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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with the energy decay rates for the viscoelastic wave equation with nonlinear damping and nonlinear time-varying delay in the boundary and acoustic boundary conditions. Here we consider with minimal condition on the relaxation function $g$, namely $g^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})[?]-\mu(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t}))$, where $G$ is an increasing and convex function near the origin and $\mu$ is a positive nonincreasing function. The decay rates of the energy depend on the functions $\mu, \Gamma$ and on the function $F$ defined by f 0 which represents the growth at the origin of
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the energy decay rates for the viscoelastic wave equation with nonlinear damping and nonlinear time-varying delay in the boundary and acoustic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) d s=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.2}\\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t)-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, s) d s+a_{1} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right)+a_{2} f_{2}\left(u_{t}(x, t-\tau(t))\right) \\
& \quad=w_{t}(x, t), \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.3}\\
& u_{t}(x, t)+h(x) w_{t}(x, t)+m(x) w(x, t)=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.4}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.5}\\
& u_{t}(x, t)=j_{0}(x, t), \quad \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(-\tau(0), 0), \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 1)$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}$ of class $C^{2}, \Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ are closed and disjoint, $\nu$ is the outward unit normal vector to $\Gamma . w(x, t)$ is the normal displacement into the domain of a point $x \in \Gamma_{1}$ at time $t$ and $h, m: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions that represent resistivity and spring constant per unit area, respectively, and are essential bounded, $g$ represents the kernel of the memory term, $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions, $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are real numbers with $a_{1}>0, a_{2} \neq 0, \tau(t)>0$ represents the time-varying delay and the initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, j_{0}\right)$ belong to a suitable space. Boundary conditions

[^0](1.3) and (1.4) are called acoustic boundary conditions. (1.4) does not contain the second derivative $w_{t t}$, which physically means that the material of the surface is much lighter than a liquid flowing along it.

When $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, the model (1.1)-(1.5) are pertinent to noise control and suppression in practical applications. The noise propagates through some acoustic medium, for example, though air, in a room that is characterized by a bounded domain $\Omega$ and whose walls, floor and ceiling are described by the boundary conditions [1, 2]. Park and Park [3] studied the general decay for problem (1.1)-(1.5) under the conditions that $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s<\frac{1}{2}$ and $g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\mu(t) g(t)$, for $t \geq 0$, where $\mu: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a nonincreasing differentiable function. Liu [4] improved the work of [3] to an arbitrary rate of decay with not necessarily of an exponential or polynomial one. Recently, Yoon et al. [5] generalized the work of [3, 4] to general decay rates without the assumption condition $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s<\frac{1}{2}$. The assumption on relaxation function $g$ has been weakened compared to the conditions assumed in previous literature $[3,4]$.

Many phenomena depend on both the current state and past occurrences. There has been a notable increase in the research on the wave equation with delay effects, which frequently arise in various practical problems [6-8]. Kirane and Said-Houari [9] showed the global existence and asymptotic stability for the following viscoelastic wave equation with constant delay

$$
u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) d s+a_{1} u_{t}(x, t)+a_{2} u_{t}(x, t-\tau)=0
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are positive constants. Dai and Yang [10] proved the exponential decay results for the energy of the concerned problem in the case $a_{1}=0$ which solves an open problem proposed by Kirane and Said-Houari [9]. The viscoelastic wave equation involving time-varying delay instead of constant delay is studied by Liu [11]. Afterwards, systems with time-varying delay have been extensively considered by many authors (see [12-17] and references therein). Moreover, Benaissa et al. [18] investigated the global existence and energy decay of solutions for the following wave equation with a time-varying delay in the weakly nonlinear feedbacks

$$
u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+a_{1} \sigma(t) f_{1}\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right)+a_{2} \sigma(t) f_{2}\left(u_{t}(x, t-\tau(t))\right)=0
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ and $\sigma, f_{1}, f_{2}$ satisfy some conditions. This result extended the previous works $[6,8]$. For the problem with nonlinear time-varying delay, we also refer [19, 20]. Motivated by these results, we study the general decay rates of solution for problem (1.1)-(1.6). We put a minimal and general assumption on relaxation function $g$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\mu(t) G(g(t)) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive nonincreasing function and $G$ is linear or it is strictly increasing and strictly convex function near the origin. Also, our results obtained without imposing any restrictive growth assumption on the damping term. The decay rates of the energy depend on the functions $\mu, G$ and on the function $F$ defined by $f_{0}$ which represents the growth at the origin of $f_{1}$. Recently, Al-Gharabli et al. [21] considered
the general and optimal decay result for the viscoelastic equation with nonlinear boundary feedback. When relaxation function $g$ satisfies the condition (1.7), the general decay of solution for the viscoelastic equation has been studied by several researchers(see $[22,23]$ and references therein).

## 2. Preliminary and statement of main results

Throughout this paper, we use the notation

$$
V=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega): u=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\} .
$$

For a Banach space $X,\|\cdot\|_{X}$ denotes the norm of $X$. For simplicity, we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)}$ by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\Gamma_{1}}$, respectively.

The Poincaré inequality hold in $V$, that is, there exist the smallest positive constants $\lambda$ and $\lambda_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2} \leq \lambda\|\nabla u\|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|u\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \leq \lambda_{*}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \text { for all } u \in V . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in $[5,19,21,22,23]$, we consider the following assumptions on $g, f_{1}, f_{2}, \tau, h$ and $m$. (H1) $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a differentiable function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s=l>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a $C^{1}$ function $G:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ which is linear or it is strictly increasing and strictly convex $C^{2}$ function on $\left(0, r_{0}\right], r_{0} \leq g(0)$, with $G(0)=G^{\prime}(0)=0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\mu(t) G(g(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive nonincreasing differentiable function. $G$ in (2.3) has been introduced for the first time in [24]. These are weaker conditions on $G$ than those introduced in [24].
(H2) $f_{1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing $C^{0}$ function such that there exists a strictly increasing function $f_{0} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, with $f_{0}(0)=0$, and positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}(|s|) \leq\left|f_{1}(s)\right| \leq f_{0}^{-1}(|s|) \text { for all }|s| \leq \varepsilon,  \tag{2.4}\\
& c_{1}|s| \leq\left|f_{1}(s)\right| \leq c_{2}|s| \quad \text { for all }|s| \geq \varepsilon \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we assume that the function $F$ defined by $F(s)=\sqrt{s} f_{0}(\sqrt{s})$, is a strictly convex $C^{2}$ function on ( $0, r_{1}$ ], for some $r_{1}>0$, when $f_{0}$ is nonlinear.
(H3) $f_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an odd nondecreasing $C^{1}$ function such that there exist positive constants $c_{3}, c_{4}$ and $c_{5}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right| \leq c_{3}, \quad c_{4} s f_{2}(s) \leq F_{2}(s) \leq c_{5} s f_{1}(s), \text { for } s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{2}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{2}(s) d s$.
(H4) For the time-varying delay, we assume that $\tau \in W^{2, \infty}([0, T])$ for $T>0$ and there exist positive constants $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\tau_{1} \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau_{2} \text { and } \tau^{\prime}(t) \leq \tau_{3}<1 \text { for all } t>0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $c_{4} \tau_{3}<1$, we assume that $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left|a_{2}\right|<\frac{c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right)}{c_{5}\left(1-c_{4} \tau_{3}\right)} a_{1} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H5) We assume that $h, m \in C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $h(x)>0$ and $m(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Gamma_{1}$. This assumption implies that there exist positive constants $h_{i}$ and $m_{i}(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} \leq h(x) \leq h_{2}, \quad m_{1} \leq m(x) \leq m_{2} \text { for all } x \in \Gamma_{1} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. ([23]) 1. By (H1), we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=0$. Then there exists $t_{0} \geq 0$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t_{0}\right)=r_{0} \Rightarrow g(t) \leq r_{0}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $g$ and $\mu$ are positive nonincreasing continuous functions and $G$ is a positive continuous function then

$$
c_{6} \leq \mu(t) G(g(t)) \leq c_{7}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right],
$$

for some positive constants $c_{6}$ and $c_{7}$. From (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) \leq-\mu(t) G(g(t)) \leq-\frac{c_{6}}{g(0)} g(0) \leq-c_{8} g(t), \quad \forall t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right], \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{8}=\frac{c_{6}}{g(0)}$ is a positive constant.
2. If $G$ is a strictly increasing and strictly convex $C^{2}$ function on $\left(0, r_{0}\right]$, with $G(0)=G^{\prime}(0)=0$, then it has an extension $\bar{G}$, which is strictly increasing and strictly convex $C^{2}$ function on $(0, \infty)$. The same remark can be established for $\bar{F}$.

We recall the well-known Jensen's inequality which will be used essentially to establish our main result. If $\phi$ is a convex function on $[a, b], p: \Omega \rightarrow[a, b]$ and $k$ are integrable functions on $\Omega, k(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} k(x) d x=k_{0}>0$, then Jensen's inequality states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left[\frac{1}{k_{0}} \int_{\Omega} p(x) k(x) d x\right] \leq \frac{1}{k_{0}} \int_{\Omega} \phi[p(x)] k(x) d x . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H^{*}$ be the conjugate of the convex function $H$ defined by $H^{*}(s)=\sup _{t \geq 0}(s t-H(t))$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t \leq H^{*}(s)+H(t), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, due to the argument given in [25], it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(s)=s\left(H^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(s)-H\left(\left(H^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(s)\right), \quad \forall s \geq 0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in $[6,8]$, we introduce the following new function

$$
z(x, \kappa, t)=u_{t}(x, t-\kappa \tau(t)), \text { for }(x, \kappa, t) \in \Gamma_{1} \times(0,1) \times(0, \infty) .
$$

Then, problem (1.1)-(1.6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(x, s) d s=0, \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.15}\\
& \tau(t) z_{t}(x, \kappa, t)+\left(1-\kappa \tau^{\prime}(t)\right) z_{\kappa}(x, \kappa, t)=0, \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(0,1) \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.16}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \text { in } \Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.17}\\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t)-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, s) d s+a_{1} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right)+a_{2} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t))=w_{t}(x, t), \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.18}\\
& u_{t}(x, t)+h(x) w_{t}(x, t)+m(x) w(x, t)=0, \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.19}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.20}\\
& z(x, \kappa, 0)=j_{0}(x,-\kappa \tau(0)), \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(0,1) . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

We state the global existence result, which can be established by the arguments of $[18,26]$.
Theorem 2.1. Let initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times V$ and $j_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times(0,1)\right)$. Suppose that (H1)-(H5) hold. Then, for any $T>0$, there exists a unique pair of functions $(u, w, z)$ which is a solution to problem (2.15)-(2.21) in the class

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V), \quad u_{t t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
& z \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times(0,1)\right)\right), \quad w, w_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we introduce the energy

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& +\frac{\zeta \tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(g \circ \nabla u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)\|^{2} d s$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2\left|a_{2}\right|\left(1-c_{4}\right)}{c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right)}<\zeta<\frac{2\left(a_{1}-\left|a_{2}\right| c_{5}\right)}{c_{5}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show the main results of this paper, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let (H3) and (H4) hold. Then, there exist positive constants $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h(x) w_{t}^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& -\gamma_{0} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma-\gamma_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying in (2.15) by $u_{t}(t)$, integrating over $\Omega$ and using Green's formula, (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+(g \circ \nabla u)(t)+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma\right]+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h(x) w_{t}^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma-a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) d s d x \\
& =\frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)+\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.22) and (2.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h(x) w_{t}^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& -a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma-a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \\
+ & \frac{\zeta \tau^{\prime}(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma+\frac{\zeta \tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) z_{t}(x, \kappa, t) d \kappa d \Gamma \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{2}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{2}(s) d s$. We multiply in (2.16) by $f_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t))$ and integrate over $\Gamma_{1} \times(0,1)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\zeta \tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) z_{t}(x, \kappa, t) d \kappa d \Gamma \\
& =-\frac{\zeta}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[\left(1-\tau^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{2}(z(x, 1, t))-F_{2}(z(x, 0, t))+\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{\prime}(t) F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa\right] d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying this to (2.26) and noting that $z(x, 0, t)=u_{t}(x, t)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h(x) w_{t}^{2}(t) d \Gamma-a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \\
& -a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma-\frac{\zeta}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[\left(1-\tau^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{2}(z(x, 1, t))-F_{2}\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right)\right] d \Gamma . \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\zeta}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left[\left(1-\tau^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{2}(z(x, 1, t))-F_{2}\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right)\right] d \Gamma \\
& \leq-\frac{\zeta c_{4}}{2}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma+\frac{\zeta c_{5}}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.28) into (2.27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \circ \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h(x) w_{t}^{2}(t) d \Gamma-\left(a_{1}-\frac{\zeta c_{5}}{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \\
& -a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma-\frac{\zeta c_{4}}{2}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

The definition of $F_{2}$ and (2.14) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{*}(s)=s f_{2}^{-1}(s)-F_{2}\left(f_{2}^{-1}(s)\right), \text { for } s \geq 0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using (2.6), (2.13) and (2.30) with $s=f_{2}(z(x, 1, t))$ and $t=u_{t}(t)$, we get(see details in [20])

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \\
& \quad \leq\left|a_{2}\right| \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t)-F_{2}(z(x, 1, t))+F_{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right)\right) d \Gamma \\
& \quad \leq\left|a_{2}\right|\left(\left(1-c_{4}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma+c_{5} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (2.29) and (2.31) and selecting $\zeta$ satisfying (2.23), we obtain the desired inequality (2.24) where $\gamma_{0}=a_{1}-\frac{\zeta c_{5}}{2}-\left|a_{2}\right| c_{5}>0$ and $\gamma_{1}=\frac{\zeta c_{4}}{2}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right)-\left|a_{2}\right|\left(1-c_{4}\right)>0$.

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (H1)-(H5) hold and $f_{0}$ is linear. Then there exist positive constants $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ such that the energy functional satisfies, for all $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
E(t) \leq k_{2} e^{-k_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s}, \quad \text { if } G \text { is linear }  \tag{2.32}\\
E(t) \leq k_{4} G_{1}^{-1}\left(k_{3} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s\right), \text { if } G \text { is nonlinear, } \tag{2.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G_{1}(t)=\int_{t}^{r_{0}} \frac{1}{s G^{\prime}(s)} d s$ is strictly decreasing and convex on $\left(0, r_{0}\right]$.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that (H1)-(H5) hold and $f_{0}$ is nonlinear. Then there exist positive constants $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ such that the energy functional satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq \alpha_{2} F_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}, \text { if } G \text { is linear } \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(t)=\int_{t}^{r_{1}} \frac{1}{s F^{\prime}(s)} d s$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \leq \alpha_{4}\left(t-t_{0}\right) K_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \mu(s) d s}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}, \text { if } G \text { is nonlinear } \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}(t)=t K^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2} t\right), 0<\varepsilon_{2}<r_{2}=\min \left\{r_{0}, r_{1}\right\}$ and $K=\left(\bar{G}^{-1}+\bar{F}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$.

## 3. Technical Lemmas

In this section, we prove the following lemmas to obtain the general decay rates of the solution for problem (2.15)-(2.21).

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumption (H1), the functional $\Phi_{1}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{1}(t)=\int_{\Omega} u(t) u_{t}(t) d x+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} u(t) w(t) d \Gamma+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} h(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t) & \leq\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}-\frac{l}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{2 C(\xi)}{l}(i \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{8 \lambda_{*}}{l}\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
& +\frac{a_{1} a_{3}}{l} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| a_{3}}{l} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $0<\xi<1$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{2}(s)}{i(s)} d s \quad \text { and } \quad i(t)=\xi g(t)-g^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using equation (2.15), (2.17)-(2.19) and utilizing (2.2) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t), \nabla u(t)) d s \\
& \quad-a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u(t) d \Gamma-a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u(t) d \Gamma+2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u(t) w_{t}(t) d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}-\frac{7 l}{8}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{2}{l} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x \\
& -a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u(t) d \Gamma-a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u(t) d \Gamma+2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u(t) w_{t}(t) d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.2), we have(see [23, 27])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{g^{2}(s)}{i(s)} d s\right)(i \circ \nabla u)(t) \leq C(\xi)(i \circ \nabla u)(t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Young's inequality and (2.1), we obtain for $\eta>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u(t) d \Gamma\right| \leq \eta a_{1} \lambda_{*}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{a_{1}}{4 \eta} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma,  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left|-a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) u(t) d \Gamma\right| \leq \eta\left|a_{2}\right| \lambda_{*}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{\left|a_{2}\right|}{4 \eta} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{\Gamma_{1}} u(t) w_{t}(t) d \Gamma \leq \frac{l}{8}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{8 \lambda_{*}}{l}\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining estimates (3.3)-(3.6), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq & \left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}-\left(\frac{3 l}{4}-\eta a_{1} \lambda_{*}-\eta\left|a_{2}\right| \lambda_{*}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{2 C(\xi)}{l}(i \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{8 \lambda_{*}}{l}\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
& +\frac{a_{1}}{4 \eta} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+\frac{\left|a_{2}\right|}{4 \eta} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $a_{3}=\left(a_{1}+\left|a_{2}\right|\right) \lambda_{*}$ and choosing $\eta=\frac{l}{4 a_{3}}$ leads to (3.1).
Lemma 3.2. Under the assumption (H1), the functional $\Phi_{2}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{2}(t)=-\int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d x
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s-\delta\right)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\delta\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{C_{1}(1+C(\xi))}{\delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t)+\delta \lambda_{*}\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2} \\
& +\delta a_{1} \lambda_{*} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+\delta\left|a_{2}\right| \lambda_{*} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $0<\delta<1$.
Proof. Using equation (2.15), (2.17) and (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)) d s d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)) d s\right)^{2} d x-\int_{\Gamma_{1}} w_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d \Gamma \\
& +a_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d \Gamma+a_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d \Gamma \\
& -\int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d x-\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}+I_{5}+I_{6}-\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Young's inequality, (2.1) and (3.3), we obtain for $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \leq \delta\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{C(\xi)}{4 \delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& I_{2} \leq C(\xi)(i \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& \left|I_{3}\right| \leq \delta \lambda_{*}\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}+\frac{C(\xi)}{4 \delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& \left|I_{4}\right| \leq \delta a_{1} \lambda_{*} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+\frac{a_{1} C(\xi)}{4 \delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
& \left|I_{5}\right| \leq \delta\left|a_{2}\right| \lambda_{*} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma+\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| C(\xi)}{4 \delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young's inequality, (2.1), (2.2), (3.2) and (3.3), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{6}=\int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} i(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d x-\int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \xi g(t-s)(u(t)-u(s)) d s d x \\
\leq & \delta\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} i(t-s)|u(s)-u(t)| d s\right)^{2} d x+\frac{\xi^{2}}{2 \delta} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)|u(t)-u(s)| d s\right)^{2} d x \\
\leq & \delta\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\lambda(g(0)+\xi)}{2 \delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t)+\frac{\lambda \xi^{2} C(\xi)}{2 \delta}(i \circ \nabla u)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all above estimates and taking $C_{1}=\max \left\{\frac{\lambda(g(0)+\xi)}{2}, \delta+\frac{1+\lambda \xi^{2}}{2}+\frac{a_{1}+\left|a_{2}\right|}{4}\right\}$, the desired inequality (3.7) is established.

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions (H3) and (H4), the functional $\Phi_{3}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{3}(t)=\tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\kappa \tau(t)} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{3}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-e^{-\tau_{2}} \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma-c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) e^{-\tau_{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma \\
& +c_{5} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Using the equation (2.16), integration by parts, (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain(see [19])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{3}^{\prime}(t)= & \tau^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\kappa \tau(t)} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma-\tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} \kappa \tau^{\prime}(t) e^{-\kappa \tau(t)} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma \\
& -\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\kappa \tau(t)}\left(1-\kappa \tau^{\prime}(t)\right) \frac{d}{d \kappa} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma \\
= & -\Phi_{3}(t)-e^{-\tau(t)} \int_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(1-\tau^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma+\int_{\Gamma_{1}} F_{2}\left(u_{t}(x, t)\right) d \Gamma \\
\leq & -e^{-\tau_{2}} \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma-c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) e^{-\tau_{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma \\
& +c_{5} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. ([23]) Under the assumption (H1), the functional $\Phi_{4}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{4}(t)=\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} G_{2}(t-s)|\nabla u(s)|^{2} d s d x
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{4}^{\prime}(t) \leq 3(1-l)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{2}(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} g(s) d s$.
Next, let us define the perturbed modified energy by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)=N E(t)+N_{1} \Phi_{1}(t)+N_{2} \Phi_{2}(t)+\Phi_{3}(t)+b_{1} E(t), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N, N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $b_{1}$ are some positive constants.
As in $[3,19]$, for $N>0$ large enough, there exist positive constants $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ such that

$$
\beta_{1} E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \beta_{2} E(t) .
$$

Lemma 3.5. Assume that (H1), (H3)-(H5) hold. Then, there exist positive constants $\beta_{3}, \beta_{4}$ and $\beta_{5}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t)+\beta_{4} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s+\beta_{5} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}$ was introduced in (2.10).
Proof. Let $g_{0}=\int_{0}^{t_{0}} g(s) d s$. Using the fact that $i(t)=\xi g(t)-g^{\prime}(t)$ and combining (2.24), (3.1), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10), we get, for all $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\xi N}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\left(\frac{l N_{1}}{2}-\delta N_{2}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\left(g_{0} N_{2}-\delta N_{2}-N_{1}\right)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-\left(\frac{N}{2}-\frac{2 C(\xi) N_{1}}{l}-\frac{C_{1}(1+C(\xi)) N_{2}}{\delta}\right)(i \circ \nabla u)(t)-N_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma+b_{1} E^{\prime}(t) \\
& \quad-\left(h_{1} N-\frac{8 \lambda_{*} N_{1}}{l}-\delta \lambda_{*} N_{2}\right)\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}-e^{-\tau_{2}} \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma \\
& \quad-\left(\gamma_{0} N-c_{5}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma-\left(\gamma_{1} N+c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) e^{-\tau_{2}}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{a_{1} a_{3} N_{1}}{l}+\delta a_{1} \lambda_{*} N_{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+\left(\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| a_{3} N_{1}}{l}+\delta\left|a_{2}\right| \lambda_{*} N_{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.6), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}^{2}(z(x, 1, t)) d \Gamma \leq c_{3} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.13) to (3.12) and taking $\delta=\frac{l}{4 N_{2}}$, we get, for all $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\xi N}{2}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-\left(\frac{l N_{1}}{2}-\frac{l}{4}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\left(g_{0} N_{2}-N_{1}-\frac{l}{4}\right)\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-\left(\frac{N}{2}-\frac{4 C_{1} N_{2}^{2}}{l}-C(\xi)\left[\frac{2 N_{1}}{l}+\frac{4 C_{1} N_{2}^{2}}{l}\right]\right)(i \circ \nabla u)(t)-N_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& \quad-\left(h_{1} N-\frac{8 \lambda_{*} N_{1}}{l}-\frac{l \lambda_{*}}{4}\right)\left\|w_{t}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}-e^{-\tau_{2}} \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(\gamma_{0} N-c_{5}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma+\left(\frac{a_{1} a_{3} N_{1}}{l}+\frac{a_{1} l \lambda_{*}}{4}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+b_{1} E^{\prime}(t) \\
& -\left(\gamma_{1} N+c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) e^{-\tau_{2}}-\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| a_{3} c_{3} N_{1}}{l}-\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| c_{3} l \lambda_{*}}{4}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{2}(z(x, 1, t)) z(x, 1, t) d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $N_{1}$ large enough so that

$$
\frac{l N_{1}}{2}-\frac{l}{4}>4(1-l)
$$

then $N_{2}$ large enough so that

$$
g_{0} N_{2}-N_{1}-\frac{l}{4}>1
$$

Using the fact that $\frac{\xi g^{2}(s)}{i(s)}<g(s)$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that

$$
\xi C(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi g^{2}(s)}{i(s)} d s \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \xi \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence, there is $0<\xi_{0}<1$ such that if $\xi<\xi_{0}$, then

$$
\xi C(\xi)\left[\frac{2 N_{1}}{l}+\frac{4 C_{1} N_{2}^{2}}{l}\right]<\frac{1}{8}
$$

Finally, selecting $\xi=\frac{1}{2 N}$ and choosing $N$ large enough so that

$$
N>\max \left\{\frac{16 C_{1} N_{2}^{2}}{l}, \frac{1}{h_{1}}\left(\frac{8 \lambda_{*} N_{1}}{l}+\frac{l \lambda_{*}}{4}\right), \quad \frac{c_{5}}{\gamma_{0}}, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_{1}}\left(\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| a_{3} c_{3} N_{1}}{l}+\frac{\left|a_{2}\right| c_{3} l \lambda_{*}}{4}-c_{4}\left(1-\tau_{3}\right) e^{-\tau_{2}}\right)\right\}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}-4(1-l)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-N_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& -e^{-\tau_{2}} \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma+\beta_{5} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+b_{1} E^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{5}=\frac{a_{1} a_{3} N_{1}}{l}+\frac{a_{1} l \lambda_{*}}{4}$. Using (2.11) and (2.24), we find that, for any $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t_{0}} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \leq-\frac{1}{c_{8}} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g^{\prime}(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \leq-\frac{2}{c_{8}} E^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.22), (3.14) and (3.15) and taking a suitable choice of $b_{1}$, we obtain the estimate (3.11).

Lemma 3.6. ([21]) Assume that (H2) holds and $\max \left\{r_{1}, f_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)\right\}<\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ was introduced in (2.4). Then there exist positive constants $C_{2}, C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma \leq \begin{cases}C_{2} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma, & \text { if } f_{0} \text { is linear }  \tag{3.16}\\ C_{3} F^{-1}(\chi(t))-C_{3} E^{\prime}(t), & \text { if } f_{0} \text { is nonlinear }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t)=\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_{11}\right|} \int_{\Gamma_{11}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \leq-C_{4} E^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Gamma_{11}=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{1}:\left|u_{t}(t)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{1}\right\}$ and $0<\varepsilon_{1}=\min \left\{r_{1}, f_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)\right\}$.
Next, we define $\rho(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t):=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g^{\prime}(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \leq-2 E^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.7. Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold and $G$ is nonlinear. Then, the solution of (2.15)-(2.21) satisfies the estimates

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\mu(t)}\right), \forall t \geq t_{0}, \text { if } f_{0} \text { is linear, }  \tag{3.19}\\
\frac{t-t_{0}}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}\right), \forall t>t_{0}, \text { if } f_{0} \text { is nonlinear }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\theta \in(0,1)$ and $\bar{G}$ is an extension of $G$.

Proof. First, we prove the estimate (3.19) when $f_{0}$ is linear. We introduce the functional

$$
\mathcal{L}(t)=L(t)+\Phi_{4}(t)
$$

which is nonnegative. From (3.9) and (3.14), we see that, for all $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}-(1-l)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(g \circ \nabla u)(t)-N_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} m(x) w^{2}(t) d \Gamma \\
& -e^{-\tau_{2}} \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(z(x, \kappa, t)) d \kappa d \Gamma+\beta_{5} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma+b_{1} E^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (2.22), (2.24) and (3.16) and selecting a suitable choice of $b_{1}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(t) \leq-d_{1} E(t)
$$

where $d_{1}$ is some positive constant. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} E(s) d s<\infty \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\theta<1$, we define $I(t)$ by

$$
I(t):=\theta \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s
$$

By (3.20), $\theta$ is taken so small that, for all $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)<1 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G$ is strictly convex on $\left(0, r_{0}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(q y) \leq q G(y) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq q \leq 1$ and $y \in\left(0, r_{0}\right]$. Using the fact that $\mu$ is a positive nonincreasing function and applying $(2.3),(3.21),(3.22)$ and Jensen's inequality (2.12), we find that(see details in [21, 23])

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(t) & \geq \frac{\mu(t)}{\theta I(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} I(t) G(g(s)) \int_{\Omega} \theta|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& \geq \frac{\mu(t)}{\theta I(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} G(I(t) g(s)) \int_{\Omega} \theta|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& \geq \frac{\mu(t)}{\theta} \bar{G}\left(\theta \int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\bar{G}$ is strictly increasing, we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{1}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\mu(t)}\right)
$$

Now, we show the estimate (3.19) when $f_{0}$ is nonlinear. Since we cannot guarantee (3.20), we define the following function

$$
\delta(t):=\frac{\theta}{t-t_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s, \forall t>t_{0}
$$

Using the fact that $E^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and (2.22), we have

$$
\delta(t) \leq \frac{2 \theta}{t-t_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t-s)\|^{2}\right) d s \leq \frac{8 \theta E(0)}{l}
$$

Choosing $\theta$ small enough so that, for all $t>t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(t) \leq 1 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (3.23), using (2.3), (3.22), (3.24) and Jensen's inequality (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(t) & =\frac{t-t_{0}}{\theta \delta(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \delta(t)\left(-g^{\prime}(s)\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta}{t-t_{0}}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& \geq \frac{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}{\theta \delta(t)} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} G(\delta(t) g(s)) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta}{t-t_{0}}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \\
& \geq \frac{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}{\theta} \bar{G}\left(\frac{\theta}{t-t_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s \leq \frac{t-t_{0}}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}\right) .
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.2 .

In this section, we prove the main result of our work. Now, we consider the following two cases.
Case 1: $G(t)$ is linear. Multiplying (3.11) by the positive nonincreasing function $\mu(t)$ and using (2.3), (2.24) and (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu(t) L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t)+\beta_{4} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) g(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s+\beta_{5} \mu(t) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}^{2}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) d \Gamma \\
& \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t)-\beta_{4} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} g^{\prime}(s) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(t-s)|^{2} d x d s+\beta_{5} C_{2} \mu(0) \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f_{1}\left(u_{t}(t)\right) u_{t}(t) d \Gamma \\
& \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t)-C_{5} E^{\prime}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{5}=2 \beta_{4}+\frac{\beta_{5} C_{2} \mu(0)}{\gamma_{0}}$ is a positive constant. From $\mu(t)$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$
\left(\mu L+C_{5} E\right)^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$

Since $\mu(t) L(t)+C_{5} E(t) \sim E(t)$, for some positive constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, we obtain

$$
E(t) \leq k_{2} e^{-k_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s}
$$

Case 2: $G(t)$ is nonlinear. This case is obtained through the ideas presented in [23] as follows. Using (2.24), (3.11), (3.16) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t)+\frac{\beta_{4}}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\mu(t)}\right)-\frac{\beta_{5} C_{2}}{\gamma_{0}} E^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L_{1}(t)=L(t)+\frac{\beta_{5} C_{2}}{\gamma_{0}} E(t) \sim E(t)$, then (4.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t)+\frac{\beta_{4}}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\mu(t)}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\varepsilon_{0}<r_{0}$, using (4.2) and the fact that $E^{\prime} \leq 0, \bar{G}^{\prime}>0$ and $\bar{G}^{\prime \prime}>0$, we find that the functional $L_{2}$, defined by

$$
L_{2}(t):=\bar{G}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) L_{1}(t) \sim E(t)
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t) \bar{G}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\frac{\beta_{4}}{\theta} \bar{G}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\mu(t)}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $s=\bar{G}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)$ and $t=\bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\mu(t)}\right)$, using (2.13), (2.14) and (4.3), we get

$$
L_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t) G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \beta_{4}}{\theta} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\frac{\beta_{4} \rho(t)}{\mu(t)},
$$

where, we have used that $\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}<r_{0}$ and $\bar{G}^{\prime}=G^{\prime}$ on ( $0, r_{0}$ ]. Multiplying this by $\mu(t)$ and using (3.18), we obtain

$$
\mu(t) L_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\left(\beta_{3} E(0)-\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \beta_{4}}{\theta}\right) \frac{\mu(t) E(t)}{E(0)} G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)-2 \beta_{4} E^{\prime}(t)
$$

By defining $L_{3}(t)=\mu(t) L_{2}(t)+2 \beta_{4} E(t)$, we see that, for some positive constants $\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2} L_{3}(t) \leq E(t) \leq \gamma_{3} L_{3}(t) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a suitable choice of $\varepsilon_{0}$, we get, for some positive constant $d_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-d_{2} \mu(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)=-d_{2} \mu(t) G_{2}\left(\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{2}(t)=t G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} t\right)$. Using the strict convexity of $G$ on $\left(0, r_{0}\right]$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}(t)=G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} t\right)+\varepsilon_{0} t G^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} t\right)$, we see that $G_{2}(t), G_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $(0,1]$. Finally, defining

$$
Q(t)=\frac{\gamma_{2} L_{3}(t)}{E(0)}
$$

and using (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t) \leq \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } Q(t) \sim E(t) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.5), (4.6) and the fact that $G_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $(0,1]$, we arrive at

$$
Q^{\prime}(t) \leq-k_{3} \mu(t) G_{2}(Q(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $k_{3}=\frac{d_{2} \gamma_{2}}{E(0)}$ is a positive constant. Integrating this over $\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ and using variable transformation, we find that(see details in [23])

$$
\int_{t}^{t_{0}} \frac{\varepsilon_{0} Q^{\prime}(s)}{\varepsilon_{0} Q(s) G^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{0} Q(s)\right)} d s \geq k_{3} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s \Longrightarrow \int_{\varepsilon_{0} Q(t)}^{\varepsilon_{0} Q\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{s G^{\prime}(s)} d s \geq k_{3} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{0}<r_{0}$ and $Q(t) \leq 1$, for all $t \geq t_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{0} Q(t)\right)=\int_{\varepsilon_{0} Q(t)}^{r_{0}} \frac{1}{s G^{\prime}(s)} d s \geq k_{3} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s \Longrightarrow Q(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} G_{1}^{-1}\left(k_{3} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{1}(t)=\int_{t}^{r_{0}} \frac{1}{s G^{\prime}(s)} d s$. Here, we have used the fact that $G_{1}$ is strictly decreasing function on $\left(0, r_{0}\right]$. Therefore, using (4.6) and (4.7), the estimate (2.33) is established.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Case 1: $G(t)$ is linear. Multiplying (3.11) by the positive nonincreasing function $\mu(t)$ and using (2.3), (2.24) and (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t) L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t)+\beta_{5} C_{3} \mu(t) F^{-1}(\chi(t))-C_{6} E^{\prime}(t), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{6}=2 \beta_{4}+\beta_{5} C_{3} \mu(0)$ is a positive constant. Since $\mu(t)$ is nonincreasing, (5.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t)+\beta_{5} C_{3} \mu(t) F^{-1}(\chi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{3}(t)=\mu(t) L(t)+C_{6} E(t) \sim E(t)$. For $0<\varepsilon_{1}<r_{1}$, using (5.2) and the fact that $E^{\prime} \leq 0, F^{\prime}>0$ and $F^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $\left(0, r_{1}\right.$ ], the functional $F_{4}$ defined by

$$
F_{4}(t):=F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) F_{3}(t) \sim E(t)
$$

satisfies

$$
F_{4}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t) F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\beta_{5} C_{3} \mu(t) F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) F^{-1}(\chi(t))
$$

As (2.13) and (2.14) with $s=F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)$ and $t=F^{-1}(\chi(t))$, using (3.17), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{4}^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\beta_{3} \mu(t) E(t) F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} \beta_{5} C_{3} \frac{\mu(t) E(t)}{E(0)} F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\beta_{5} C_{3} \mu(0) \chi(t) \\
& \leq-\left(\beta_{3} E(0)-\varepsilon_{1} \beta_{5} C_{3}\right) \frac{\mu(t) E(t)}{E(0)} F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)-\beta_{5} C_{3} C_{4} \mu(0) E^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $F_{5}(t)=F_{4}(t)+\beta_{5} C_{3} C_{4} \mu(0) E(t)$, then which satisfies, for positive constants $\gamma_{4}$ and $\gamma_{5}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{4} F_{5}(t) \leq E(t) \leq \gamma_{5} F_{5}(t) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, with a suitable choice of $\varepsilon_{1}$, we have, for some positive constant $d_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{5}^{\prime}(t) \leq-d_{3} \mu(t) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)=-d_{3} \mu(t) F_{0}\left(\frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{0}(t)=t F^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} t\right)$. From the strict convexity of $F$ on $\left(0, r_{1}\right]$, we obtain $F_{0}(t), F_{0}^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $(0,1]$. Let

$$
J(t)=\frac{\gamma_{4} F_{5}(t)}{E(0)},
$$

and from (5.3) and (5.4), we get

$$
J(t) \leq \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } J^{\prime}(t) \leq-\alpha_{1} \mu(t) F_{0}(J(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=\frac{d_{3} \gamma_{4}}{E(0)}$ is a positive constant. Then, similar to (4.7), the integration over $\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ and variable transformation yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}} F_{1}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mu(s) d s\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(t)=\int_{t}^{r_{1}} \frac{1}{s F^{\prime}(s)} d s$, which is strictly decreasing function on ( $\left.0, r_{1}\right]$. Combining (5.3) and (5.5), the estimate (2.34) is proved.
Case 2: $G(t)$ is nonlinear. This case is obtained by the ideas presented in [21] as follows. Using (3.11), (3.16) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t)+\frac{\beta_{4}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}\right)+\beta_{5} C_{3} F^{-1}(\chi(t))-\beta_{5} C_{3} E^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t>t_{0} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t-t_{0}}=0$, there exists $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t-t_{0}}<1, \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the strictly increasing and strictly convex function of $\bar{F}$ and (3.22) with $q=\frac{1}{t-t_{0}}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}^{-1}(\chi(t)) \leq\left(t-t_{0}\right) \bar{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\chi(t)}{t-t_{0}}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.6) and (5.8), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t)+\frac{\beta_{4}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}{\theta} \bar{G}^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}\right)+\beta_{5} C_{3}\left(t-t_{0}\right) \bar{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\chi(t)}{t-t_{0}}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{1}(t)=L(t)+\beta_{5} C_{3} E(t) \sim E(t)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\min \left\{r_{0}, r_{1}\right\}, \quad \varphi(t)=\max \left\{\frac{\theta \rho(t)}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t)}, \frac{\chi(t)}{t-t_{0}}\right\} \quad \text { and } K=\left(\bar{G}^{-1}+\bar{F}^{-1}\right)^{-1}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, (5.9) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t)+C_{7}\left(t-t_{0}\right) K^{-1}(\varphi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{7}=\max \left\{\frac{\beta_{4}}{\theta}, \beta_{5} C_{3}\right\}$. The strictly increasing and strictly convex properties of $\bar{G}$ and $\bar{F}$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{G}^{\prime} \bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{G}^{\prime}+\bar{F}^{\prime}}>0 \text { and } K^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\bar{G}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{F}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \bar{F}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\bar{G}^{\prime}+\bar{F}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}>0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on ( $0, r_{2}$ ]. Now, for $0<\varepsilon_{2}<r_{2}$, using (5.7), we see that $\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}<r_{2}$. Defining

$$
R_{2}(t)=K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) R_{1}(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}
$$

and using (5.11) and (5.12), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\left(-\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}+\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E^{\prime}(t)}{E(0)}\right) K^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) R_{1}(t)+K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) R_{1}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \leq-\beta_{3} E(t) K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+C_{7}\left(t-t_{0}\right) K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) K^{-1}(\varphi(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.13) and (2.14) with $s=K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)$ and $t=K^{-1}(\varphi(t))$ and applying (5.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\beta_{3} E(t) K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} C_{7} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)+C_{7}\left(t-t_{0}\right) \varphi(t) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.17), (3.18) and (5.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t-t_{0}\right) \mu(t) \varphi(t) \leq-C_{8} E^{\prime}(t) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{8}=\min \left\{2 \theta, C_{4} \mu(0)\right\}$. Multiplying (5.14) by the positive nonincreasing function $\mu(t)$ and using (5.15), we have

$$
R_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\left(\beta_{3} E(0)-\varepsilon_{2} C_{7}\right) \frac{\mu(t) E(t)}{E(0)} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}
$$

where $R_{3}(t)=\mu(t) R_{2}(t)+C_{7} C_{8} E(t) \sim E(t)$. For a suitable choice of $\varepsilon_{2}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3}^{\prime}(t) \leq-d_{4} \frac{\mu(t) E(t)}{E(0)} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}, \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{4}$ is a positive constant. An integration of (5.16) yields

$$
\frac{d_{4}}{E(0)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} E(s) K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{s-t_{0}} \frac{E(s)}{E(0)}\right) \mu(s) d s \leq \int_{t}^{t_{1}} R_{3}^{\prime}(s) d s \leq R_{3}\left(t_{1}\right) .
$$

Using (5.12) and the non-increasing property of $E$, we see that the map $t \rightarrow E(t) K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right)$ is nonincreasing and consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{4} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \mu(s) d s \leq R_{3}\left(t_{1}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (5.17) by $\frac{1}{t-t_{0}}$, we get

$$
d_{4} K_{1}\left(\frac{1}{t-t_{0}} \frac{E(t)}{E(0)}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \mu(s) d s \leq \frac{R_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)}{t-t_{0}}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}
$$

where $K_{1}(s)=s K^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2} s\right)$ which is strictly increasing. Therefore, we deduce that

$$
E(t) \leq \alpha_{4}\left(t-t_{0}\right) K_{1}^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\left(t-t_{0}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \mu(s) d s}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{1}
$$

where $\alpha_{3}$ and $\alpha_{4}$ are positive constants. This completes the proof.
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