

Title:

Extreme hydrometeorological events, a challenge for geodesy and seismology networks

Authors:

Michel Van Camp (1)

Olivier de Viron (2)

Alain Dassargues (3)

Laurent Delobbe (4)

Kristel Chanard (5)

Affiliations:

1. Seismology-Gravimetry, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Avenue Circulaire, 3, 1180 Uccle, Belgium.
2. Littoral Environnement et Sociétés – LIENSs UMR7266 La Rochelle University and CNRS, 2 rue Olympe de Gouges - 17000 La Rochelle, France.
3. Hydrogeology & Environmental Geology, Urban & Environmental Engineering, University of Liege, Bât. B52/3, Quartier Polytech 1, allée de la Découverte 9, 4000 Liège, Belgium.
4. Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium, Avenue Circulaire, 3, 1180 Uccle, Belgium.
5. Université de Paris - IPGP, Géodésie – IGN, 35, rue Hélène Brion, 75013 Paris, France

Key points

- Real-time gravity monitoring is a tool for early warnings of flash floods.
- The gravimeter detects the saturation of the catchment subsoil and soil.
- Seismometer and gravimeter bring a new understanding of the July 2021 catastrophic floods in Belgium and Germany.

Abstract

The use of seismometer and gravimeter captures complementary data and brings a new understanding of the July 2021 catastrophic floods in Belgium and Germany. A sudden increase in seismic noise coincides with the testimony reporting on a “tsunami” downstream the geophysical station. Concurrently, the gravimeter evidenced a rising saturation of the weathered zone, thus showing less and less water accumulation. When rain re-intensified after a 3-hour break, the saturated state of the subsoil induced an accelerated increase of the runoff, as revealed by the river flow, in a much stronger way than during the rainy episodes

just before. We show that a gravimeter can detect in real-time the saturation of the catchment subsoil and soil. This saturation resulted, when the rain re-intensified, in a sudden, devastating and deadly flood. This opens perspectives to use real-time gravity for early warnings of such events.

Plain language summary

The use of seismometer and gravimeter captures complementary data and brings a new understanding of the July 2021 catastrophic floods in Belgium and Germany. A sudden increase in seismic noise coincides with the testimony reporting on a “tsunami” downstream the geophysical station. Concurrently, the gravimeter, able to measure the gravitational attraction of groundwater, evidenced a rising saturation of the ground, thus showing less and less water accumulation. When rain re-intensified after a 3-hour break, given this saturation, the ground could not absorb water anymore. This induced an accelerated increase in the amount of water running off the land surface, as revealed by the river flow. This resulted in a sudden, devastating and deadly flood. This opens perspectives to use real-time gravity for early warnings of such events.

Introduction

From July 13 to 15, 2021, the Bernd storm caused ravaging floods in north-western Europe. This resulted in 184 fatalities in western Germany and 38 in eastern Belgium. The floods also destroyed hundreds of houses, caused considerable damage to the infrastructure, and disrupted water and power distribution systems and telecommunication. On September 1-2, 2021, Storm Ida hit New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Connecticut killing at least 43 people and leaving more than 150,000 homes without power. Later on, the series of floods in November 2021 caused unprecedented disruption of the transportation corridor linking Vancouver to the rest of British Columbia and Canada.

Extreme disasters also challenge the scientific communities. Those events are rare, making it difficult to assess their return period, the hazards and induced risks. They impose extreme stresses on geophysical monitoring networks. Flooding saturates the instruments, causes power and communication outages, or destroys probes, jeopardizing the quality and the continuity of long-term hydrological monitoring. Concurrently, the event strength and magnitude are such that they leave their imprint in many unexpected, or even unlikely, observational techniques. This opens new ways of understanding the event, as well as new opportunities to explore our environment. The variety of sensors and their vulnerability make it mandatory to combine all available information to track the course of extreme events.

For example, geodetic (Miller & Shirzaei, 2019; Milliner et al., 2018) and seismological (Cooke et al., 2021) networks provide information about displacement and acceleration of the ground, sensible to, among others, changes of mass distribution, to water vapor variation, to water content change in the ground, and noise, vibrations and shocks associated with the rapid flow in case of flood. Most of those phenomena are not part of the targeted measurements of classical hy-

drology sensors but are well traceable with geodetic and seismic instruments during extreme events.

The Bernd storm at the Membach Station, Belgium

In the case of the Bernd storm, the seismometer and gravity data from the Membach station - East Belgium (Van Camp et al., 2017)(Figure 1), situated along the Vesdre River, both show a strong signature of the event. They indicate that seismology and geodesy may bring unexpected and complementary information on such an extreme event.

Figure 2a presents a moving window spectrum of the recording of the vertical broadband seismometer from July 14 20h to July 15, 04h, 2021. The rising stream turbulence, sediment and debris transport of the swollen river induced seismic noise (Burtin et al., 2008). Between 22h and 01h, in the 1-8 Hz band, the standard deviation of the seismic noise reached twice the level observed before, between 08h and 16h. During that night, there were at least four changes in the noise regime, most probably related to sudden changes in the river flow, undocumented by hydrological probes. There is an increase at 21h [SA] in the noise around 1.4 Hz. Then at 22:05 [SB], a kind of humming affects the 2-10 Hz band, probably caused by a dramatic elevation in the river flow that destroyed the station lifeline at 22h31, when two poles fell 250 m away from the seismometer. At 23h10 [SC], another burst appears in the 2-3.5 Hz band and fades at 01h15 [SE]. Finally, at 00h15 [SD], there is a last burst between 5 and 7 Hz. It coincides with the detailed testimony 3 km downstream in Béthane (Vincent Slits, 2021). There, the night warden of a factory severely damaged during the events reported a sudden roaring in the valley before the arrival of a flash flood, described as a “tsunami”, at 00h30, followed by a second one at 01h30, on July 15 2021. This sequence of events, reconstructed from seismic data, will be analysed, together with other hydrologic information, allowing building a precise understanding of the disaster in its complexity.

Figure 2b presents the same spectrum, from July 13 18h to July 16 00h, 2021, together with the precipitation amount estimated from the weather radar observations combined with rain gauge measurements in a zone of 1 km² above the station (Goudenhoofdt & Delobbe, 2016; Van Weverberg et al., 2011), the equivalent water height estimated from the superconducting gravimeter, and the water flow of the Vesdre-Getzbach watershed (69 km²) feeding the Eupen dam reservoir. This latter is the only available complete hydrogram measured upstream of the Membach station. This hydrogram is reconstructed from the level and discharge measurements at the Eupen dam, located 7.2 km upstream. This represents generally about 65% of the flow of the Vesdre near the station (Fränz Zeimet et al., 2021), with similar dynamics.

On July 13, the precipitation increased dramatically, accumulating an additional 48 mm between 20h [A] and 23h [B]. As expected (Delobbe et al., 2019; Meurers et al., 2007), the gravimeter provided a similar water equivalent height (40 mm). Concurrently, the flow into the Eupen dam reservoir increased to 66 m³/s [C]. On

July 14 between 02h and 15h, cumulated rainfall reached 80 mm above Membach and 59 mm (also radar-gauge, not shown) above the reservoir watershed upwards of the Eupen dam, causing an increase of the flow in the dam reservoir up to 125 m³/s [D], accompanied by a first increase in the seismic noise, which rose again after 19h. At the same time, the gravimeter evidenced a rising saturation of the weathered zone above, reaching progressively a full saturation, and thus showing less and less water accumulation. After 18h [E], the rain re-intensified after a 3 hours break and the saturated state of the subsoil induced an accelerated increase of the runoff, as revealed by the flow feeding the Eupen dam reservoir increasing to 232 m³/s [F], in a much stronger way than during the episodes [C] and [D]. Simultaneously, gravity remained essentially stable. This evidence how a gravimeter was able to detect in real-time the saturation of the catchment subsoil and soil. This reached saturation resulted, when the rain re-intensified, in a devastating flood. This opens perspectives to use real-time gravity for early warnings of such events.

In extreme conditions, every piece of information is invaluable, because of the difficulty of maintaining the usual observation network in operation. This importance is reinforced by the complexity and atypical nature of the events themselves, but also their economic and human consequences. The accumulation of different types of data, combining all sensors, could be mandatory to develop early warning and risk mitigation, given the expected increase in the frequency and severity of such events.


Acknowledgements

The authors thank Thibaut Mouzelard, Département des Voies hydrauliques de Liège et Barrages réservoirs, Service Public de Wallonie, for the data of the Eupen reservoir

Data Availability Statement

The data set is available publicly here: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5724041>

References



- Burtin, A., Bollinger, L., Vergne, J., Cattin, R., & Nábělek, J. L. (2008). Spectral analysis of seismic noise induced by rivers: A new tool to monitor spatiotemporal changes in stream hydrodynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(B5), B05301. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005034>
- Cooke, A.-K., Champollion, C., & Le Moigne, N. (2021). First evaluation of an absolute quantum gravimeter (AQG#B01) for future field experiments. *Geoscientific Instrumentation, Methods and Data Systems*, 10(1), 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-10-65-2021>
- Delobbe, L., Watlet, A., Wilfert, S., & Van Camp, M. (2019). Exploring the use of underground gravity monitoring to evaluate radar estimates of heavy rainfall. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 23(1), 93–105. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-93-2019>
- Fränz Zeimet, Marina Launay, Pierre Bourqui, Emeline Calixte, Catherine Fallon, & Jacques Teller. (2021). *Analyse indépendante sur la gestion des voies hydrauliques lors des intempéries de la semaine du 12 juillet 2021* (Synthetic report No. Lot 1: factualisation) (p. 128). Renens, Switzerland: Stucky S.A. Retrieved from <https://henry.wallonie.be/home/communiques--actualites/communiques-de-presse/presses/rapport-de-lanalyse-independante-sur-la-gestion-des-voies-hydrauliques-1er-volet.html>
- Goudenhoofdt, E., & Delobbe, L. (2016). Generation and Verification of Rainfall Estimates from 10-Yr Volumetric Weather Radar Measurements. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 17(4), 1223–1242. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0166.1>
- Meurers, B., Van Camp, M., & Petermans, T. (2007). Correcting superconducting gravity time-series using rainfall modelling at the Vienna and Membach stations and application to Earth tide analysis. *Journal of Geodesy*, 81(11), 703–712. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-007-0137-1>
- Miller, M. M., & Shirzaei, M. (2019). Land subsidence in Houston correlated with flooding from Hurricane Harvey. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 225, 368–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.03.022>
- Milliner, C., Materna, K., Bürgmann, R., Fu, Y., Moore, A. W., Bekaert, D., et al. (2018).

Tracking the weight of Hurricane Harvey’s stormwater using GPS data. *Science Advances*, 4(9), eaau2477. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau2477> Van Camp, M., Francis, O., & Lecocq, T. (2017). Recording Belgium’s Gravitational History. *Eos*, 98. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017EO089743> Van Weverberg, K., van Lipzig, N. P. M., & Delobbe, L. (2011). The Impact of Size Distribution Assumptions in a Bulk One-Moment Microphysics Scheme on Simulated Surface Precipitation and Storm Dynamics during a Low-Topped Supercell Case in Belgium. *Monthly Weather Review*, 139(4), 1131–1147. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3481.1> Vincent Slits. (2021, July 28). Les inondations vont coûter plus de 60 millions à la beurrerie Corman: “Une situation statistiquement improbable... une vraie catastrophe” [Newspaper]. Retrieved September 24, 2021, from <https://www.lalibre.be/economie/entreprises-startup/2021/07/28/les-inondations-vont-couter-plus-de-60-millions-a-la-beurrerie-corman-une-situation-statistiquement-improbable-une-vraie-catastrophe-V5ICEZXT7RBUHJ2SVXJNE6KFWM/>**Figures**Figure 1; The Membach station, the Vesdre River, the Gileppe and Eupen reservoirs, and the Béthane hamlet. Figure 2 (a). From July 14 20 h to July 15 4h: moving window spectrum of the recording of the vertical broadband seismometer at the Membach station. The persistent signal around 3.12 Hz is typical of that station, its origin is unknown. (b) From July 13 18h to 16, 00h, 2021: moving window spectrum of the seismometer, radar-gauge rainfall (blue) and equivalent water height (green), inferred from gravity change by using an admittance of $-0.39 \text{ nm/s}^2/\text{mm}$ (Delobbe et al., 2019). In black, the inflow in the Eupen reservoir and the vertical dashed line indicates the time at which the flow meter of Verviers, the nearest one, 14 km downstream (distance along the river), broke down. There is an increase in seismic noise during working hours, due to anthropogenic activities, to which is added the rising noise on July 14 caused by the flooding river. Time in UTC.

