(1), 1-9. 846 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.026 847 Regan, C. E., & Sheldon, B. C. (2023). Phenotypic plasticity increases exposure to extreme climatic 848 events that reduce individual fitness. February, 2968–2980. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16663 849 Reichert, S., & Stier, A. (2017). Does oxidative stress shorten telomeres in vivo? A review. Biology 850 Letters, 13(12). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2017.0463 851 Ridout, K. K., Levandowski, M., Ridout, S. J., Gantz, L., Goonan, K., Palermo, D., Price, L. H., & Tyrka, 852 A. R. (2018). Early life adversity and telomere length: A meta-analysis. Molecular Psychiatry, 853 23(4), 858–871. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.26 854 Robitaille, A. M., Christen, S., Shimobayashi, M., Cornu, M., Fava, L. L., Moes, S., Prescianotto-855 Baschong, C., Sauer, U., Jenoe, P., & Hall, M. N. (2013). Quantitative phosphoproteomics reveal 856 mTORC1 activates de novo pyrimidine synthesis. Science, 339(6125), 1320–1323. 857 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1228771 858 Romero, L. M., Dickens, M. J., & Cyr, N. E. (2009). The reactive scope model - A new model 859 integrating homeostasis, allostasis, and stress. Hormones and Behavior, 55(3), 375–389. 860 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.12.009 861 Ruis, P., & Boulton, S. J. (2021). The end protection problem—an unexpected twist in the tail. Genes 862 and Development, 35(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1101/GAD.344044.120 863 Ruis, P., Van Ly, D., Borel, V., Kafer, G. R., McCarthy, A., Howell, S., Blassberg, R., Snijders, A. P.,

864 Briscoe, J., Niakan, K. K., Marzec, P., Cesare, A. J., & Boulton, S. J. (2021). TRF2-independent 865 chromosome end protection during pluripotency. Nature, 589(7840), 103-109. 866 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2960-y 867 Sahin, E., & DePinho, R. A. (2012). Axis of ageing: Telomeres, p53 and mitochondria. Nature Reviews 868 Molecular Cell Biology, 13(6), 397–404. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3352 869 Salin, K., Auer, S. K., Rudolf, A. M., Anderson, G. J., Cairns, A. G., Mullen, W., Hartley, R. C., Selman, 870 C., & Metcalfe, N. B. (2015). Individuals with higher metabolic rates have lower levels of 871 reactive oxygen species in vivo. Biology Letters, 11(9). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0538 872 Salmón, P., Millet, C., Selman, C., & Monaghan, P. (2021). Growth acceleration results in faster 873 telomere shortening later in life. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 874 288(1956). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.1118 875 Sanford, S. L., Welfer, G. A., Freudenthal, B. D., & Opresko, P. L. (2021). How DNA damage and non-876 canonical nucleotides alter the telomerase catalytic cycle. DNA Repair, 107(July), 103198. 877 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2021.103198 878 Schieke, S. M., Phillips, D., McCoy, J. P., Aponte, A. M., Shen, R. F., Balaban, R. S., & Finkel, T. (2006). 879 The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway regulates mitochondrial oxygen 880 consumption and oxidative capacity. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281(37), 27643–27652. 881 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M603536200 882 Schoenle, L. A., Zimmer, C., Miller, E. T., & Vitousek, M. N. (2021). Does variation in glucocorticoid 883 concentrations predict fitness? A phylogenetic meta-analysis. General and Comparative 884 Endocrinology, 300(September), 113611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113611 885 Schonbrun, M., Laor, D., López-Maury, L., Bähler, J., Kupiec, M., & Weisman, R. (2009). TOR complex 886 2 controls gene silencing, telomere length maintenance, and survival under DNA-damaging 887 conditions. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 29(16), 4584-4594. 888 https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.01879-08 889 Seebacher, F., & Little, A. G. (2017). Plasticity of performance curves can buffer reaction rates from 890 body temperature variation in active endotherms. Frontiers in Physiology, 8(AUG), 1–8. 891 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00575 892 Send, T. S., Gilles, M., Codd, V., Wolf, I., Bardtke, S., Streit, F., Strohmaier, J., Frank, J., Schendel, D., 893 Sütterlin, M. W., Denniff, M., Laucht, M., Samani, N. J., Deuschle, M., Rietschel, M., & Witt, S. H. 894 (2017). Telomere length in newborns is related to maternal stress during pregnancy. 895 Neuropsychopharmacology, 42(12), 2407–2413. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2017.73 896 Speakman, J. R., Talbot, D. A., Selman, C., Snart, S., McLaren, J. S., Redman, P., Krol, E., Jackson, D. 897 M., Johnson, M. S., & Brand, M. D. (2004). Uncoupled and surviving: Individual mice with high 898 metabolism have greater mitochondrial uncoupling and live longer. Aging Cell, 3(3), 87–95. 899 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9728.2004.00097.x 900 Spießberger, M., Hoelzl, F., Smith, S., Vetter, S., Ruf, T., & Nowack, J. (2022). The tarnished silver 901 spoon? Trade-off between prenatal growth and telomere length in wild boar. Journal of 902 Evolutionary Biology, 35(1), 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13954 903 Stamps, J. A. (2007). Growth-mortality tradeoffs and "personality traits" in animals. Ecology Letters, 904 10(5), 355–363. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01034.x 905 Stelzer, G., Rosen, N., Plaschkes, I., Zimmerman, S., Twik, M., Fishilevich, S., Iny Stein, T., Nudel, R., 906 Lieder, I., Mazor, Y., Kaplan, S., Dahary, D., Warshawsky, D., Guan-Golan, Y., Kohn, A., 907 Rappaport, N., Safran, M., & Lancet, D. (2016). The GeneCards suite: From gene data mining to 908 disease genome sequence analyses. Current Protocols in Bioinformatics, 2016, 1.30.1-1.30.33. 909 https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.5 910 Stier, A., Bize, P., Schull, Q., Zoll, J., Singh, F., Geny, B., Gros, F., Royer, C., Massemin, S., & Criscuolo, F. (2013). Avian erythrocytes have functional mitochondria, opening novel perspectives for 911 912 birds as animal models in the study of ageing. Frontiers in Zoology, 10(1), 1–9. 913 https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-10-33 914 Stier, A., Delestrade, A., Bize, P., Zahn, S., Criscuolo, F., & Massemin, S. (2016). Investigating how

915 telomere dynamics, growth and life history covary along an elevation gradient in two passerine 916 species. Journal of Avian Biology, 47(1), 134–140. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.00714 917 Stier, A., Hsu, B.-Y., Cossin-Sevrin, N., Garcin, N., & Ruuskanen, S. (2021). From climate warming to 918 accelerated cellular ageing: an 1 experimental study in wild birds 2. BioRxiv, 919 2021.12.21.473625. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.21.473625 920 Stier, A., Metcalfe, N. B., & Monaghan, P. (2020). Pace and stability of embryonic development affect 921 telomere dynamics: An experimental study in a precocial bird model: Prenatal development 922 affects telomeres. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 287(1933). 923 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1378rspb20201378 924 Stier, A., Romestaing, C., Schull, Q., Lefol, E., Robin, J.-P., Roussel, D., & Bize, P. (2017). How to 925 measure mitochondrial function in birds using red blood cells: a case study in the king penguin 926 and perspectives in ecology and evolution. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 8, 1172–1182. 927 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12724 928 Sudarsanam, S., & Johnson, D. E. (2010). Functional consequences of mTOR inhibition. Current 929 *Opinion in Drug Discovery and Development*, *13*(1), *31–40*. 930 Sugimoto, M. (2014). A cascade leading to premature aging phenotypes including abnormal tumor 931 profiles in Werner syndrome (Review). International Journal of Molecular Medicine, 33(2), 247-932 253. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2013.1592 933 Timashev, L. A., & De Lange, T. (2020). Characterization of t-loop formation by TRF2. Nucleus, 11(1), 164-177. https://doi.org/10.1080/19491034.2020.1783782 934 935 Ungar, L., Harari, Y., Toren, A., & Kupiec, M. (2011). Tor complex 1 controls telomere length by 936 affecting the level of Ku. Current Biology, 21(24), 2115–2120. 937 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.11.024 938 Valvezan, A. J., & Manning, B. D. (2019). Molecular logic of mTORC1 signalling as a metabolic 939 rheostat. Nature Metabolism, 1(3), 321-333. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-019-0038-7 940 Valvezan, A. J., Turner, M., Belaid, A., Lam, H. C., Miller, S. K., McNamara, M. C., Baglini, C., Housden, 941 B. E., Perrimon, N., Kwiatkowski, D. J., Asara, J. M., Henske, E. P., & Manning, B. D. (2017). 942 mTORC1 Couples Nucleotide Synthesis to Nucleotide Demand Resulting in a Targetable 943 Metabolic Vulnerability. Cancer Cell, 32(5), 624-638.e5. 944 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.09.013 945 Van Leene, J., Han, C., Gadeyne, A., Eeckhout, D., Matthijs, C., Cannoot, B., De Winne, N., Persiau, G., 946 Van De Slijke, E., Van de Cotte, B., Stes, E., Van Bel, M., Storme, V., Impens, F., Gevaert, K., 947 Vandepoele, K., De Smet, I., & De Jaeger, G. (2019). Capturing the phosphorylation and protein 948 interaction landscape of the plant TOR kinase. Nature Plants, 5(3), 316–327. 949 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0378-z 950 Verhagen, I., Tomotani, B. M., Gienapp, P., & Visser, M. E. (2020). Temperature has a causal and 951 plastic effect on timing of breeding in a small songbird. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.218784 952 Vitousek, M. N., Taff, C. C., Ardia, D. R., Stedman, J. M., Zimmer, C., Salzman, T. C., & Winkler, D. W. 953 (2018). The lingering impact of stress: Brief acute glucocorticoid exposure has sustained, dose-954 dependent effects on reproduction. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 955 285(1882). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0722 956 Vitousek, M. N., Taff, C. C., Hallinger, K. K., Zimmer, C., & Winkler, D. W. (2018). Hormones and 957 fitness: Evidence for trade-offs in glucocorticoid regulation across contexts. Frontiers in Ecology 958 and Evolution, 6(APR), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00042 959 Voituron, Y., Josserand, R., Le Galliard, J. F., Haussy, C., Roussel, D., Romestaing, C., & Meylan, S. 960 (2017). Chronic stress, energy transduction, and free-radical production in a reptile. Oecologia, 961 185(2), 195-203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-3933-1 962 Wang, X., & Proud, C. G. (2009). Nutrient control of TORC1, a cell-cycle regulator. Trends in Cell 963 Biology, 19(6), 260–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2009.03.005 964 Wood, E. M., & Young, A. J. (2019). Telomere attrition predicts reduced survival in a wild social bird, 965 but short telomeres do not. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(16), 3669–3680.

- 966 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15181 967 Wullschleger, S., Loewith, R., & Hall, M. N. (2006). TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. Cell, 968 124(3), 471-484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.016 969 Xiong, S., Patrushev, N., Forouzandeh, F., Hilenski, L., & Alexander, R. W. (2015). PGC-1α Modulates 970 Telomere Function and DNA Damage in Protecting against Aging-Related Chronic Diseases. Cell 971 Reports, 12(9), 1391-1399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.07.047 972 Xu, S., Cai, Y., & Wei, Y. (2014). mTOR signaling from cellular senescence to organismal aging. Aging 973 and Disease, 5(4), 263-273. https://doi.org/10.14336/AD.2014.0500263 974 Yudt, M. R., & Cidlowski, J. A. (2002). The glucocorticoid receptor: Coding a diversity of proteins and 975 responses through a single gene. *Molecular Endocrinology*, 16(8), 1719–1726. 976 https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2002-0106 977 Zhang, N., Meng, Y., Li, X., Zhou, Y., Ma, L., Fu, L., Schwarzländer, M., Liu, H., & Xiong, Y. (2019). 978 Metabolite-mediated TOR signaling regulates the circadian clock in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of 979 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(51), 25395–25397. 980 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913095116 981 Zhang, X., Liu, Z., Liu, X., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., He, X., Sun, S., Ma, S., Shyh-Chang, N., Liu, F., Wang, Q., 982 Wang, X., Liu, L., Zhang, W., Song, M., Liu, G. H., & Qu, J. (2019). Telomere-dependent and 983 telomere-independent roles of RAP1 in regulating human stem cell homeostasis. Protein and 984 Cell, 10(9), 649-667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-019-0610-7 985 Zhou, C., Gehrig, P. A., Whang, Y. E., & Boggess, J. F. (2003). Rapamycin inhibits telomerase activity 986 by decreasing the hTERT mRNA level in endometrial cancer cells. Molecular Cancer 987 Therapeutics, 2(8), 789–795. 988 Zhu, X., Shen, W., Yao, K., Wang, H., Liu, B., Li, T., Song, L., Diao, D., Mao, G., Huang, P., Li, C., Zhang, 989 H., Zou, Y., Qiu, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, W., Yang, Y., Hu, Z., Auwerx, J., ... Ju, Z. (2019). Fine-Tuning of PGC1α Expression Regulates Cardiac Function and Longevity. Circulation Research, 125(7), 990 991 707–719. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315529
- 992

993 Table 1. Statistical outputs of models used to assess the effect of the treatments on the variables of interest (reference group was the control group).

994 Estimates of fixed (β) and random (σ 2) parameters are shown as posterior modes with 95% credible intervals (CI).

Variable (R2)	Fixed effects	β[95% CI]	Random effects	σ ² [95% Cl]		
Corticosterone (0.41)						
	Intercept	0.56[0.26,0.86]	nest	0.19[0.01,0.37]		
	nuccort	0.40[0.02,0.75]				
	cort	0.54[0.17,0.84]	residual	0.43[0.34,0.53]		
	nuc	0.11[-0.23,0.47]				
GR (0.36)						
	Intercept	-1.02[-1.35,-0.71]	nest	0.22[0.01,0.52]		
	nuccort	0.51[0.05,0.95]	residual	0.54[0.42,0.68]		
	cort	0.74[0.29,1.22]				
	nuc	0.12[-0.31,0.59]				
Growth (0.86)						
	Intercept	8.23[6.10,10.26]	nest	0.73[0.47,1.04]		
	nuccort	-0.85[-1.61,-0.10]	residual	0.61[0.49,0.78]		
	cort	-0.46[-1.16,0.03]				
	nuc	-0.38[-1.14,0.38]				
	Tarsusinitial.s	-0.97[-1.21,-0.73]				
Telomeres (0.67)						
	Intercept	14.29[13.41,15.17]	nest	0.67[0.21,1.34]		
	nuccort	-0.53[-1.63,0.59]				
	cort	-1.53[-2.62,-0.33]	residual	0.92[0.71,1.21]		
	nuc	-0.58[-1.65,0.55]				
Telo2 (0.21)						
	Intercept	-1.75[-2.01,-1.48]	nest	0.11[0.005,0.34]		
	nuccort	0.65[0.22,1.08]	residual	0.55[0.45,0.67]		
	cort	0.09[-0.34,0.51]				
	nuc	0.18[-0.23,0.58]				
TERF2 (0.59)						
	Intercept	-0.65[-1.1,-0.19]	nest	0.40[0.05,0.72]		
	nuccort	1.02[0.31,1.61]	residual	0.64[0.49,0.83]		
	cort	0.01[-0.59,0.60]				
	nuc	0.21[-0.37,0.79]				

RAP1 (0.17)

	Intercept	-1.61[-2.10,-1.12]	nest	0.18[0.009,0.51]
	nuccort	0.48[-0.27,0.85]	residual	0.77[0.63,0.95]
	cort	0.41[-0.25,1.04]		
	nuc	0.46[-0.19,1.04]		
TERT (0.15)				
	Intercept	-0.24[-0.57,0.11]	nest	0.14[0.007,0.43]
	nuccort	0.61[0.09,1.14]	residual	0.65[0.54,0.81]
	cort	0.32[-0.18,0.82]		- / -
	nuc	0.18[-0.33,0.67]		
GPX SOD (0.25)				
_ 、 /	Intercept	-0.37[-1.01,0.31]	nest	0.40[0.02,0.97]
	nuccort	1.10[0.12,2.08]	residual	1.18[0.93,1.47]
	cort	-0.01[-1.04,0.98]		. , 1
	nuc	0.13[-0.75,1.03]		
PC1 ETC (0.23)		. , 1		
()	Intercept	-0.43[-1.19.0.35]	nest	0.35[0.018.0.93]
	nuccort	1.09[0.01.2.15]	residual	1.49[1.25.1.87]
	cort	0.13[-1.10.1.32]		
	nuc	-0.21[-1.30.0.9]		
АМРК (0.55)		- L		
	Intercept	-0.89[-1.210.58]	nest	0.27[0.03.0.48]
	nuccort	0.00[-0.42.0.42]	residual	0.45[0.36.0.58]
	cort	-0.18[-0.59.0.24]		
	nuc	-0.12[-0.54.0.32]		
PGC1 (0.09)				
(0.00)	Intercept	-1.63[-1.871.40]	nest	0.10[0.005.0.29]
	nuccort	0.23[-0.12.0.34]	residual	0.46[0.38.0.57]
	cort	0.11[-0.24.0.46]		
	nuc	0.02[-0.33.0.35]		
CMR (0.41)		. , 1		
·- /	Intercept	2.59[1.78,3.4]	nest	0.65[0.07,1.23]
	nuccort	1.05[-0.01.2.15]	residual	1.31[[1.04.1.64]
	cort	1.22[0.17.2.31]		
	nuc	0.38[-0.72.1.42]		
OXPHOS (0.12)				
	Intercept	1.82[1.37.2.27]	nest	0.19[0.010.0.55]
				0.20[0.020,0.00]

	nuccort	0.46[-0.08,1.01]	residual	0.81[0.66,0.98]
	cort	0.24[-0.35,0.85]		
	nuc	0.00[-0.18,1.08]		
LEAK (0.54)				
	Intercept	0.80[0.27,1.32]	nest	0.47[0.06,0.79]
	nuccort	0.57[-0.13,1.30]	residual	0.79[0.63,1.01]
	cort	0.97[0.28,1.68]		
	nuc	0.36[-0.34,1.06]		
ETS (0.59)				
	Intercept	1.5[1.18,1.88]	nest	0.35[0.09,0.56]
	nuccort	0.45[-0.02,0.90]	residual	0.47[0.37,0.62]
	cort	0.41[-0.03,0.84]		
	nuc	0.13[-0.32,0.58]		
FCR1 (0.38)				
	Intercept	0.30[0.22,0.38]	nest	0.06[0.005,0.11]
	nuccort	0.04[-0.16,0.15]	residual	0.13[0.10,0.16]
	cort	0.14[0.03,0.25]		
	nuc	0.09[-0.02,0.19]		
ROMs (0.18)				
	Intercept	-0.08[-0.34,0.18]	nest	0.14[0.006,0.35]
	nuccort	0.03[-0.36,0.40]	residual	0.50[0.41,0.62]
	cort	-0.13[-0.49,0.23]		
	nuc	0.06[-0.33,0.43]		
OXY (0.68)				
	Intercept	208.08[160.58,251.8]	nest	49.56[26.49,75.13]
	nuccort	43.48[-16.17,101.12]	residual	56.77[45.57,73.31]
	cort	23.77[-33.84,80.80]		
	nuc	41.75[-13.81,102.62]		

997 Table 2. Explanatory model for telomere length analysis.

Full model				Minimal model					
Variable (R2)Fixed effects β [95% CI]Random effects σ^2 [95% CI]		Variable (R2) Fixed effects	β[95% CI]	Random ef	fects σ^2 [95% CI]			
Telomere					Telomere				
length (0.68)					length (0.69				
	Intercept	-0.02[-0.27,0.25]	nest	0.58[0.28,0.88]		Intercept	-0.02[-0.27,0.25]	nest	0.60[0.36,0.90]
	ATP_COX	0.40[0.03,0.77]	residual	0.72[0.58,0.93]		ATP_COX	0.32[0.12,0.53]	residual	0.69[0.57,0.88]
	LEAK	-0.33[-0.56,-0.10]				LEAK	-0.31[-0.54,-0.09]		
	Growth	0.16[-0.07,0.39]							
	TERT	0.00[-0.24,0.24]							
	GPX_SOD	-0.05[-0.42,0.30]							
	TERF2	-0.06[-0.32,0.21]							

999 Table 3. Genes and primers

We measured the abundance of 14 genes relative to the reference gene *ube2d2*. Gene accession
 numbers and corresponding primer sequence are listed. For full details on efficiency of primer pairs
 see Supplementary Table S3.

Gene	Gene name	Accession	Primer sequence				
		number					
ube2d2	ubiquitin conjugating enzyme	<u>XM_015641919.1</u>	GTGGTCCCCAGCACTAACTA				
	E2 D2		CCGTGCAATCTCAGGCACTA				
telo2	telomere maintenance 2	XM_015642370.1	GGTCACACGACAGAAGTGCT				
			CACTCTGAGCAACAACGTGC				
rap1	terf2 interacting protein	XM 015640049.2	TCGAAAGCACGGAGTCAGAAA				
			GAGTCCTCTGGCCCAGTTTT				
terf2	telomeric repeat binding	XM 015640146.1	GCAGCAACACCCGAACATTT				
	factor 2		GGGCTGCCTTTGTGATTCCT				
tert	telomerase reverse	XM_015618607.2	CTTACAGGTTCCATGCCTGTGT				
	transcriptase		CCCATTAACACCCTATACCTGC				
gpx4	glutathione peroxidase 4	XM_015651792.1	TTGCTGAGAACTACGGGGTG				
			TTTTATTGCATTGCCCAGGGTG				
sod1	superoxide dismutase 1	XM 015651740.1	ATCACTGGATTGGCCGATGG				
			TGGTGCACCCATTGGTGTTG				
cx6a1	cytochrome c oxidase subunit	XM_015644396.2	GCATCAGGACCAAGCGTTTC				
	6A1, mitochondrial (LOC107211853)		TTGGGAGAGCGTTAACGTGG				
cox4	cytochrome c oxidase subunit	XM_015640424.2	ACAAAGGGACAAACGAGTGGA				
	4, isoform 1, mitochondrial (LOC107210061)		GGATGGGGCCGTACATGAAG				
atp5f1a	ATP synthase F1 subunit alpha	<u>XM_015653574.1</u>	CAGGGCTGAAGGGTATGTCC				
			ACCAGTCCGCTTCACAACAT				
atp5f1b	ATP synthase F1 subunit beta	XM_015615638.1	TGAGGGCAACGACTTGTACC				
			CAGGGCGACCTTAGAAGTGG				
nr3c1	nuclear receptor subfamily 3	XM_015642077.2	GGAATAGGTGCCAGGGATCG				
	group C member 1		TTCCAGGGCTTGAATAGCCA				
prkaa1	protein kinase AMP-activated	XM_015652899.1	GGGTGAAGATCGGGCACTAC				
	catalytic subunit alpha 1		AGGCTGCGAATCTTCTGTCG				
prkag3	protein kinase AMP-activated	XM_015634778.1	TCGTTGTCTTTGACATCTCCCT				
	non-catalytic subunit gamma 3		AGCTCTGTGTCTTGCTGTCC				
pprc1	peroxisome proliferator-	XM 015632930.2	ATGAGACCCTGTCCCCCTTT				
	activated receptor gamma, coactivator-related 1		TGTAGGACTCTCGCACTCCA				

1003

1005 Figure 1. Basic conceptualization of the study design. Pink pathway represents TOR activation 1006 expected for chicks receiving nucleotides and corticosterone while red pathways are for TOR non-1007 activation/inhibition, as expected for Cort-nestlings. Green pathway represents effect of nucleotides 1008 on telomere length, independently of TOR. Arrows represent activation while a blunt head arrow 1009 represents inhibition. Sharp and blunt-head arrows pointing at telomeres indicate maintenance-1010 elongation or attrition of telomeres, respectively. White circles indicate gene expression (mRNA) for: 1011 mitochondrial enzymes of the electron system cytochrome c oxidase (cox6a1, cox4); mitochondrial 1012 ATP-synthases (atp5f1a, atp5f1b), mitochondrial and intracellular antioxidants: superoxide 1013 dismutase (sod1) and glutathione peroxidase (gpx4); mitochondrial regulator PGC1 (pprc1); telomere 1014 maintenance proteins: shelterin proteins (rap1, trf2) and telomerase (tert); biomarker for low 1015 energetic state AMPK (prkaa1, prkaq3). See text for detailed explanations of expectations. 1016



1017

Figure 2. Group differences in telomere length (a) and growth (b). Growth is expressed as the first
factor of a PCA including differences between day 5 and day 15 in tarsus length and body mass.
Small circles represent individual raw values, while larger circles represent predicted mean values
with 95% credible intervals (bars) as calculated by the statistical models. Pairwise Tukey contrasts
are reported when between-group differences were significant (missing contrasts indicate lack of
significant differences; see SEM for full comparisons). Contrasts were placed above colored lines
connecting groups of interest; color refers to the group to which the mean difference was referred.



1031Figure 3. Gene expression of the TOR proxy *telo2*, and telomere maintenance genes *terf2* and *tert*.1032Small circles represent individual raw values, while larger circles represent predicted mean values1033with 95% credible intervals (bars) calculated by the statistical models. Contrasts were placed above1034colored lines connecting groups of interest; color refers to the group to which the mean difference1035was referred.



1037 Figure 4. Gene expression of a) 4 subunits of the two mitochondrial enzymatic complexes IV and V 1038 (ATP synthase F1 subunits (atp5f1b, atp5f1a), cytochrome c oxidase subunits 4 and 6A1 (cox4, 1039 cx6a1)) expressed by PC1 of a principal component analysis, b) gene expression of two intracellular 1040 enzymatic antioxidants (gpx4, sod1) represent by a PC1 of a principal component analysis (see 1041 methods for more details). Pale circles represent individual raw values, while saturated larger circles 1042 represent predicted mean values with 95% credible intervals (bars) calculated by the statistical 1043 models. Contrasts were placed above colored lines connecting groups of interest; color refers to the 1044 group to which the mean difference was referred.



1045 1046

1047

Figure 5. Effect of the treatment on mitochondrial bioenergetics CMR (a), LEAK (b) and mitochondrial
 inefficiency (c). Pale circles represent individual raw values, while saturated larger circles represent
 predicted mean values with 95% credible intervals (bars) calculated by the statistical models.
 Contrasts were placed above colored lines connecting groups of interest; color refers to the group to
 which the mean difference was referred.



1054 Supplementary material

1055

1056 Table S1. Variation in variables of interest in relation to the treatments (reference group was the control group) with computed missing values. Estimates of fixed (β) and random (σ2)

1057 parameters are shown as posterior modes with 95% credible intervals (CI).

Telomeres (0.63) Intercept nuccort 14.51[13.92,15.06] -0.71[-1.45,0.09] cort nest 0.51[0.12,0.82] nest Telo2 (0.23) nuc -0.76[-1.45,0.06] residual 0.82[0.67,1.04] nuc Telo2 (0.23) intercept -1.74[-2.43,-1.04] nuc residual 0.82[0.67,1.04] nest Telo2 (0.23) intercept -1.72[-0.97,-1.47] nuccort nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nest Tercept -0.56[-0.30,1.03] cort residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] nuc 0.14[-0.21,0.51] nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nuc TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nuc nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuc TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nuc nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] 0.58[0.46,0.75] TERF (0.14) Intercept -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nuc 0.58[0.46,0.75] 0.58[0.46,0.75] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.3[-0.41,0.17] nuccort nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] Intercept -0.3[-0.82,0.34] nuccort nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] Inuccort <td< th=""><th>Variable (R2)</th><th>Fixed effects</th><th>β[95% C.I.]</th><th>Random effects</th><th colspan="3">σ² [95% C.I.]</th></td<>	Variable (R2)	Fixed effects	β[95% C.I.]	Random effects	σ² [95% C.I.]		
Intercept nuccort 14.51[13.92,15.06] -0.71[-1.45,0.09] nest 0.51[0.12,0.82] cort -0.71[-1.45,0.09] residual 0.82[0.67,1.04] nuc -0.76[-1.45,0.06] residual 0.82[0.67,1.04] Telo2 (0.23) Intercept -1.72[-0.97,-1.47] nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nuccort 0.66[0.30,1.03] residual 0.51[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.15[-0.21,0.51] nest 0.51[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.16[-0.21,0.53] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort 0.01[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] muccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] nuccort 0.12[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] muccort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.28[-0.34,0.50] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort 0.28[-0.34,0.50] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.02[-0.73,0.85] residual <td>Telomeres (0.63)</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Telomeres (0.63)	·					
nuccort cort -0.71[-1.45,0.09] -1.74[-2.43,-1.04] residual 0.82[0.67,1.04] Telo2 (0.23) Intercept -1.72[-0.97,-1.47] nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nuccort 0.66[0.30,1.03] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] nuccort 0.15[-0.21,0.51] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] nucc 0.14[-0.21,0.51] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04(-0.62,0.53] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04(-0.62,0.53] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.03[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.10[0.07,0.36] nuccort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 0.28[-0.23,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] cort 0.02[-0.34,0.50] residual 1.010[0.9,2,1.35] cort 0.02[-0.80,0.33]		Intercept	14.51[13.92,15.06]	nest	0.51[0.12,0.82]		
cort -1.74[2.43,-1.04] residual 0.82[0.67,1.04] nuc -0.76[-1.45,0.06]		nuccort	-0.71[-1.45,0.09]				
nuc -0.76[-1.45,0.06] Telo2 (0.23) Intercept -1.72[-0.97,-1.47] nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nuccort 0.66[0.30,1.03] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] cort 0.15[-0.21,0.51] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.93[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] nuccort 0.93[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nuc 0.58[0.46,0.75] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] rest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.03[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuc 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort nuc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.0		cort	-1.74[-2.43,-1.04]	residual	0.82[0.67,1.04]		
Telo2 (0.23) Intercept -1.72[-0.97,-1.47] nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nuccort 0.66[0.30,1.03] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] cort 0.15[-0.21,0.51] nest 0.55[0.43,0.62] TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,150] residual 0.55[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] residual 0.55[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] residual 0.56[0.51,0.74] nucc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.26[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nuccort 0.62[0.51,0.74] nuccort 0.28[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.01[0.92,1.35] cort cort -0.03[-0.74,0.85] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort		nuc	-0.76[-1.45,0.06]				
Intercept -1.72[-0.97,-1.47] nest 0.11[0.005,0.32] nuccort 0.66[0.30,1.03] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] cort 0.14[-0.21,0.51] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] TERF2 (0.66)	Telo2 (0.23)						
nuccort 0.66[0.30,1.03] residual 0.51[0.43,0.62] cort 0.15[-0.21,0.51]		Intercept	-1.72[-0.97,-1.47]	nest	0.11[0.005,0.32]		
cort 0.15[-0.21,0.51] nuc 0.14[-0.21,0.51] TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] nuccort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] nuccort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] nuc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] residual 1.00[0.92,1.35] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] rest 0.03[-0.74,0.85] nuccort 0.05[-0.74,0.85] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.026[-0.80,1.30] residual		nuccort	0.66[0.30,1.03]	residual	0.51[0.43,0.62]		
nuc 0.14[-0.21,0.51] TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nuc 0.55[0.98,-0.12] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] TERT (0.14) Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nuccort 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] residual 1.00[0.92,1.35] nuccort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuccort 1.00[0.92,1.35] nucc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort -0.02[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] <td></td> <td>cort</td> <td>0.15[-0.21,0.51]</td> <td></td> <td></td>		cort	0.15[-0.21,0.51]				
TERF2 (0.66) Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] TERT (0.14) Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] nucc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.14] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] </td <td></td> <td>nuc</td> <td>0.14[-0.21,0.51]</td> <td></td> <td></td>		nuc	0.14[-0.21,0.51]				
Intercept -0.55[-0.98,-0.12] nest 0.45[0.12,0.73] nuccort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] - - TERT (0.14) Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] nuccort 0.28[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 0.08[-0.34,0.50] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] residual cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] pC1 ETC (0.17) intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort -0.02[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuccort 0.44[-1.48,0.6] intercept	TERF2 (0.66)						
nuccort 0.91[0.31,1.50] residual 0.58[0.46,0.75] cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] TERT (0.14) Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] cort 0.28[-0.34,0.50] residual 1.062[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 0.05[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nucc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort -0.02[-0.80,1.30] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 0.24[-0.80,1.30] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 0.24[-0.80,1.32]		Intercept	-0.55[-0.98,-0.12]	nest	0.45[0.12,0.73]		
cort -0.04[-0.62,0.53] nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] TERT (0.14) Intercept Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nuc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nuccort 0.08[-0.34,0.50] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept Intercept -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuccort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuccort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nuccort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuccort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nuccort 1.00[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.25[-0.57,1.44]		nuccort	0.91[0.31,1.50]	residual	0.58[0.46,0.75]		
nuc 0.12[-0.45,0.69] TERT (0.14) Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nuc 0.62[0.51,0.74] gPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort -0.44[-1.48,0.6] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuccort		cort	-0.04[-0.62,0.53]				
TERT (0.14) Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nuc 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.25[-0.32,31] nuct 0.61[0.05,1.14]		nuc	0.12[-0.45,0.69]				
Intercept -0.13[-0.41,0.17] nest 0.11[0.007,0.36] nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] muc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nucc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nucc 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.25[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.27[[0.32,2.31] residual	TERT (0.14)						
nuccort 0.54[0.07,0.98] residual 0.62[0.51,0.74] cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69]		Intercept	-0.13[-0.41,0.17]	nest	0.11[0.007,0.36]		
cort 0.28[-0.14,0.69] nuc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuc 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 0.04[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.64[-0.32,2.31] nuccort 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		nuccort	0.54[0.07,0.98]	residual	0.62[0.51,0.74]		
nuc 0.08[-0.34,0.50] GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] procession -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.40[0.92,1.35] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 0.026[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.26[-0.57,1.44] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		cort	0.28[-0.14,0.69]				
GPX_SOD (0.23) Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nucc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] nuc 0.43[-0.57,1.44] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		nuc	0.08[-0.34,0.50]				
Intercept -0.23[-0.82,0.34] nest 0.34[0.01,0.82] nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nucc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] ort 0.43[-0.57,1.44] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58]	GPX_SOD (0.23)						
nuccort 1.07[0.23,1.92] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] residual 1.10[0.92,1.35] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.43[-0.57,1.44] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		Intercept	-0.23[-0.82,0.34]	nest	0.34[0.01,0.82]		
cort -0.05[-0.74,0.85] nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] muc -0.44[-1.48,0.6]		nuccort	1.07[0.23,1.92]	residual	1.10[0.92,1.35]		
nuc -0.01[-0.83,0.81] PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6]		cort	-0.05[-0.74,0.85]				
PC1 ETC (0.17) Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 0.43[-0.57,1.44] 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		nuc	-0.01[-0.83,0.81]				
Intercept -0.17[-0.88,0.53] nest 0.34[0.014,0.91] nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] - - CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuccort 1.043[-0.57,1.44]	PC1 ETC (0.17)						
nuccort 1.08[0.01,2.18] residual 1.49[1.26,1.82] cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] - - nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] - - CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuccort 1.043[-0.57,1.44]		Intercept	-0.17[-0.88,0.53]	nest	0.34[0.014,0.91]		
cort 0.26[-0.80,1.30] nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuccort 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		nuccort	1.08[0.01,2.18]	residual	1.49[1.26,1.82]		
nuc -0.44[-1.48,0.6] CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuccort 1.27[[1.03,1.58]		cort	0.26[-0.80,1.30]				
CMR (0.41) Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuc 0.43[-0.57,1.44]		nuc	-0.44[-1.48,0.6]				
Intercept 2.53[1.80,3.22] nest 0.61[0.05,1.14] nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuccort nucc 0.43[-0.57,1.44]	CMR (0.41)		, , ,				
nuccort 1.10[0.08,2.14] residual 1.27[[1.03,1.58] cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuc 0.43[-0.57,1.44]	·- /	Intercept	2.53[1.80,3.22]	nest	0.61[0.05,1.14]		
cort 1.27[0.32,2.31] nuc 0.43[-0.57.1.44]		nuccort	1.10[0.08.2.14]	residual	1.27[[1.03.1.58]		
nuc 0.43[-0.57.1.44]		cort	1.27[0.32,2.31]				
		nuc	0.43[-0.57.1.44]				

ETS (0.59)				
	Intercept	0.67[0.53,0.81]	nest	0.14[0.04,0.23]
	nuccort	0.18[-0.01,0.38]	residual	0.20[0.16,0.26]
	cort	0.17[-0.01,0.36]		
	nuc	0.05[-0.13,0.24]		
LEAK/CMR (0.38)				
	Intercept	0.30[0.22,0.38]	nest	0.06[0.004,0.11]
	nuccort	0.05[-0.09,0.15]	residual	0.13[0.10,0.16]
	cort	0.13[0.04,0.23]		
	nuc	0.09[-0.02,0.19]		
Growth (0.86)				
	Intercept	8.23[6.10,10.26]	nest	0.73[0.47,1.04]
	nuccort	-0.85[-1.61,-0.10]	residual	0.61[0.49,0.78]
	cort	-0.46[-1.16,0.03]		
	nuc	-0.38[-1.14,0.38]		
	Tarsusinitial.s	-0.97[-1.21,-0.73]		

Table S2. Pairwise Post-hoc analysis 1059 1060 1061 \$`pairwise differences of treatment` 1 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1062 Cnt - NucCort Cnt - Cort 1063 0.5219 -0.524 1.556 1.5194 1064 0.401 2.526 Cnt - Nuc 1065 0.5669 -0.5001.588 1.729 0.9967 0.190 1066 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 1067 0.0635 -0.662 0.797 1068 Cort - Nuc -0.9396 -1.705-0.1581069 1070 Corticosterone 1071 \$`pairwise differences of treatment ` 1 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1072 -0.7411 -0.0178 1073 Cnt - NucCort -0.3816 Cnt - Cort -0.5182 -0.8377 1074 -0.1815-0.0967 0.2581 1075 Cnt - Nuc -0.4246 1076 -0.1383 -0.46230.1993 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 1077 0.2834 -0.0749 0.6285 0.1044 1078 Cort - Nuc 0.4226 0.7486 1079 1080 Gr receptor \$`pairwise differences of treatment ` 1081 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1 1082 -0.9873 1083 Cnt - NucCort -0.502 -0.0594 -1.2301 -0.743 -0.2772 1084 Cnt - Cort 0.2882 1085 Cnt - Nuc -0.130 -0.6077 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 1086 -0.240 -0.6852 0.1763 0.371 -0.0377 0.7763 1087 1088 0.613 0.2134 1.0562 Cort - Nuc 1089 Growth pc1 1090 \$`pairwise differences of treatment` 1 estimate lower HPD un 1091 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1092 1093 Cnt - NucCort 1.057 -0.286 2.4395 -0.740 1094 0.606 1.9430 Cnt - Cort 1095 Cnt - Nuc 0.360 -0.998 1.6987 -0.474 1096 -1.1380.2178 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 1097 -0.688 -1.401-0.0483 -0.9160.4025 1098 -0.224 Cort - Nuc 1099 1100 теlo2 1101 \$`pairwise differences of treatment 1estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1102 1103 Cnt - NucCort -1.0720 -0.213 -0.6530 Cnt - Cort Cnt - Nuc 1104 -0.0857 -0.5208 0.343 -0.5828 1105 -0.1718 0.231 0.5602 0.1216 0.996 1106 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 0.4721 1107 0.0688 0.888 1108 Cort - Nuc -0.0873 -0.50390.337 1109 1110 Terf2 1111 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1112 1113 Cnt - NucCort -1.03413-1.616-0.387Cnt - Cort -0.00914-0.5991114 0.607 Cnt - Nuc -0.21404-0.774 0.410 1115 0.486 1116 NucCort - Cort 1.01583 1.538 NucCort - Nuc 0.80380 1117 0.322 1.335 1118 Cort - Nuc -0.20385 -0.7110.293 1119 1120 Tert \$`pairwise differences of treatment 1121 1 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1122 -0.0949 1123 Cnt - NucCort -0.619 -1.132Cnt - Cort -0.327 -0.8490.1567 1124 1125 Cnt - Nuc -0.185 -0.669 0.3052 1126 NucCort - Cort 0.284 -0.252 0.8342 NucCort - Nuc 0.430 -0.1030.9549 1127

0.146 -0.353 0.6404 1128 Cort - Nuc 1129 1130 Cox \$`pairwise differences of treatment ` 1131 1 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1132 -1.098 -2.275 1133 Cnt - NucCort 0.103 -1.266 Cnt - Cort -0.1101134 1.010 Cnt - Nuc 0.206 1135 -0.858 1.300 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 0.972 2.215 1136 -0.228 1.292 2.441 1137 0.155 0.330 1138 Cort - Nuc -0.756 1.502 1139 1140 **ATPsynthase** 1141 1142 1143 Cnt - NucCort -1.1216 -2.1512 -0.226 Cnt - Cort Cnt - Nuc -0.0256 -0.9772 1144 1.040 1145 -0.1718 -1.06690.761 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 2.070 1146 1.0936 0.0457 1.840 1147 0.9609 0.0161 -1.09961148 -0.1385 0.747 Cort - Nuc 1149 1150 CMR \$`pairwise differences of treatment` 1 estimate lower HPD un 1151 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1152 -1.047 1153 Cnt - NucCort -2.2243 0.0114 Cnt - Cort Cnt - Nuc 1154 -1.218-2.2869 -0.0708 -0.386 -1.4795 0.6774 1155 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc -0.175 -1.0953 0.8342 1156 1157 0.676 -0.3002 1.6504 0.853 -0.0586 1158 Cort - Nuc 1.7893 1159 1160 Leak \$`pairwise differences of treatment ` 1161 1 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1162 Cnt - NucCort Cnt - Cort -0.564 -1.2928 0.165 1163 -0.968 -1.6451 -0.238 1164 Cnt - Nuc -0.359 -1.0650 0.309 1165 -0.406 1166 NucCort - Cort -1.0235 0.168 NucCort - Nuc 0.207 -0.4167 0.770 1167 0.0351 1168 Cort - Nuc 0.612 1.209 1169 1170 Fcr1 \$`pairwise differences of treatment 1171 estimate lower.HPD upper.HPD 1172 1 -0.0424 -0.1467 0.070024 Cnt - NucCort 1173 -0.1340 1174 Cnt - Cort -0.2347 -0.030449 Cnt - Nuc -0.0867 -0.1926 0.017528 1175 NucCort - Cort NucCort - Nuc 0.000418 1176 -0.0911 -0.1846 1177 -0.0434 -0.1501 0.043345 Cort - Nuc 0.0478 1178 -0.0412 0.134494 1179

1180 Table S3

Primer design										Standard curve					
Gene	Primer	Accession	Primer sequence	Start	End	Length	Amplicon	Tm(°C)	GC(%)	Exons snanned	Efficiency	Error	Slope	Y-	r²
	FORube2d2	XM 015641919.1	GTGGTCCCCAGCACTAACTA	276	295	20	iciigtii	58.73	55	spannea			_	mercept	
ube2d2	REVube2d2	XM 015641919.1	CCGTGCAATCTCAGGCACTA	375	356	20	100	60.11	55	5 and 6	1.965	0.032	3.410	18.12	0.99803
40/02	FOR <i>telo2</i>	XM 015642370.1	GGTCACACGACAGAAGTGCT	892	911	20	81	60.25	55.00		1.944	0.0199	-	26.40	0.9752
te102	REV <i>telo2</i>	XM_015642370.1	CACTCTGAGCAACAACGTGC	972	953	20		60.04	55.00	6 to 8			3.463	26.49	
gpx4	FOR <i>gpx4</i>	XM 015651792.1	TTGCTGAGAACTACGGGGTG	386	405	20	131	59.68	55.00	4 and 5	1.981	0.0179	-	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	0 00050
	REV <i>gpx4</i>	XM 015651792.1	TTTTATTGCATTGCCCAGGGTG	516	495	22		60.03	45.45				3.369	23.92	0.96936
cod1	FOR <i>sod</i> 1	<u>XM_015651740.1</u>	ATCACTGGATTGGCCGATGG	147	166	20	73	60.18	55.00	2 and 3	1.993	0.0515	-	17.26	0.99695
3001	REV <i>sod</i> 1	<u>XM 015651740.1</u>	TGGTGCACCCATTGGTGTTG	219	200	20	/5	61.40	55.00				3.340	17.20	
cy6a1	FOR <i>cx6a1</i>	XM 015644396.2	GCATCAGGACCAAGCGTTTC	324	343	20	78	59.83	55.00	2 and 3	1.955	0.00332	-	18.31	0.99965
CAUGE	REV <i>cx6a1</i>	XM 015644396.2	TTGGGAGAGCGTTAACGTGG	401	382	20		60.32	55.00				3.453		
tert	FORtert	XM 015618607.2	CTTACAGGTTCCATGCCTGTGT	3977	3998	22	150	60.55	50 15 an	15 and 16	nd 16 2.079	0.0958 - 3.1	-	22 73	0 99450
	REV <i>tert</i>	<u>XM_015618607.2</u>	CCCATTAACACCCTATACCTGC	4126	4105	22		58.25	50	15 010 10			3.147	22.75	0.33430
cox41	FOR <i>cox41</i>	XM 015640424.2	ACAAAGGGACAAACGAGTGGA	389	409	21	1 108 D 108	59.79	47.62	4 and 5 1.989	1 989	0.0958 - 3.348	-	19 54	0 5118
	REV <i>cox41</i>	XM 015640424.2	GGATGGGGCCGTACATGAAG	496	477	20		60.54	60.00		1.585		3.348	10.04	0.5110

Figure 1 SEM. Corticosterone administration was effective in increasing circulating levels of the hormone (a) and the gene expression of glucocorticoid receptors (b) in the treated groups NucCort and Cort. For the variables depicted from panel (c) to panel (i) treatment groups did not differ from controls and for this reason were reported here and not in the main text. Pale circles represent individual values, while saturated larger circles represent mean values and 95% credible intervals calculated by the statistical models.

