Towards a New Baseline of Vertical Land Motions in the Chesapeake Bay Using GNSS and InSAR

Virginia Tech
Hampton University
USGS science for a changing world
NOAA
University of Nevada, Reno

D. Sarah Stamps (she/her)
Land and Labor Acknowledgement
Virginia Tech
Department of Geosciences

American Geophysical Union Meeting 2021

With contributions from:
Manoochehr Shirzaei, Virginia Tech
Gabrielle Troia, Virginia Tech
Sonam Sherpa, Virginia Tech
Philippe Hensel, NOAA, National Geodetic Survey
William B. Moore, Hampton University
R. Russell Lotspeich, USGS
James M. Duda, USGS
Karen Williams, Virginia Tech
Corné Kreemer, University of Nevada, Reno
Jonathan Weiss, NOAA Pacific Tsunami Warning Center

Photo by Edwin Remsberg/Alamy Stock Photo

UNAVCO

Overview

- Introduction
- Objective
- Data & Methods
- Results
- Summary & Future Work

Overview

- Introduction
- Objective
- Data & Methods
- Results
- Summary & Future Work

Several techniques suggest subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay

GNSS observations



Several techniques suggest subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay

GPS Imaging



Hammond et al. (2021), JGR

Several techniques suggest subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay



Hammond et al. (2021), JGR based on Holgate et al. (2013)

Several techniques suggest subsidence in the Chesapeake Bay

InSAR



Buzzanga et al. (2020), GRL

Land subsidence influences rates of relative sea-level rise



Eggleston and Pope (2013) USGS Report Circular 1392

Overview

- Introduction
- Objective
- Data & Methods
- Results
- Summary & Future Work

Our objective is to develop a new estimate of vertical land motions across the Chesapeake Bay using a combination of InSAR and GNSS data.

Overview

- Introduction
- Objective
- Data & Methods
- Results
- Summary & Future Work

Horizontal and Vertical GNSS Velocities

- MIDAS solution obtained from the National Geodetic Laboratory in Nov. 2021
- Blewitt et al. (2016), JGR
- Local reference frame: site JMT2
- Outliers with horizontal rates greater than 10 mm/yr removed
- Timespan: 1995 - 2021





InSAR Line-of-Sight (LOS) Observations

- Sentinel-1 C Band
- 217 images of ascending data (paths 04 and 106)
- Processed with the wavelet-based InSAR approach of Shirzaei et al. (2017)
- Timespan: 2 July 2016 - 9 October 2020
- Local reference frame: LOS value at JMT2



3D Velocity Inversion Based on Blackwell et al. (2020)

Step 1: Project GNSS Ve and Vn onto InSAR LOS

$LOS_{GNSS} = C_n V_n + C_e V_e$

$C_n = \sin(\theta)*\cos(\alpha\text{-}270)$
$C_e = \sin(\theta)*\sin(\alpha\text{-}270)$
$C_u = \cos(\theta)$

θ = SAR incidence angle (33°)
α = SAR heading angle clockwise from N (347°)

Step 2: Interpolate LOS_{GNSS} to InSAR resolution (70 m)

Harmonic spline (local max/min occur at data points)

Step 3: Calculate vertical displacement

$dZ = (LOS - LOS_{GNSS})/C_u$



3D Velocity Inversion Based on Blackwell et al. (2020)

Step 1: Project GNSS Ve and Vn onto InSAR LOS

$LOS_{GNSS} = C_n V_n + C_e V_e$

$C_n = \sin(\theta)*\cos(\alpha\text{-}270)$
$C_e = \sin(\theta)*\sin(\alpha\text{-}270)$
$C_u = \cos(\theta)$

θ = SAR incidence angle (33°)
α = SAR heading angle clockwise from N (347°)

Step 2: Interpolate LOS_{GNSS} to InSAR resolution (70 m)

Harmonic spline (local max/min occur at data points)

Step 3: Calculate vertical displacement

$dZ = (LOS - LOS_{GNSS})/C_u$

Step 4: Locate dZ near GNSS

$dZ_{@GNSS}$

Step 5: Calculate Vertical residual

$dZ_{residual} = U_{GNSS} - dZ_{@GNSS}$

Step 6: Apply an affine transformation to align the InSAR-based dZ and GNSS reference frames

Overview

- Introduction
- Objective
- Data & Methods
- Results
- Summary & Future Work



- VLM ranges from -21.75 to 12.01 mm/yr with an average subsidence rate of -1.62 mm/yr
- Uplift is present in the central Chesapeake Bay



- VLM ranges from -21.75 to 12.01 mm/yr with an average subsidence rate of -1.62 mm/yr
- Uplift is present in the central Chesapeake Bay
- Faster subsidence is present in the southern Chesapeake Bay





- VLM compares well to GNSS vertical rates in some areas, particularly near Hampton, Norfolk, and Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- A few GNSS stations have significantly faster rates of uplift than the combined solution, like near Ocean City, Virginia



- VLM compares well to GNSS vertical rates in some areas, particularly near Hampton, Norfolk, and Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- A few GNSS stations have significantly faster rates of uplift than the combined solution, like near Ocean City, Virginia
- More pronounced subsidence exists within the 35 Ma Chesapeake Impact crater outer rim



- VLM compares well to GNSS vertical rates in some areas, particularly near Hampton, Norfolk, and Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- A few GNSS stations have significantly faster rates of uplift than the combined solution, like near Ocean City, Virginia
- More pronounced subsidence exists within the 35 Ma Chesapeake Impact crater outer rim
- This team is actively collecting new episodic GNSS data at benchmarks across the region annually from 2019 - 2023 towards producing a revised baseline VLM solution

Overview

- Introduction
- Objective
- Data & Methods
- Results
- Summary & Future Work

Summary

- We have calculated vertical land motions of the Chesapeake Bay by applying the approach of Blackwell et al. (2020) for ascending data to combine InSAR and GNSS data

- Widespread subsidence averaging -1.62 mm/yr (range -21.75 to 12.01) is detected although the InSAR LOS data and GNSS velocities cover different time spans.

Summary

- We have calculated vertical land motions of the Chesapeake Bay by applying the approach of Blackwell et al. (2020) for ascending data to combine InSAR and GNSS data

- Widespread subsidence averaging -1.62 mm/yr (range -21.75 to 12.01) is detected although the InSAR LOS data and GNSS velocities cover different time spans.

Future Work

- Align the timeframes of GNSS observations and InSAR data

- Evaluate uncertainties of the combined InSAR and GNSS solution

- Continue observing benchmarks with GNSS in the Chesapeake Bay annually until at least 2023

- Develop new vertical land motion maps based on the episodic GNSS data and compare with continuous GNSS solutions

- Develop VLM products that are valuable to stakeholders of the Chesapeake Bay

- Detailed comparison of VLM results with land-use metrics, population density, etc.