

1**Bioavailability and toxicity variation of benzo[a]pyrene in**
2**three soil-wheat systems: Indicators of soil quality**

3

4Yong Teng^{1,2}, Qixing Zhou^{1,*}

5

61. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Pollution Processes and Environmental Criteria,

7College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, China

8

92. School of Ecology and Environment, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China

10

11

12

13

14***Corresponding Author**

15Prof. Qixing Zhou

16College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nankai University

1738 Tongyan Road, Tianjin, China 300350

18

19**Tel:** 0086-22-23507800; **Fax:** 0086-22-23501117;

20**E-mail:** zhouqx@nankai.edu.cn

21

22**Running title:** variation of benzo[a]pyrene in three soil-wheat systems

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Bioavailability and toxicity variation of benzo[a]pyrene in three soil-wheat systems: Indicators for soil quality

Yong Teng^{1,2}, Qixing Zhou^{1,*}

1. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Pollution Processes and Environmental Criteria, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, China

2. School of Ecology and Environment, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241000, China

Abstract: Benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) as a representative polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons is concerned by global scientists in various fields, but its biological and biochemical actions in soil-wheat systems are still rarely reported. The B[a]P as a ubiquitous soil pollutant possesses varied contents in real environment, and herein was studied in systems of soil and wheat to obtain relative results to reveal their variations in different systems. Its bioavailability (extractability and bioaccumulation) and basic biological toxicity were tested based on three typical soil types (red, black, and brown) in China and spiked amounts (0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg) with several orders of magnitude. Results showed that B[a]P concentrations in soil-wheat systems extracted by HPCD were insignificantly ($p > 0.05$) higher than Tenax-TA, and varied with soil types and spiked concentrations. Besides, the root and shoot length were mostly inhibited, in a range of -21.85%-126.35% and -0.48%-54.85%, respectively, by B[a]P in different soil types and increased with its increasing concentration. Comparatively, higher bioconcentration factor and translocation factor values were observed under lower group in red soil-wheat systems, and higher spiked groups in black and brown soil-wheat systems. Moreover, inhibitive effects posed by B[a]P were mainly targeted at wheat shoots in these soils. The simultaneous studies provided a comparable knowledge of B[a]P in ecosystems of different soil types combined with different plant species due to lots of variations, further to serve for contaminated soil remediation and sustainable agricultural management.

Keywords: Benzo[a]pyrene; Soil bioavailability; Biological toxicity; Biochemical indicator; Soil-wheat system

1. INTRODUCTION

Benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) with five fused benzene rings, is a ubiquitous soil pollutant, which has been regarded as a representative indicator of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, due to its persistence, biological toxicity, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, and potential for bioaccumulation (IARC, 2012; Du et al., 2020; Lemieux et al., 2015). Reports showed that there exist no significant mineralization of

71B[a]P in soils in the rates and extents even over a 90 d incubation period (Anyanwu et
72al., 2018). Although non-extractable residues (NER) could be formed after 33 d of
73aging, the remobilization risks still exist depending on the aging time, spiked
74concentrations, and soil properties (Luo et al., 2012; Umeh et al., 2018). Nowadays,
75several methods (physical, chemical and biological) have been developed to extract or
76degrade B[a]P. For instance, it is found that B[a]P absorption in roots, stems, leaves
77and shoots of ornamental plants (*T. patula* and *M. jalapa*) was positively related to its
78concentrations in soil, and plant roots could effectively promote phytoremediation of
79B[a]P contaminated soils (Sun & Zhou, 2016). Besides, the growth of ryegrass plants
80could be enhanced by B[a]P in acid sandy soil, which may help to dissipate B[a]P in
81soil at concentrations over 50 mg/kg soil (Xing et al., 2006).

82 Till now, the studies on bioavailability and biological toxicity of B[a]P in soil-
83wheat systems are still far from sufficiency, and it is hard to obtain a comparable
84knowledge due to several variations from applied methods, soil types, growing
85periods. Reports also showed that the increased pH and EC in sandy soil and ageing in
86clayey soil caused >55% and >85% of the changes in B[a]P extractability,
87respectively (Meng et al, 2019). It is found that the addition method (one-time or
88multiple-time addition) could affect the bioavailability of B[a]P in soils, its uptake by
89earthworms, as well as the enzyme activities in earthworm coelomocytes, due to the
90B[a]P age in soil (Ye et al., 2019). With the accumulation of B[a]P in receptors,
91varying degrees of damage were observed, such as the ultrastructural damage in spring
92barley tissues depending on concentrations (Fedorenko et al., 2020). Nowadays,
93several methods have been developed to evaluate chemical bioavailability, including
94the extraction method and bioconcentration test (Li et al., 2016). And it has been
95demonstrated that the stimulative/inhibitory effects on wheat root and shoot

96elongation as well as endogenous phytohormones, were sensitive bioindicators to
97biological toxicity of B[a]P (Li et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2012).

98 Environmental behaviors of B[a]P, and its mechanisms of bioaccumulation and
99phytodegradation were investigated in a number of studies. It is demonstrated that the
100migration of B[a]P in soils and its accumulation in plants mainly depended on soil and
101plants interactions (Sushkova et al., 2018). The differentiated physicochemical and
102geochemical properties especially soil organic carbon in different soil types, affected
103the adsorption of organic chemicals including B[a]P (Xing et al., 2006). Meanwhile,
104plants provided root surface for the microbial population growth, and the interactions
105between root exudates, microbes and contaminants stimulated the growth of soil
106microbes (microbial activities, enzyme-catalyzed processes, cometabolic processes
107etc.) which caused the degradation of B[a]P (Sun & Zhou, 2016). Its metabolites have
108been identified in some studies, covering B[a]P-1,6- and 3,6-quinones, 3- and 9-
109hydroxyl B[a]P, trans-9,10-dihydroxy-9, 10-dihydro B[a]P, trans-7,8-dihydroxy-7, 8-
110dihydro B[a]P, and trans-4,5-dihydroxy-4,5-dihydro B[a]P, some of which may be
111more toxic than their parent B[a]P and may be the optimum co-metabolic substances
112(Zang et al., 2007). Besides, through plant cells or intercellular spaces, B[a]P could
113involve into plant metabolic processes, and induce adverse effects, covering the
114damage of plant membrane functions and structures, and further the disturbance of
115plant photosynthetic systems (Sushkova et al., 2018). In this study, we mainly
116concerned the content of parent B[a]P in different types of soil and wheat roots and
117shoots, and its overall effects on wheat.

118 Herein, we tested the bioavailability (extractability and bioaccumulation) and
119basic biological toxicity of B[a]P in different soil-wheat systems, based on three
120typical soil types (red, black and brown) in China, and spiked concentrations (0.1, 1,

121and 10 mg/kg) with several orders of magnitude. We measured its extractability
122through two widely applied methods (HPCD and Tenax-TA extraction), its biological
123accumulation (BCF) and biochemical translocation (BTF), as well as responses of
124wheat (germination, root and shoot length) to B[a]P. We carried out this study aiming
125to provide a comparable knowledge of its bioavailability and basic biological toxicity
126in soil-wheat systems under the same experimental condition, and to provide some
127reference for contaminated soil remediation and sustainable agricultural management.

1282. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1292.1 Tested samples and materials

130The brown, black and red soil samples were collected/purchased from Tianjin (forest
131park), Jilin (Changbai Mountain) and Hunan (azalea soil) Province, respectively.
132Their physical-chemical properties were measured, including pondus Hydrogenii
133(pH), soil organic matter (SOM), cation exchange capacity (CEC), soil salinity (SS),
134total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), total potassium (TK) (Table 1). The B[a]P
135contents in different soil types were not determined as for the varied levels in real
136environment, and specifically concerned in soil-wheat systems to obtain relative
137results to reveal variation in different systems. The Tenax-TA beads and
138Hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin (HPCD) were purchased from ANPUL Laboratory
139Technology Inc. in Shanghai, China. The B[a]P, mixed standard solutions of 16 PAHs
140(500 µg/mL), and 5 deuterated PAHs (200 µg/mL) were bought from J&K China
141Chemical Ltd. in Beijing, China. And the tested wheat seeds (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
142were bought from a seed shop in Tianjin. The solvents (n-hexane, dichloromethane,
143acetone etc.) were chromatographic grade. High purity water was prepared from a
144Milli-Q Water System.

1452.2 Experimental processes

Four treatments (CK, 0.1, 1 and 10 mg/kg) were designed for soil-wheat systems, and three parallels were prepared for each group. Soils were air-dried and passed through a 2-mm sieve to ensure soil homogeneity. Firstly, B[a]P was dissolved using acetone, added into soils, stirred evenly, and then placed in a fume hood until the acetone was fully volatilized. Secondly, 50-g soil was put into a petri dish (90 mm), 15 seeds were added after sterilization by 3% H₂O₂, and 10 mL water were added. Next, petri dishes were put in a constant temperature incubator at 25 °C in dark, and added same volume water daily to maintain soil water content. Soil and wheat samples were sampled after 10 days for the analyses.

2.3 Bioavailable extraction in soils planted with wheats

The 1-g freeze dried soil was put into a tube and extracted with 20 mL of HPCD (50 mmol), and 1 mg HgCl₂ was added to prevent the microbial degradation. The tube was put in a constant temperature shaker for 24h at 150 rpm and 25 °C, and centrifugated for 20 min at 3000 rpm. Then, 10 mL HPCD solution was extracted with 10 mL hexane, mixed for 2 min, and the organic solvent was collected. The extraction was repeated three times, and the solvents were condensed to 1 mL for the determination of B[a]P.

Another 1-g soil was put into a tube, with 0.2-g Tenax-TA, 20-mL high purity water, and 1-mg HgCl₂. The tube was put in a constant temperature shaker for 24h at 150 rpm and 25 °C, and centrifugated for 20 min at 3000 rpm. Then, Tenax-TA beads were collected, washed with water, and extracted with 15 mL of hexane/acetone (3:1, v/v) for 30 min. The extraction was repeated three times, and the solvents were concentrated to dryness, redissolved with hexane, and determined for B[a]P. Increased ratios were calculated based on the formula: Increased ratio = (T-C)/O, where T is the average concentration of B[a]P extracted from the spiked group, C is the average

171value from CK, and O is the original spiked concentration.

1722.4. Exhausted extraction in soils, wheat roots and shoots

173The freeze-dried 5-g soil and wheat samples (<1 g) were weighted to determine the
174exhausted contents of B[a]P. Each sample was extracted with 20 ml dichloromethane
175by ultrasonication for 15 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20
176min, and the supernatant was collected. The extraction was repeated three times, and
177all of the extracts were concentrated to dryness with a rotary evaporator at 40 °C.
178After that, 2-mL n-hexane was added before column purification. The columns were
179filled with silicone (1 g), alumina (1 g), and anhydrous sodium sulfate (1 g) from
180bottom to up, and activated with 10 mL n-hexane. The samples were loaded, washed
181with 3 mL n-hexane, and eluated with 12 mL of n-hexane/dichloromethane (1:1, v/v).
182The extracts were dried and redissolved with hexane for the determination of B[a]P
183with a recovery of 80-110%. The bioconcentration factor (BCF) and biochemical
184translocation factor (BTF) were calculated based on the formulas:

$$185 \qquad \qquad \qquad BCF_{\text{root/shoot}} = C_{\text{root/shoot}}/C_{\text{soil}} \qquad (1)$$

186and

$$187 \qquad \qquad \qquad BTF = C_{\text{shoot}}/C_{\text{root}} \qquad (2)$$

188Where $C_{\text{root/shoot}}$, C_{soil} , C_{shoot} and C_{root} are the concentration in wheat root/shoot, soil,
189shoot and root, respectively.

1902.5 Toxic indicators for wheats

191The germinations were counted, and the wheat samples were washed with tap and
192distilled water, dried with filter paper, and then separated into roots and shoots. the
193root and shoot lengths were measured using rhizograph software (EPSON, STD4800).
194The germination rates and (root and shoot) inhibition rates were calculated based the
195formulas: Inhibition rate (%) = [(a-b)/a]×100%, Germination rate (%) = n/15×100%,

196where a is the average value of root/shoot length in CK, b is the average value in the
197spiked group, n is the germination number in each group.

198**2.6 Determining methods and statistical analysis**

199The B[a]P concentration was determined through the gas chromatography mass
200spectrometry (GC-MS, Agilent 7890A-5975) with a TR5-MS capillary column. The
201helium was applied as the carrier gas at a constant flow of 1 mL/min. The column
202temperature was started at 70 °C and held for 1 min, and then was increased at 10 °C/
203min to 260 °C and held for 4 min, which was followed by 5 °C/min to 300 °C and held
204for 4 min. Data were statistically processed with the Microsoft Excel software, Origin
2059.0 and IBM SPSS 22, and were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).
206Difference between HPCD and Tenax-TA extraction was analyzed through the
207Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test (* $p < 0.05$).

208**3. RESULTS**

209**3.1 Extractability of B[a]P in soils planted with wheat**

210The B[a]P in soil samples was extracted by HPCD and Tenax-TA, respectively. Its
211averaged concentrations and increased ratio were depicted in Figure 1, and provided
212in Table S1. Results showed that there was not significant difference ($p > 0.05$)
213between the concentrations extracted by HPCD and Tenax-TA in these soil-wheat
214systems, but extracted concentrations by HPCD were generally higher than that by
215Tenax-TA, except for the brown soil samples. Generally, results indicated that two
216methods were equivalently efficient on the evaluation of B[a]P bioavailability, but
217varied with soil types.

218 Deducting the B[a]P concentration extracted from the un-spiked planted soil
219samples, the extracted concentrations by HPCD and Tenax-TA in the lower spiked
220groups were generally higher than the original spiked amounts (0.1 and 1 mg/kg),

221while lower in the higher spiked group (10 mg/kg). The increased amounts were one
222order of magnitude larger than 0.1 mg/kg in the red and black soils, and ≤ 2.92 -time as
223much as 1 mg/kg. Moreover, the increased ratios in red and black soils (black > red in
2240.1 mg/kg group, red > black in 1 mg/kg group) were generally higher than that in
225brown soil. In brown soil samples, the extracted concentrations of B[a]P by HPCD
226were consistent with the aforementioned trend. Results indicated that there may
227stimulating effects on wheats in the lower spiked groups, and it seemed that both the
228effects were stronger in the black and red soils.

2293.2 Accumulation of B[a]P in wheat roots and shoots

230The B[a]P contents in wheat roots and shoots were depicted in Figure 2 and provided
231in Table S2, and its BCF and BTF were calculated and provided in Table 2. In the
232spiked groups, the contents in wheat roots were generally lower than those in the un-
233spiked planted soil group (CK), except for the 10 mg/kg group in black soil. While the
234contents in wheat shoots were generally higher than CK, except for the groups in
235brown soil. According to the BCF values, it showed that BCF_{root} and BCF_{shoot} were
236generally lower than those in CK, except for BCF_{root} in brown soil (1 mg/kg group),
237and BCF_{shoot} in red soil (0.1 and 1 mg/kg group). The BTF values in the spiked groups
238were generally more than one and higher than those in CK. In the spiked groups, the
239BCF and BTF values in red soil-wheat systems were generally higher than those
240under the lower spiked groups, while were generally higher under the higher spiked
241groups in black and brown soil-wheat systems. Results indicated that B[a]P was more
242likely accumulated and translocated into shoots in red soils below 1 mg/kg in this
243study, while higher accumulation and translocation in wheat in the black and brown
244soils under higher spiked groups.

2453.3 Response of wheat germination, root and shoot length

The germination, root and shoot inhibition rates were provided in Figure 3 and Table S3. In the spiked groups, the rates generally increased in the red soils and decreased in the brown soil with an increasing concentration, except for the 1 mg/kg group. Nevertheless, the rates in the black soil increased under the group of 0.1 mg/kg, and decreased under the higher spiked groups. The root lengths were stimulated in the red and brown soils under the lower spiked groups (0.1 and 1 mg/kg), while inhibited under the group of 10 mg/kg. And both the stimulating and inhibitive effects were stronger in the red soil. In the black soil, the root lengths were inhibited under all of the spiked groups, which increased with an increasing concentration. However, the shoot lengths in red and brown soils were inhibited under all of the spiked groups. The inhibitive effect generally increased with an increasing concentration, and was stronger in the brown soil under the lower spiked groups. The shoot lengths in black soil were stimulated under the group of 0.1 mg/kg, while inhibited under the higher spiked groups and the inhibitive effect increased with an increasing concentration. Results indicated that inhibitive effects within the tested concentrations mainly targeted at the shoots in the red soil, the germination and shoots in the brown soil, the germination, root, and shoot in the black soil.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Indicator selection and criteria derivation for B[a]P

For the protection of soil environment, various types of soil-environmental standards were issued in most of the countries and regions around the world, such as quality standards, screening levels, cleanup levels, investigation values, in the consideration of soil type, land use, soil depth or extraction methods (Teng & Zhou, 2018; Morales & Zuleta, 2019). For instance, the risk screening value of B[a]P in China for the agricultural land is 0.55 mg/kg, and its risk screening and intervention values for the

271first type of development land (residential, greening areas etc.) are 0.55 and 5.5
272mg/kg, respectively (GB 15618/36600-2018). While the background level of B[a]P
273usually fluctuates within 0.1-5 µg/kg in majority of mineral soils, and 15-20 µg/kg in
274some chernozems and peaty soils due to the increase in the concentration of organic
275chemicals and specific structure of soil microbial community (Sushkova et al., 2018).

276 The ecological criteria in different countries and regions are usually derived
277based on hazardous concentrations ($HC_5/HC_{25}/HC_{50}$) that were derived from various
278indicators applying the species sensitivity distribution (SSD) method, such as no
279observed effect concentration (NOEC), the lowest observed effect concentration
280(LOEC), and effect concentrations ($EC_{10/20/25/50}$). Collected from the studies on
281biological or ecological toxicity of B[a]P in different soil types and receptors, the
282indicators of NOEC, LOEC, EC_{10} , and EC_{50} were in the range of 1-293, 1-977, 1.3-
283106, and 1.24-1.83 mg/kg, respectively (Table S4). The available indicators were
284quite limited, and fluctuated by various orders of magnitude due to different
285endpoints, receptors, and soil types. In this study, our results exhibited variations on
286extractability, bioaccumulation and biological toxicity of B[a]P in different soil types-
287wheat systems under the exposure of concentrations with several orders of magnitude
288(0, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg). Various simultaneous studies were required to provide more
289further comparable results, to promote the revision and development of soil standards
290to serve for clean soil protection and contaminated soil remediation.

2914.2 Efficiency of extraction methods to test bioavailability of B[a]P

292Bioavailability is critical to ecological risk assessment, criteria development and other
293aspects, which determines the exposure of organisms to chemicals associated with
294soils (Semple et al., 2004). It played an important role in its toxic effects both on
295plants, invertebrates and microorganisms. Results found that there was no significant

296mineralization of B[a]P in soils in the rates and extents even over a 90 d incubation
297period (Anyanwu et al., 2018), and existed the remobilization risk of nonextractable
298residues (NERs depending on the aging, spiked concentration, and soil properties
299(Luo et al., 2012; Umeh et al., 2018). It is reported that significantly greater ($p < 0.05$)
300DNA percentage (%) in the tails and olive tail moments of earthworms were observed
301under the exposure to readily available B[a]P in soils, than B[a]P NERs (Umeh et al.,
3022019). In this study, consistent conclusions were obtained based on the results through
303HPCD and Tenax-TA extraction, while the extractability of HPCD seemed higher
304than Tenax-TA.

3054.3 Possible mechanisms of B[a]P effects in soil-wheat systems

306As shown in many studies, plant species (root exudates and morphology) and soil
307types (properties and soilborne microorganisms) were the major influencing factors
308on the structure and function of microbial communities especially in the rhizosphere
309(Berg and Smalla, 2009; Lauber et al., 2008). The migration of B[a]P in soil and its
310accumulation in plants were mainly affected by adsorptive properties of a soil matrix,
311and its own properties (Sushkova et al., 2018). Different soil types (red, black and
312brown soils in this study) possessed discriminable physicochemical properties and
313effects especially from SOM (Xing et al., 2006). Even in a similar soil type (pH-6.51,
314SOM-1.67%, and CEC-16.29 cmol/kg) with this work, only inhibitive effects on the
315wheat root and shoot length could be observed in the range of 0-2 mg/kg (Liu et al.,
3162012).

317 Different plant species had different exposure tolerance and degradative ability to
318B[a]P. Similarly, the content of B[a]P in different tissues (roots, stems, leavers and
319shoots) of ornamental plants (*Tagetes patula* and *Mirabilis jalapa*) was positively (p
320 < 0.01) related with that in soils (meadow burozem). Meanwhile, their dry biomass

increased at low B[a]P contaminated soil and then inhibited with increasing B[a]P concentrations, but they had low BF and BTF values. 2.7-26.8%, and 0.4%-33.9% of B[a]P removal rates in rhizosphere soils were observed at their different growing stages, mainly through stimulating soil microbe growth, which was caused by the interactions between root exudates, microbes and contaminants (Sun and Zhou, 2016). So the effects exhibited variation due to the interactions between B[a]P and specific soil-plant systems.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we simultaneously investigated the variations on bioavailability and biological toxicity of B[a]P in different soil-wheat systems, by considering different soil types, wheat planting and exposed concentrations with different orders of magnitude. Results showed that there exist no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the HPCD and Tenax-TA extraction methods, but the extracted contents were generally higher through HPCD. Besides, the root (-21.85%-26.35%) and shoot length (-0.48%-54.85%) were mostly inhibited by B[a]P in different soil types and increased with an increasing concentration. There are higher wheat BCF and BTF values of B[a]P in red soil under the lower spiked groups, and in black and brown soils under the higher spiked groups. Meanwhile, within the tested concentrations of B[a]P, its inhibitive effects mainly targeted at the shoots in the red soil, shoots and germination in the brown soil, shoot, root and germination in the black soil. Generally, this study simultaneously provided a knowledge about the bioavailability and basic biological toxicity of B[a]P in different soil-wheat systems, and new insight of soil biology and biochemistry for clean soil protection and contaminated soil remediation.

Acknowledgments

346The authors are grateful for the financial support by the National Natural Science
347Foundation of China as a general project (grant No. 21677080), and the Ministry of
348Education, People's Republic of China as the 111 program (T2017002).

349

350References

- 351Anyanwu, I.N., Ikpikpini, O.C., & Semple, K.T. (2018). Impact of nitrogen-polycyclic
352 aromatic hydrocarbons on phenanthrene and benzo[a]pyrene mineralization in soil.
353 Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 147, 594-601.
354 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.09.019>
- 355Du, J.J., Zhou, Q.X., Wu, J.H., Li, G.F., Li, G.Q., & Wu, Y.N. (2020). Vegetation alleviate
356 the negative effects of graphene oxide on benzo[a]pyrene dissipation and the associated
357 soil bacteria community. Chemosphere, 253, 126725.
358 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126725>
- 359Fedorenko, A.G., Chernikova, N., Minkina, T., Sushkova, S., Dudnidova, T., Antonenko, E.,
360 Fedorenko, G., Bauer, T., & Mandzhieva, S. (2020). Effects of benzo[a]pyrene toxicity on
361 morphology and ultrastructure of *Hordeum sativum*. Environmental Geochemistry &
362 Health, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-020-00647-7>
- 363IARC. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans Volume 100F;
364 International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France, 2012.
- 365Lauber, C.L., Strickland, M.S., Bradford, M.A., & Fierer, N. (2008). The influence of soil
366 properties on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities across land-use types. Soil
367 Biology and Biochemistry, 40, 2407-2415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.05.021>
- 368Lemieux, C.L., Long, A.S., Lambert, I.B., Lundstedt, S., Tysklind, M., & White, P.A. (2015).
369 Cancer risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon contaminated soils
370 determined using bioassayderived levels of Benzo[a]pyrene equivalents. Environmental
371 Science and Technology, 49 (3), 1797–1805. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es504466b>
- 372Li, B., Yao, T.Q., & Sun, H.W. (2016). Meaning and progresses of studies on bioavailability
373 of organic contaminants in soil. Science and Technology Review, 34(22), 48-55.
374 <https://doi.org/10.3981/j.issn.1000-7857.2016.22.006>
- 375Liu, Y., Zhang, L., & Liu, M.D. (2012). Effect of cadmium-benzo(a)pyrene single and
376 combined pollution on wheat seed germination. Journal of Agro-Environment Science,
377 31(2), 265-269. http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTOTAL-nhbbh201202010.htm
- 378Li, X.Y., Song, Y.F., Zhou, Q.X., & Sun, T.H. (2007). Dose-response relationships between
379 endogenous phytohormones of high plant and concentrations of fluoranthene and

380 benzo(a)pyrene in soils. *Environmental Science*, 28(6), 1384-1387. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:0250-3301.2007.06.038)
381 10.3321/j.issn:0250-3301.2007.06.038

382Luo, L., Lin, S., Huang, H.L., & Zhang, S.Z. (2012). Relationships between aging of PAHs and
383 soil properties. *Environmental Pollution*, 170, 177-182.
384 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.07.003>

385Meng, F.B., Yang, X.D., Duan, L.C., Naidu, R., Nuruzzaman, M., & Semple, K.T. (2019).
386 Influence of pH, electrical conductivity and ageing on the extractability of benzo[a]pyrene
387 in two contrasting soils. *Science of the Total Environment*, 690, 647-653.
388 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.445>

389Morales, N.S., & Zuleta, G.A. (2019). Comparison of different land degradation indicators:
390 Do the world regions really matter? *Land Degradation and Development*, 31(6), 721-733.
391 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3488>

392Semple, K.T., Doick, K.J., Jones, K.C., Burauel, P., Craven, A., & Harms, H. (2004).
393 Defining bioavailability and bioaccessibility of contaminated soil and sediment is
394 complicated. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 38(12), 228A-231A. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/es040548w)
395 10.1021/es040548w

396Sivaram, A.K., Logeshwaran, P., Lockington, R., Naidu, R., & Megharaj, M. (2018). Impact
397 of plant photosystems in the remediation of benzo[a]pyrene and pyrene spiked soils.
398 *Chemosphere*, 193, 625-634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.081>

399Sun Y.B., Zhou, Q.X., Xu, Y.M., Wang, L., & Liang, X.F. (2011). Phytoremediation for co-
400 contaminated soils of benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) and heavy metals using ornamental plant
401 *Tagetes patula*. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186, 2075-2082. [http://doi.org/](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.116)
402 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.116

403Sun, Y.B., & Zhou, Q.X. (2016). Uptake and translocation of benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) in two
404 ornamental plants and dissipation in soil. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 124,
405 74-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.09.037>

406Sushkova, S., Deryabkina, I., Antonenko, E., Kizilkaya, R., Rajput, V., & Vasilyeva, G.
407 (2018). Benzo[a]pyrene degradation and bioaccumulation in soil-plant system under
408 artificial contamination. *Science of the Total Environment*, 633, 1386-1391.
409 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.287>

410Teng, Y., & Zhou, Q.X. (2018). Conversion relationships between environmental quality
411 criteria of water/air and soil. *Science China-Earth Sciences*, 61(12), 1781-1791.
412 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-017-9250-5>

413Umeh, A.C., Duan, L.C., Naidu, R., & Semple, K.T. (2018). Time-dependent remobilization
414 of nonextractable benzo[a]pyrene residues in contrasting soils: effects of aging, spiked
415 concentration, and soil properties. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 52, 12295-
416 12304. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03008>

417 Umeh, A.C., Panneerselvan L, Duan, L., Naidu, R., & Semple, K.T. (2019). Bioaccumulation
 418 of benzo[a]pyrene nonextractable residues in soil by *Eisenia fetida* and associated
 419 background-level sublethal genotoxicity (DNA single-strand breaks). *Science of the Total*
 420 *Environment*, 691, 605-610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.045>
 421 Xing, W.Q., Luo, Y.M., Wu, L.H., Song, J., & Christie, P. (2006). Accumulation and
 422 phytoavailability of benzo[a]pyrene in an acid sandy soil. *Environmental Geochemistry &*
 423 *Health*, 28, 153-158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-005-9026-9>
 424 Ye, X.X., Ma, J.J., Wei, J.L., Sun, K., & Xiong, Q.Z. (2019). Comparison of the
 425 bioavailability of benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) in a B[a]P-contaminated soil using the different
 426 addition approaches. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 3848. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40813-1)
 427 [40813-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40813-1)
 428 Zang, S.Y., Li, P.J., Li, W.X., Zhang, D., & Hamilton, A. (2007). Degradation mechanisms of
 429 benzo[a]pyrene and its accumulated metabolites by biodegradation combined with
 430 chemical oxidation. *Chemosphere*, 67, 1368-1374. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.10.034)
 431 [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.10.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.10.034)
 432 Ziogas, A. (2014). Evaluation and selection of indicators for land degradation and
 433 desertification monitoring: Types of degradation, causes, and implications for
 434 management. *Environmental Management*, 54, 971–982. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0110-0)
 435 [013-0110-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0110-0)
 436
 437
 438
 439

440Table Captions

441**Table 1.** The physicochemical properties of the tested soil samples. The abbreviations
442indicated pondus Hydrogenii (pH), soil organic matter (SOM), cation exchange capacity
443(CEC), soil salinity (SS), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and total potassium (TK)
444

445**Table 2.** The calculated BCF and BTF in the soil-wheat systems. The red, black and brown
446indicated the soil types. The CK, 0.1, 1, and 1 mg/kg indicated the spiked B[a]P
447concentrations; The 'a' and 'b' indicated the BCF_{root} and BCF_{shoot} , respectively.
448

449

450**Table S1.** The exhausted and bioextractable contents (mg/kg) of B[a]P in soil samples planted
451with wheat.
452

453**Table S2.** The B[a]P content data (mg/kg) in wheat roots and shoots.
454

455**Table S3.** The inhibition rates (%) of the wheat root and shoot length and wheat germination
456rate (%) under the exposure of B[a]P.
457

458**Table S4.** Indicators from biological or ecological toxicity studies on B[a]P in different
459receptors and soil types.
460

461

462Figure Captions

463**Fig. 1.** The averaged concentrations (mg/kg) and increased ratios of B[a]P in soil-wheat
464systems extracted by HPCD and Tenax-TA. (a-c) in red, black, and brown soil. The
465treatments include the CK, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg groups.
466

467**Fig. 2.** The averaged concentrations (mg/kg) of B[a]P in wheat roots and shoots in different
468soil types. (a) in wheat roots among red, black, and brown soils; (b) in wheat shoots among
469red, black, and brown soils; (c) in wheat roots and shoots of red soil; (d) in wheat roots and
470shoots of black soil; (e) in wheat roots and shoots of brown soil. The treatments include the
471CK, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg groups.
472

473**Fig. 3.** The inhibition rates (root and shoot length) (%) and germination rates (%) in different
474soil types and treatments. The soil types include the red, black, and brown soils. And the
475treatments include the CK, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg groups.

Table 1. The physicochemical properties of the tested soil samples. The abbreviations indicated pondus Hydrogenii (pH), soil organic matter (SOM), cation exchange capacity (CEC), soil salinity (SS), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), and total potassium (TK)

Item	Red soil	Black soil	Brown soil
pH	6.15	6.67	8.50
SOM (%)	0.9	8.9	1.7
CEC (cmol/kg)	3.3	12.2	19.9
SS (g/kg)	0.3	0.7	1.7
TN (g/kg)	0.4	2.2	0.6
TP (g/kg)	13.1	3.6	4.2
TK (g/kg)	10.8	8.9	16.6

480

Table 2. The calculated BCF and BTF values in the soil-wheat systems. The red, black and brown indicated the soil types. The CK, 0.1, 1, and 1 mg/kg indicated the spiked B[a]P concentrations; The ‘a’ and ‘b’ indicated the BCF_{root} and BCF_{shoot}, respectively.

Treatment	BCF			BTF		
	Red	Black	Brown	Red	Black	Brown
CK	381 ^a /95 ^b	234 ^a /680 ^b	149 ^a /278 ^b	0.25	2.91	1.86
0.1 mg/kg	52 ^a /110 ^b	103 ^a /95 ^b	46 ^a /124 ^b	2.12	0.92	2.68
1 mg/kg	61 ^a /153 ^b	33 ^a /122 ^b	265 ^a /249 ^b	2.45	3.68	0.94
10 mg/kg	42 ^a /35 ^b	114 ^a /125 ^b	84 ^a /205 ^b	0.82	1.09	2.45