


A new method to map glacier dynamical change, pixel by pixel

Introduction

Glacier dynamical mass change (glacier ice accumulated or removed by its flow) contributes to about the half of the mass loss in the Greenland ice sheet. [1] Despite this significance, we calculate it using indirect methods.

Here we develop a method to directly extract this dynamical change for every unit area on a glacier: a statistical model unmixing the term of glacier elevation based on the conservation of mass.

Total elevation change (mass change if multiplied by area and ice density) per unit area



Dynamical change: mass gained or removed by ice flow

- [1] IMBIE, 2020. [10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2)
- [2] Porter, Claire, et al., 2022. [10.7910/DVN/C98DVS](https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/C98DVS)
- [3] Morlighem et al., 2017. [10.1002/2017GL074954](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954)
- [4] Van Dalum et al., 2021. [10.5194/tc-15-1823-2021](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1823-2021)
- [5] Gardner et al., 2022. [10.5067/IMR0D3PEI28U](https://doi.org/10.5067/IMR0D3PEI28U)
- [6] Joughin et al., 2020. [10.5194/tc-14-211-2020](https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-211-2020)
- [7] Zheng et al., in preparation.

Mapping dynamic mass loss by fully decomposing glacier elevation change

Whyjay Zheng¹, Facundo Sapienza¹, Matthew Siegfried², Shane Grigsby³, Tasha Snow², Fernando Pérez¹, Jonathan Taylor⁴

¹UC Berkeley

²Colorado School of Mines

³NASA Goddard

⁴Stanford University

This work is part of the Jupyter meets the Earth project, supported by the NSF EarthCube program (awards 1928406 & 1928374).

Proof of concept

Data used

1. Surface elevation: ArcticDEM [2]
2. Bed elevation (for ice thickness): BedMachine v3 [3]
3. Surface mass balance: RACMO 2.3p3 [4]
4. Glacier velocity: ITS_LIVE Landsat-8 16-day scene pairs [5]

All available data from 2014-present are used. We resample and project them into a common grid prior to the statistical inversion. No smoothing.

Target area

Sermeq Kujalleq (aka Jacobshavn Glacier), Greenland's largest outlet glacier, with high seasonal and multi-year variability of glacier speeds [6].



Preliminary results




Figure 1. (Top) sample counts for the statistical model. (Bottom) Map of mixing parameter m. Sermeq Kujalleq flows to the left.

Discussion

Theoretically, m should be close to 1. Small m is likely due to the noise in the velocity maps, which creates large local velocity gradient.

Statistical model

$$\Delta h_{i,j,T} - \frac{\dot{b}_{i,j,T}}{\rho_{firn}} = m_{i,j} \nabla(H_{i,j,T} u_{i,j,T}) \quad (\text{Definitions on the right})$$

$$= m_{i,j} \left(H \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} u_x + H \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} u_y \right)$$

Future directions

- (1) Experiment different smooth level for glacier velocity. A smooth level optimized for glacier modeling may be good for this purpose. [7]
- (2) Expand input data sets for additional constraints, such as altimetry data.
- (3) If total dynamic change can be quantified, further decomposing this signal (e.g., seasonal change vs. long-term change) will help understand the physical mechanisms of ice flow variability.

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \dot{b}_{volume} + \sum_k \dot{h}_k$$

We will have two ways to express the k-th elevation change component and do the inversion.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \dot{h}_k = -\frac{n+1}{\beta(n+2)} \nabla(H u_k)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \dot{h}_k = \frac{1-\beta}{2A\tau_d^n} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}$$

- h: ice elevation
- b: surface mass balance
- ρ : density (here we use firn density = 850 kg/m³)
- H: ice thickness
- u: ice velocity
- m: mixing parameter
- Subscripted i, j, and T: The pixel at unit area location (i, j) during a period T
- n and A: flow law parameter
- β : mixing parameter
- τ_d : driving stress
- Subscripted k: The k-th component of glacier velocity



#AGU22 C42D-1068