

Measuring coagulopathy in pediatric craniofacial surgery

Alexandra Borst¹, Christopher Bonfield¹, Poornachanda Deenadayalan², Chi Le³, Meng Xu³, Jenna Sobey¹, and Srijaya Reddy¹

¹Monroe Carell Junior Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt

²Vanderbilt University

³Vanderbilt University School of Medicine

April 05, 2024

Abstract

Complex cranial vault reconstruction (CCVR) for repair of craniosynostosis is a procedure associated with high risk of bleeding and resultant coagulopathy. The goal of this study was to describe lab parameters in a cohort of pediatric patients undergoing CCVR and identify if standard hematologic and coagulation laboratory results could predict blood loss. We found that standard hematologic and coagulation laboratory parameters predicted intraoperative and postoperative blood loss, but provided limited mechanistic information to improve our understanding of coagulopathy in craniofacial surgery. Future laboratory-based studies would be useful in providing a comprehensive model of coagulopathy in this population.

Measuring coagulopathy in pediatric craniofacial surgery

Authors:

Alexandra J. Borst, MD

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital / Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Christopher M. Bonfield, MD

Department of Neurological Surgery, Division of Pediatric Neurological Surgery Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital / Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Poornachanda S. Deenadayalan

Vanderbilt University Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Chi H. Le, BS

Vanderbilt School of Medicine
Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Meng Xu, MS

Department of Biostatistics Vanderbilt School of Medicine Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Jenna H. Sobey, MD

Department of Anesthesiology, Division of Pediatric Anesthesiology
Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital / Vanderbilt University Medical Center
Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Srijaya K. Reddy, MD, MBA

Department of Anesthesiology, Division of Pediatric Anesthesiology
Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital / Vanderbilt University Medical Center
Nashville, Tennessee, USA

Corresponding Author:

Alexandra J. Borst, MD
Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology
Monroe Carell Jr. Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt
2200 Children's Way, 6th Floor DOT
Nashville, TN 37232
(615) 936-1762 (phone)
(615) 936-1767 (fax)
alexandra.borst@vumc.org

Abstract Word Count: 97

Manuscript Word Count: 1168

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Supplemental Files: 2

Running Title: Coagulopathy pediatric craniofacial

Keywords: blood loss; coagulation; craniosynostoses; laboratory; pediatric

Meeting Abstract Publication: This research was presented in poster abstract form as the following:

Borst AJ, Bonfield CM, Deenadayalan PS, Le CH, Sobey JH, Reddy SK. ϵ -aminocaproic acid (EACA) vs. tranexamic acid (TXA) in children undergoing complex cranial vault reconstruction for repair of craniosynostosis. Presented at the Society for Pediatric Anesthesia Annual Meeting on February 27, 2021 (virtual meeting).

Abbreviations

<i>BL</i>	<i>Blood loss</i>
<i>CBL</i>	<i>Calculated blood loss</i>
<i>CCVR</i>	<i>Complex cranial vault reconstruction</i>
<i>EACA</i>	ϵ -aminocaproic acid
<i>EBV</i>	Estimated blood volume
<i>ERCV</i>	Estimated red cell volume
<i>HCT</i>	Hematocrit
<i>hr</i>	Hour
<i>kg</i>	Kilograms
<i>PT</i>	<i>Prothrombin time</i>
<i>PTT</i>	<i>Partial thromboplastin time</i>
<i>RBC</i>	<i>Red blood cell</i>
<i>TIC</i>	<i>Trauma-induced coagulopathy</i>
<i>TXA</i>	<i>Tranexamic acid</i>

ABSTRACT

Complex cranial vault reconstruction (CCVR) for repair of craniosynostosis is a procedure associated with high risk of bleeding and resultant coagulopathy. The goal of this study was to describe lab parameters in a cohort of pediatric patients undergoing CCVR and identify if standard hematologic and coagulation laboratory results could predict blood loss. We found that standard hematologic and coagulation laboratory parameters predicted intraoperative and postoperative blood loss, but provided limited mechanistic information to improve our understanding of coagulopathy in craniofacial surgery. Future laboratory-based studies would be useful in providing a comprehensive model of coagulopathy in this population.

BACKGROUND

Complex cranial vault reconstruction (CCVR) is a surgery primarily performed in infants and young children for repair of craniosynostosis.¹ CCVR is an intricate and high-risk procedure involving extensive scalp dissection and multiple osteotomies, resulting in hemorrhage and need for transfusion.^{2–4} Although coagulopathy related to CCVR has been attributed to dilutional anemia, there is emerging evidence for alternative mechanisms for disrupted hemostasis in highly invasive surgical procedures.^{5–9} This type of “trauma-induced coagulopathy” (TIC) has been distinguished from simple hemodilution and hypothermia.^{6,10–13} Additional contributing factors for coagulopathy in acute injury states include activation of protein C, endothelial injury, platelet dysfunction, complement activation, and altered fibrinolysis.^{6,10,12,13}

CCVR is considered to be a type of controlled trauma.¹⁴ As such, exploring the mechanisms of TIC in this population is key to improving outcomes. Control of hyperfibrinolysis through use of antifibrinolytics has been shown to improve bleeding outcomes.^{15–17} Other mechanisms of TIC are being explored, but are not fully elucidated.^{18–21} One limitation to such analysis is the inadequacy of standard clinical coagulation testing to provide a picture of global hemostasis.^{19,21,22} Conventional pro-coagulant based assays prothrombin time (PT) and partial thromboplastin time (PTT) provide limited information about procoagulant protein function, but fail to capture other factors affecting hemostasis, including fibrinolysis, inflammation, and changes in platelet function.^{8,19,23} However, the PT and PTT assays are relatively inexpensive, widely available, and provide rapid feedback. Currently, most clinical teams must rely on these assays to assess hemostatic function in CCVR patients and to decide on clinical interventions.

OBJECTIVES

The primary aim of this study was to describe lab parameters in CCVR patients and identify if conventional hematologic and coagulation laboratory results could predict blood loss (BL).

PATIENTS / METHODS

Study Design and Setting

This single-center retrospective cohort study was approved by Vanderbilt University Medical Center Institutional Review Board (#191843) with waiver of informed consent and HIPAA authorization. We identified 95 CCVR patients (birth to 12 years) between September 1, 2015 and December 31, 2019. Demographic, surgical, and laboratory data from date of surgery through 30 days postoperatively were collected. Primary outcome measures were hematologic and coagulation laboratory parameters: hematocrit, platelet count, fibrinogen activity, PT, and PTT. Secondary outcome measures were intraoperative and postoperative calculated blood loss (CBL).

Administration of Antifibrinolytics

From September 2015 to September 2017, patients received ϵ -aminocaproic acid (EACA) as their antifibrinolytic agent [loading dose 100 mg/kg followed by infusion at 33 mg/kg/hr]. Our institution switched from EACA to tranexamic acid (TXA) in October 2017 due to a national shortage of EACA. TXA was subsequently used for all CCVR patients [loading dose 10 mg/kg followed by infusion at 5 mg/kg/hr].

Transfusion protocols remained consistent across the study time period. The threshold for red blood cell (RBC) transfusion was a hemoglobin < 8 g/dL with a goal hematocrit [?] 30% by the end of the case.

Measurement of Blood Loss

Since visual estimation of BL is grossly inaccurate in CCVR, CBL was used to quantify BL using a widely-accepted formula previously described in this population ²: $\text{EBV}_{\text{lost}} (\text{mL/kg}) = \text{ERCV}_{\text{lost}} (\text{mL}) / [\text{weight} (\text{kg}) \times \text{HCT}_{\text{preop}} / 100]$ where ERCV is estimated red cell volume, EBV is estimated blood volume, HCT is hematocrit, mL is milliliters, and kg is kilograms.

Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using a statistical software package (R 3.6.3). Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for continuous variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables. Ordinal logistic regression model was used to find the laboratory parameters associated with BL adjusting for age, weight, operative time, surgical vault category and syndromic status.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 95 pediatric patients undergoing CCVR for craniosynostosis, 47 patients received EACA and 48 patients received TXA. There were no differences in demographics, procedure category, operative time, or CBL between antifibrinolytic groups (Supplemental Table 1).²⁴ Perioperative hematologic and coagulation laboratory values were reviewed and the minimum or maximum value for each was recorded (Table 1). Pre-operative laboratory values were within normal range on all patients and there were no differences between antifibrinolytic cohorts. Postoperative laboratory parameters are depicted in spaghetti plots in Supplemental Figure 1. Intraoperative hematocrit fell as expected with appreciated BL and did not fully recover for most patients, despite RBC transfusion intraoperatively in 59 patients (62%) and postoperatively in 22 patients (23%). No patients developed significant thrombocytopenia perioperatively. Hypofibrinogenemia was not seen and fibrinogen activity tended to increase postoperatively. PT and PTT were prolonged, consistent with TIC in this patient population; though reflecting only loss of procoagulant proteins. There was a trend toward a small peak in PT around 24-36 hours postoperatively, but this varied with several outliers. PTT tended to rise with time in the postoperative period but was only collected on a few patients (n=11).

CBL and transfusion rates in our cohort were similar to that reported in other large cohorts.^{3,14,16,17,25} Intraoperative CBL for the cohort was 34 mL/kg, reflecting a percentage of total blood volume (BV) loss of 44%. Postoperative CBL was 12 mL/kg (total BV loss of 15%). We evaluated laboratory parameters to determine if they could be predictive of BL (Table 2). Intraoperative laboratory values did predict perioperative CBL. A lower intraoperative platelet count and fibrinogen predicted lower intraoperative CBL; lower intraoperative platelet count also predicted lower postoperative CBL. However, it is important to note that none of these patients were thrombocytopenic nor hypofibrinogenemic. In contrast, a higher intraoperative PT was associated with increased intraoperative CBL and a higher postoperative PTT was associated with increased postoperative CBL, possibly reflecting procoagulant protein losses or a more abnormal coagulation state. Postoperative laboratory values were not predictive of postoperative BL.

In our laboratory analysis, there was no significant difference in hematologic or coagulation laboratory parameters between patients receiving EACA vs. TXA. While there were no differences in laboratory parameters between the two cohorts, postoperative laboratory trends suggest ongoing disturbance of the hemostatic system beyond the operative period (Supplemental Figure 1). This includes an expected fall in hematocrit with a delayed improvement despite transfusion, a continuous rise in fibrinogen postoperatively, and in some patients, a persistently prolonged PTT. These results were limited by sampling in this observational study, not providing mechanistic data, but may provide an impetus for future laboratory investigation in this population.

Prior groups have looked at viscoelastic assays in pediatric CCVR as a potential alternative to monitoring standard coagulation assays.^{19,21,30,31} Global assays of hemostasis, such as thromboelastography, potentially provide more useful information, but have their own limitations.^{20,21,30-32} This testing was not performed

routinely in our cohort. Our data set was insufficient to draw firm conclusions about coagulopathy but suggests that future prospective laboratory-based studies looking at alternative coagulation assays may help elucidate some of the mechanisms of surgically-induced coagulopathy. Better understanding of the mechanisms of coagulopathy in CCVR has the potential to improve BL and surgical outcomes for children with craniosynostosis. A prospective study analyzing additional perioperative laboratory markers such as plasminogen activity, plasmin-antiplasmin complexes, markers of platelet activation by flow cytometry, and inflammatory cytokines would be beneficial in improving our understanding of TIC and BL in craniofacial surgery.

CONCLUSIONS

In this single center study, intraoperative standard hematologic and coagulation laboratory parameters predicted intraoperative and postoperative BL, but failed to provide a complete picture of the mechanisms of coagulopathy associated with BL in CCVR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the patients and families who make this work possible.

RELATIONSHIP DISCLOSURE

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AB and SR designed and coordinated the research. AB, PD, CL, MX and SR performed the research. All authors analyzed the data and contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Cladis FP, Bykowski M, Schmitt E, et al. Postoperative hyponatremia following calvarial vault remodeling in craniosynostosis. *Paediatr Anaesth* . 2011;21(10):1020-1025. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9592.2011.03605.x
2. Kearney RA, Rosales JK, Howes WJ. Craniosynostosis: an assessment of blood loss and transfusion practices. *Can J Anaesth* . 1989;36(4):473-477. doi:10.1007/BF03005352
3. Stricker PA, Goobie SM, Cladis FP, et al. Perioperative Outcomes and Management in Pediatric Complex Cranial Vault ReconstructionA Multicenter Study from the Pediatric Craniofacial Collaborative Group.*Anesthes* . 2017;126(2):276-287. doi:10.1097/ALN.0000000000001481
4. Stricker PA, Shaw TL, Desouza DG, et al. Blood loss, replacement, and associated morbidity in infants and children undergoing craniofacial surgery. *Pediatric Anesthesia* . 2010;20(2):150-159. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9592.2009.03227.x
5. Faraoni D, Goobie SM. The efficacy of antifibrinolytic drugs in children undergoing non-cardiac surgery: a systematic review of the literature. *Anesth Analg* . 2014;118(3):628-636. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000000080
6. Haas T, Cushing MM. Hemostatic Balance in Severe Trauma. *Front Pediatr* . 2020;8. doi:10.3389/fped.2020.600501
7. Dobson GP. Trauma of major surgery: A global problem that is not going away. *International Journal of Surgery* . 2020;81:47-54. doi:10.1016/j.ijssu.2020.07.017
8. Stutz CM, O'Rear LD, O'Neill KR, et al. Coagulopathies in Orthopaedics: Links to Inflammation and the Potential of Individualizing Treatment Strategies. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma* . 2013;27(4):236-241. doi:10.1097/BOT.0b013e318269b782

9. Spiezia L, Vasques F, Behr A, et al. Perioperative coagulation assessment of patients undergoing major elective orthopedic surgery. *Intern Emerg Med* . 2016;11(6):793-801. doi:10.1007/s11739-016-1414-x
10. Chang R, Cardenas JC, Wade CE, Holcomb JB. Advances in the understanding of trauma-induced coagulopathy. *Blood* . 2016;128(8):1043-1049. doi:10.1182/blood-2016-01-636423
11. Christiaans SC, Duhachek-Stapelman AL, Russell RT, Lisco SJ, Kerby JD, Pittet J-F. Coagulopathy after severe pediatric trauma: A review. *Shock* . 2014;41(6):476-490. doi:10.1097/SHK.0000000000000151
12. Cohen MJ. Acute traumatic coagulopathy: Clinical characterization and mechanistic investigation. *Thrombosis Research* . 2014;133:S25-S27. doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2014.03.013
13. Cohen MJ, Christie SA. Coagulopathy of Trauma. *Crit Care Clin* . 2017;33(1):101-118. doi:10.1016/j.ccc.2016.08.003
14. Goobie SM, Haas T. Bleeding management for pediatric craniotomies and craniofacial surgery. *Pediatric Anesthesia* . 2014;24(7):678-689. doi:10.1111/pan.12416
15. Ker K, Edwards P, Perel P, Shakur H, Roberts I. Effect of tranexamic acid on surgical bleeding: systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. *BMJ* . 2012;344:e3054. doi:10.1136/bmj.e3054
16. Dadure C, Sauter M, Bringuier S, et al. Intraoperative tranexamic acid reduces blood transfusion in children undergoing craniostylosis surgery: a randomized double-blind study. *Anesthesiology* . 2011;114(4):856-861. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e318210f9e3
17. Goobie SM, Meier PM, Pereira LM, et al. Efficacy of Tranexamic Acid in Pediatric Craniostylosis Surgery A Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial. *Anesthes* . 2011;114(4):862-871. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e318210fd8f
18. Fenger-Eriksen C, Lindholm AD, Krogh L, et al. Effect of Tranexamic Acid on Coagulation and Fibrin Clot Properties in Children Undergoing Craniofacial Surgery. *Thromb Haemost* . 2020;120(3):392-399. doi:10.1055/s-0039-3402762
19. Kvint S, Schuster J, Kumar MA. Neurosurgical applications of viscoelastic hemostatic assays. *Neuro-surgical Focus* . 2017;43(5):E9. doi:10.3171/2017.8.FOCUS17447
20. Haas T, Spielmann N, Mauch J, et al. Comparison of thromboelastometry (ROTEM®) with standard plasmatic coagulation testing in paediatric surgery. *Br J Anaesth* . 2012;108(1):36-41. doi:10.1093/bja/aer342
21. Haas T, Faraoni D. Viscoelastic testing in pediatric patients. *Transfusion* . 2020;60(S6):S75-S85. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/trf.16076
22. Brummel-Ziedins KE, Wolberg AS. Global assays of hemostasis. *Curr Opin Hematol* . 2014;21(5):395-403. doi:10.1097/MOH.0000000000000074
23. Ghadimi K, Levy JH, Welsby IJ. Perioperative management of the bleeding patient. *Br J Anaesth* . 2016;117(Suppl 3):iii18-iii30. doi:10.1093/bja/aew358
24. Borst AJ, Bonfield CM, Deenadayalan PS, et al. ε-Aminocaproic acid versus tranexamic acid in children undergoing complex cranial vault reconstruction for repair of craniostylosis. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer* . 2021;68(8):e29093. doi:10.1002/pbc.29093
25. Fernandez PG, Taicher BM, Goobie SM, et al. Predictors of transfusion outcomes in pediatric complex cranial vault reconstruction: a multicentre observational study from the Pediatric Craniofacial Collaborative Group. *Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth* . 2019;66(5):512-526. doi:10.1007/s12630-019-01307-w
26. Jaramillo S, Montane-Muntane M, Gambus PL, Capitan D, Navarro-Ripoll R, Blasi A. Perioperative blood loss: estimation of blood volume loss or haemoglobin mass loss? *Blood Transfus* . 2020;18(1):20-29. doi:10.2450/2019.0204-19

27. Seruya M, Oh AK, Boyajian MJ, Myseros JS, Yaun AL, Keating RF. Unreliability of intraoperative estimated blood loss in extended sagittal synostectomies: Clinical article. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics* . 2011;8(5):443-449. doi:10.3171/2011.8.PEDS11180
28. Goobie SM, Staffa SJ, Meara JG, et al. High-dose versus low-dose tranexamic acid for paediatric craniosynostosis surgery: a double-blind randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* . 2020;125(3):336-345. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.054
29. Goobie SM, Zurakowski D, Isaac KV, et al. Predictors of perioperative complications in paediatric cranial vault reconstruction surgery: a multicentre observational study from the Pediatric Craniofacial Collaborative Group. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* . 2019;122(2):215-223. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2018.10.061
30. Drucker NA, Wang SK, Newton C. Pediatric trauma-related coagulopathy: Balanced resuscitation, goal-directed therapy and viscoelastic assays. *Semin Pediatr Surg* . 2019;28(1):61-66. doi:10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2019.01.011
31. Goobie S, Soriano S, Zurakowski D, McGowan F, Rockoff M. Hemostatic Changes in Pediatric Neurosurgical Patients as Evaluated by Thrombelastograph®. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* . 2001;93(4):887-892. doi:10.1097/0000539-200110000-00017
32. Williams GD, Ellenbogen RG, Gruss JS. Abnormal Coagulation during Pediatric Craniofacial Surgery. *PNE* . 2001;35(1):5-12. doi:10.1159/000050378

FIGURE LEGENDS

Supplemental Figure 1 Spaghetti plots of postoperative laboratory values vs. time post-procedure. A) hematocrit levels (%) vs. time (minutes), B) platelet count ($10^3/\text{mcL}$) vs. time (minutes), C) prothrombin time (s) vs. time (minutes), D) partial thromboplastin time (s) vs. time (minutes), and E) fibrinogen (mg/dL) vs. time (minutes).

Hosted file

8_18_21 Table 1.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/370842/articles/711501-measuring-coagulopathy-in-pediatric-craniofacial-surgery>

Hosted file

8_18_21 Table 2.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/370842/articles/711501-measuring-coagulopathy-in-pediatric-craniofacial-surgery>